

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 1/24/19

SPONSOR Ely LAST UPDATED _____ HB 335

SHORT TITLE Create New Top Income Tax Bracket SB _____

ANALYST Graeser

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue					Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23		
0.0	20,560.0	39,050.0	40,220.0	41,420.0	R	General Fund (PIT)

Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 335 proposes a new upper-tier personal income tax bracket at 5.9 percent. This bracket would be set at \$315,000 for taxpayers filing as married joint and for heads of household, \$157,500 for married filing separately and \$200,000 for singles.

The effective date of the provisions of this bill is January 1, 2020. There is no applicability date, as is usual with personal income tax bills. See Fiscal Implications for further discussion.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The fiscal impact of this bill was determined using the new LFC PIT model. This model uses TY16 data compiled by TRD and divides this data in percentiles of taxpayers in 20 classes. These are:

- Single, Single EZ, Married Joint, Married Joint EZ, Married Separate and Head of Household all New Mexico resident non-itemizers
- Single, Married Joint, Married Separate and Head of Household all New Mexico resident itemizers
- Single, Single EZ, Married Joint, Married Joint EZ, Married Separate and Head of Household all non-New Mexico resident (Schedule B) non-itemizers
- Single, Married Joint, Married Separate and Head of Household non-New Mexico resident (Schedule B) itemizers

The model captures most of the line items included on the PIT-1, PIT-RC and Schedule B. The model has been updated for an assumed (but perhaps partial) estimate of the effects of the Tax Change and Jobs Act (TCJA) that will affect federal and state liabilities for the soon-to-be-filed 2018 tax year.

Once the fiscal effects in percentage terms for changes based on total tax year liabilities, these changes are applied to the fiscal year liabilities using an assumed breakdown among the typically three fiscal years involved in collections of any particular tax year liabilities.

The model also calculates a matrix of average costs or savings of individual taxpayers based on 20 percent cuts of Adjusted Gross Income (AGI). The following chart is an exhibit of the FY 16 base effects of the new rate structure. The model exhibits averages over a large number of taxpayers.

Residents Only\AGI	0-20%	20% to 40%	40% to 60%	60% to 80%	80% to 100%
Base Tax TY16					
Single - AGI	\$15,707	\$25,229	\$33,923	\$46,304	\$87,953
- Tax	\$76	\$314	\$671	\$1,295	\$3,086
MFJ - AGI	\$47,560	\$70,113	\$90,382	\$117,498	\$222,097
- Tax	\$650	\$1,739	\$2,720	\$3,999	\$8,568
HH - AGI	\$27,335	\$35,491	\$43,023	\$53,738	\$92,401
- Tax	-\$42	\$231	\$605	\$1,217	\$3,067
New Liability w/ Changes					
Single - AGI	\$15,707	\$25,229	\$33,923	\$46,304	\$87,953
- Tax	\$76	\$314	\$671	\$1,295	\$3,141
MFJ - AGI	\$47,560	\$70,113	\$90,382	\$117,498	\$222,097
- Tax	\$650	\$1,739	\$2,720	\$3,999	\$8,863
HH - AGI	\$27,335	\$35,491	\$43,023	\$53,738	\$92,401
- Tax	-\$42	\$231	\$605	\$1,217	\$3,089
Effect of Changes					
Single - AGI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
- Tax	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54
# Single TPs affected	0	0	0	0	1,242
MFJ - AGI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
- Tax	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$295
# MFJ TPs affected	0	0	0	0	4,570
HH - AGI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
- Tax	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23
# HH TPs affected	0	0	0	0	146

The LFC model does not include any behavioral change such as high income taxpayers leaving the state and relocating to Texas which has no personal income tax or fewer high-income retirees choosing New Mexico for their retirement location. The burden of this tax is split about equally between New Mexico residents and out-of-state residents. As shown in the LFC model impact table above, about 6,000 resident taxpayers bear the burden of \$16,200,000 in additional taxes, or about \$2,700 in additional burden per taxpayer affected by this change. The magnitude of this additional burden on very few taxpayers can be expected to trigger some behavioral responses,

but probably not as significant as those triggered by larger changes in top marginal rate contained in SB-98.

The LFC model includes the impact of using an effective date of January 1, 2020, rather than an applicability date similar to: “The provisions of this bill are applicable to taxable years beginning January 1, 2020.” The LFC model acknowledges and estimates two points: (1) TRD would publish withholding tables with the GRT instructions distributed in December 2019, anticipating the effective date of January 1, 2020. Thus, taxpayers subject to the Oil and Gas Withholding Act and individuals with wage and salary income would make payments at the new rates for the six month period of FY2020; (2) with an effective date rather than an applicability date, delinquent (late filed) returns from all prior years and amended returns might be subject to the new top rate. The combination of these two effects generates the FY20 impact shown in the table.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

This bill may be counter to the LFC tax policy principle of equity. While generating substantial additional revenue, the principle of vertical equity seems to be violated by imposing a substantial additional burden on fewer than 3 percent of resident taxpayers. Compared with the base under current rates where Schedule B taxes paid are about 24 percent of the total, this change imposes almost 50 percent of the additional tax on Schedule B filers. Schedule B is the form that allows first-year residents to prorate taxes based on the percentage of time in that first year as resident, and requires out-of-state residents with business interests in New Mexico to use a marginal rate consistent with their federal AGI and deductions. Since this provision in the tax code predated the reduction from 8.2 percent top marginal rate to 4.9 percent, this change will be noticed, but not challenged on constitutional grounds of interstate commerce.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

The LFC tax policy of accountability is not met with the bill’s requirement to report annually to an interim legislative committee regarding the data compiled from the reports from taxpayers affected by the new rate structure.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

TRD indicates that these changes can be accomplished in the regular course of maintenance prior to the TY2020 personal income tax processing cycle. This assumes that the effective date/applicability date issue is resolved in favor of a fixed applicability date.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

HB-6 proposes a restructuring of rates and brackets for personal income tax and a return to a maximum of \$1,000 deduction for capital gains.
HB-335 proposes a top marginal rate of 5.9 percent
HB-18 proposes a child income tax credit based on Adjusted Gross Income.
SB-98 proposes a new 8.2 percent higher income tax bracket.
SB-300 proposes a new dependent deduction.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The use of an effective date rather than an applicability date for an income tax is unusual. Since this has not happened previously, the intended and unintended consequences are somewhat speculative. LFC staff advise that the effective date should be replaced with an applicability date.

This bill does not contain a delayed repeal date. LFC recommends adding a delayed repeal date so that the legislature can periodically review whether the possible damage to the state's economy are moderate or severe and that the higher income tax rate is serving a valid purpose, with minimal amounts of inaccuracy.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Does the bill meet the Legislative Finance Committee tax policy principles?

1. **Adequacy:** Revenue should be adequate to fund needed government services.
2. **Efficiency:** Tax base should be as broad as possible and avoid excess reliance on one tax.
3. **Equity:** Different taxpayers should be treated fairly.
4. **Simplicity:** Collection should be simple and easily understood.
5. **Accountability:** Preferences should be easy to monitor and evaluate

In general, the provisions of this bill improve adequacy, but degrade equity, efficiency and simplicity.

LG/gb