Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

		ORIGINAL DATE	02/11/11					
SPONSOR	Stapleto	n LAST UPDATED		HB	101			
SHORT TITI	LE Co	ollective Bargaining as Open Meetings		SB				
			ANA	LYST	Hoffmann			
APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)								

Appropri	iation	Recurring	Fund	
FY11	FY12	or Non-Rec	Affected	
NFI	NFI			

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From
State Personnel Office (SPO)
Corrections Department (NMCD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 101 proposes to amend paragraph 5 of subsection H of Section 10-15-1 NMSA 1978 (Chapter 10 Article 15 NMSA 1978 is cited as the Open Meetings Act) by removing [discussion of] "bargaining strategy preliminary to" [collective bargaining negotiations] from exemption to the open meeting statutes.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

House Bill 101 makes no appropriation.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

With the removal of the text quoted in the synopsis, "negotiations" and "sessions" between the employer and the collective bargaining unit remain closed meetings under the Open Meetings Act. The "negotiations" clause was necessary to fully define the preliminary meetings, and the new language could cause some confusion.

The SPO called attention to Subsection G of Section 10-7E-17 NMSA 1978 (PEBA) which

House Bill 101– Page 2

contains language specifying that meetings for the discussion of bargaining strategy, collective bargaining sessions and consultations and impasse resolution are closed meetings.

The change that House Bill 101 would make to Section 10-15-1 NMSA 1978 appears to be in conflict with the open meetings section in the Public Employee Bargaining Act (PEBA) §10-7E-17 NMSA 1978 as noted above. That section specifically identifies "meetings for the discussion of bargaining strategy preliminary to collective bargaining negotiations between the public employer and the exclusive representative of the public employees of the public employer" as closed meetings. Section 10-15-1 NMSA 1978 uses the term "policymaking body" to refer to the employer and Section 10-7E-17 uses the term "public employer" and they appear to be synonymous.

The NMCD had the following comment.

The interests of unions are usually not the same as those of the state, a public body or the public as a whole. As a matter of fact, the interests of unions are often adverse to those of the relevant public body and the public as a whole. Thus, public bodies reasonably need to be able to discuss bargaining strategy in private. Otherwise, the unions will show up at the public meetings, will learn the public body's bargaining strategy, and use that knowledge to gain the upper hand in the collective bargaining negotiation sessions. The interests of New Mexico taxpayers are better served when public bodies can strategize in private and then use that strategy to negotiate and obtain collective bargaining agreements containing terms more favorable to the public body and public as a whole.

JCH/mew