Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (<a href="www.nmlegis.gov">www.nmlegis.gov</a>) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Cervantes		ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED	2/26/19	НВ		
SHORT TITI	LE	Uniform Colla	teral Consequences of Conv	iction	SB	325	
				ANA	LVST	Daly	

## ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY19	FY20	FY21	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total		See Fiscal Implications	See Fiscal Implications	See Fiscal Implications	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

### Responses Received From

New Mexico Sentencing Commission (NMSC) Crime Victims Reparation Commission (CVRC) Law Offices of the Public Defender (LOPD) New Mexico Attorney General (NMAG) New Mexico Corrections Department (NMCD)

## **SUMMARY**

# Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 325, for the Courts, Corrections and Justice Committee, enacts the Uniform Collateral Consequences of Conviction Act (Act). Collateral consequences are broadly defined to include both collateral sanctions and disqualifications. Collateral sanctions is defined as a disability or penalty imposed on a person as a result of his conviction that applies by operation of law. A disqualification is defined as a penalty that may be imposed by an administrative agency or court in a civil proceeding on grounds relating to the individual's conviction.

The bill requires the New Mexico Sentencing Commission (in conjunction with the district attorneys and NMAG) to identify and compile all New Mexico legal provisions that impose collateral consequences or disqualifications, and any provision that may afford relief from a collateral consequence. The compilation (and required updates) must be posted on NMSC's website.

Counsel representing individuals charged with an offense are required to give written notice to the accused individual of the additional legal consequences beyond just a conviction (using the same or a similar informational form provided in the bill). Before accepting a plea of guilty or no contest a court must confirm that the individual received and understands this notice. A sentencing court must provide additional notice as part of sentencing, or, if the individual has been sentenced to imprisonment or other incarceration, the officer or agency releasing the individual shall provide that notice at least five days before release.

A collateral sanction must be imposed only by statute or ordinance, and any ambiguity in the statute must be construed as only a disqualification and not as a collateral sanction. Disqualifications may be imposed by relevant decision makers (a state department, agency or officer, or other public entities that may become subject to the Act by other law or ordinance) only after individually assessing whether the relevant benefit or opportunity should be denied to the individual, and after reviewing other relevant information (including any effect on third parties).

Convicted individuals may petition the sentencing court for limited relief from one or more collateral sanctions related to employment, education, housing, public benefits or occupational licensing. Numerous factors must be considered by the court, including whether granting the requested relief would pose an unreasonable risk to the safety or welfare of the public or any individual. The prosecutor shall be notified of the petition and may submit evidence and be heard on relevant issues. Victims are allowed to participate in hearings regarding petitions for limited relief in the same manner as existing law allows at a sentencing proceeding.

Judges or courts cannot grant petitions for limited relief as to the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act, motor vehicle license suspensions or revocations arising out of the Motor Vehicle Code when restoration or relief is available under other law, ineligibility for certification as a law enforcement officer or employment as a correctional officer, or legal prohibitions making it illegal for convicted felons to carry or possess firearms or destructive devices.

In civil lawsuits alleging negligent hiring or other forms of negligence, orders of limited relief are evidence of due care in hiring, retaining, licensing, leasing to, and otherwise transacting business with the convicted individual if the person being sued knew of the order or certificate at the time of the alleged negligence or fault.

Finally, the Act does not provide a basis for invalidating a plea or conviction, a basis for a cause of action for monetary damages, or a basis for a claim for relief from or defense to the application of a collateral consequence based on a failure to comply with the Act.

The effective date of SB 325 is January 1, 2020.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

NMSC explains that, although Section 4(A)(4) allows it to rely on compilation work done in this area by the National Institute of Justice, there still would be a significant amount of work to collate all of the initial data, publish the data on collateral consequences on its website, and keep the information updated as required under the bill. At present, NMSC reports it has only four

### Senate Bill 325 – Page 3

FTEs, two data analysts, a CFO, and an attorney; the Commission staff is already operating at capacity given its staffing levels. Additionally, NMSC notes that were the federal law requiring the NIJ to collect information on collateral consequences to change, the burden on it would increase considerably. LOPD, NMAG and NMCD all report no fiscal impact.

### **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

NMSC advises that criminal justice reform efforts in the nation have started to focus on collateral consequences of a conviction and the barriers they pose to re-integration of those convicted of a crime into society. It provides this explanation of this concern:

The consequences of a criminal conviction don't end with the prison sentence served or fines paid. Collateral consequences — separate from and in addition to direct consequences, such as imprisonment, fines and community supervision — continue to affect millions of Americans who have been convicted of a crime as they attempt to develop productive lives after their release and rehabilitation. . . .

Collateral consequences have long been hidden, buried in the language of state and federal statutes and codes, without a straightforward way for individuals, their attorneys or their sentencing judges to know which ones might be pertinent and applicable to a specific situation. However, having this information is critical for making informed decisions during the course of a criminal case and for understanding the legal and regulatory barriers to reentry.

"Criminal Conviction: Impact on Corrections and Reentry", Catherine E. Forrest (NIJ Update, Corrections Today, January/February 2016.

According to the National Inventory of Collateral Consequences of Conviction (<a href="https://niccc.csgjusticecenter.org/">https://niccc.csgjusticecenter.org/</a> as searched by NMSC on January 29, 2019), there are currently 622 collateral consequences in New Mexico.

NMCD addresses one potential impact of SB 325 by noting if the passage of the bill were to result in the removal of societal obstacles for former inmates, it could potentially reduce recidivism rates. Any reduction in recidivism rates is speculative, it warns, and is unlikely to be known for several years.

### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

NMCD notes its administrative duties regarding notice to inmates upon parole or discharge, which it believes it will be able to absorb with current staffing levels.

MD/gb