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ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY21</th>
<th>FY22</th>
<th>FY23</th>
<th>3 Year Total Cost</th>
<th>Recurring or Nonrecurring</th>
<th>Fund Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>No Fiscal Implications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION
LFC Files

Responses Received From
Office of African American Affairs (OAAA)
Indian Affairs Department (IAD)
Public Schools Insurance Authority (PSIA)
Office of the Attorney General (OAG)
Public Education Department (PED)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 80 (SB 80) amends the Public School Code and the Charter School Act to prohibit the imposition of discipline, discrimination, or disparate treatment based on a student’s race or culture or because of the student’s protective hairstyles or cultural headdresses. SB80 also amends the Human Rights Act to include “cultural headdresses” and “protective hairstyles” in the general ban on discrimination based on race.

SB80 defines:
- “Cultural headdresses” to include burkas, head wraps, or other headdresses used as part of an individual’s personal cultural beliefs;
- “protective hairstyles” to include braids, locs, twists, tight coils or curls, cornrows, bantu knots, afros, weaves, wigs, or head wigs; and
- “race” to include traits historically associated with race, including hair texture, length of hair, protective hairstyles or cultural headdresses.

The effective date of SB80 is July 1, 2021.
FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

SB80 does not have anticipated fiscal implications.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The Indian Affairs Department responded to SB80 stating that:

According to the Associated Press, the states of Washington, California, Colorado, New Jersey, New York, and Virginia have passed similar legislation to what is known as the CROWN Act. This is a national campaign to “Create a Respectful and Open World for Natural Hair” that is supported by Dove, the National Urban League, Color of Change, and Western Center on Law and Poverty, among others.

On January 4, 2021, the Albuquerque City Council unanimously passed a similar act to amend Human Rights Ordinance to prohibit discrimination based on one’s hairstyle.

The office of the Attorney General responded to SB80 stating that:

SB80 does not specify what acts regarding hairstyle or headdress discrimination constitute prohibited discriminatory practice or who may be penalized for such discrimination.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

The Indian Affairs Department responded to SB80 stating that:

There have been several recent incidents involving Native American individuals who have allegedly been the recipients of discrimination based on their Native hairstyles. In 2018, the American Civil Liberties Union of New Mexico sued Albuquerque Public School District and a former teacher who allegedly cut a Navajo student’s hair during class. In 2016, school referees sparked controversy when they did not allow the Flagstaff High School girls basketball team to sport the traditional Navajo hair bun during a game, which resulted in a formal apology by the Arizona Interscholastic Association. In 2010, the 5th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that a Texas public elementary school violated the Texas Religious Freedom Restoration Act when refusing to allow a Native American student to wear his hair long for religious reasons.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

The Office of African American Affairs responded to SB80 stating that:

The discrimination and disparate treatment based on hair or cultural headdresses results in loss of opportunities, educational and economic. Data shows that Black women are 1.5x more likely to be sent home from the workplace because of their hair, 3.4 times more likely to be perceived as unprofessional and 30 percent more likely to be made aware of workplace appearance policies.