Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Parl	ζ.	ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED	02/24/11	HB	256
SHORT TITL	Æ	DNA Samples from	n Persons in Felony Arr	ests	SB	
				ANAL	AYST	Daly

<u>REVENUE</u> (dollars in thousands)

	Recurring	Fund			
FY11	FY12	FY13	or Non-Rec	Affected	
\$218.0*	\$250.0*	\$250.0*	Recurring	NMDIS	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

*This funding is not sufficient to sustain the program in the long term. See Fiscal Implications below.

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY11	FY12	FY13	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates SB 365.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION LFC Files

Responses Received From

Administrative Office of the District Attorney (AODA) Attorney General's Office (AGO) Public Defender Department (PDD) Department of Public Safety (DPS)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 256 expands existing law (often referred to as "Katie's Law") to require all persons arrested for the commission of a felony provide a DNA sample of the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS).

House Bill 256 – Page 2

The effective date of this bill is July 1, 2011.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The DPS reports:

The NM DNA Identification System (NMDIS) is funded through collections of fees pursuant to the DNA Identification Act and deposited with the State Treasurer (fund 40200). The fund generates approximately \$250,000 per year and is dependent on the courts assessing and collecting the fee from persons convicted of a felony. The fund collected \$127,308 from July 1, 2010 through January 31, 2011. The current fund balance is \$722,560. It is unknown how much fund revenue is still available that has already been transferred to the City of Albuquerque.

The current NMDIS program has a yearly operating budget of approximately \$500,000 per year which include personnel salaries and benefits for 3 FTE and the outsourcing of DNA samples to private fee-for-service laboratories outside of New Mexico. Sample analysis costs vary depending on the contract. Historically NMDIS has sent about 6000 DNA samples per year for testing. The change from certain felon arrestees to all felon arrestees will increase the sample numbers requiring DNA testing.

The fund does not generate sufficient revenue to sustain the program long term without supplemental funding, either through federal grants or the general fund. The current known fund balance could sustain the program for approximately 2 years.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

HB 256 requires a person who is eighteen years or older who is arrested on any felony charge to provide a DNA sample upon booking. Samples shall be collected in accordance with rules and procedures adopted by the DNA oversight committee, and are subject to confidentiality and penalty provisions of the DNA Identification Act.

Current law requires the collection of a DNA sample upon arrest for sex offenses and felonies that involve death, great bodily harm, aggravated assault, kidnapping, burglary, larceny, robbery, aggravated stalking, use of a firearm or an explosive or a violation of the Antiterrorism Act.

These comments are posted on Governor Martinez' website:

I have [also] seen how the collection of DNA evidence from certain felonies has led to the arrest of rapists and murderers in New Mexico.

That's why I support the expansion of Katie's Law to require the collection of DNA samples from those arrested for all felony offenses. I know this will help us capture violent criminals before they have a chance to hurt our children and our loved ones.

A contrary view is provided by the PDD:

The New Mexico Public Defender is an agency set up for the sole purpose of giving real meaning to the hallowed concept of "innocent until proven guilty", otherwise shortened

to "the presumption of innocence". Neither the initial bill, nor this enlargement of that bill, respects the presumption of innocence. The danger of these kinds of measures is captured in the language we use. We begin to speak of those accused of crimes *as* "criminals", making no distinction whatsoever. This is a slippery slope. For the best of reason--'catching bad guys'--we are traveling down a road which could seriously undermine the very notions of justice in which most of us believe, regardless to the costs of efficient prosecution.

Existing law (NMSA 1978, § 29-16-10) provides for expungement of a person's sample and DNA records from the DNA identification system and CODIS upon a person's request and compliance with specified procedures when a conviction has been reversed, or when the underlying arrest has been resolved by dismissal, successful completion of a pre-prosecution diversion program or a conditional discharge, misdemeanor conviction, acquittal or no felony charge within one year of arrest. No expungement is permitted if the person has a prior felony conviction or a pending felony charge for which collection of a sample is otherwise authorized.

DUPLICATION

This bill (HB 256) duplicates SB 365.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

According to Wikipedia, 21 states have passed legislation that allows law enforcement to take DNA samples from an arrestee and enter it into the state's CODIS DNA database to see if that person has a criminal record or can be linked to any unsolved crime.

ALTERNATIVES

The PDD proposes DNA testing upon the conviction of a crime.

The DPS make this suggestion:

The NM DPS Forensic Laboratory could provide the DNA testing of the samples with approval from the DNA Oversight Committee. This alternative accomplishes several objectives: 1) DNA testing could be accomplished faster, allowing the information to be in the database sooner, and 2) the FLB could perform the testing at a cost lower than that charged by for-profit private laboratories. This alternative could save time which would enhance public safety and provide savings to the state.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

DNA samples would continue to be taken only from persons arrested for felony sex offenses under the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act as well as any felony that involves death, great bodily harm, aggravated assault, kidnapping, burglary, larceny, robbery, aggravated stalking, use of a firearm or an explosive or a violation of the Antiterrorism Act.

MD/mew