Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the Legislature. LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

		LAST UPDATED	03/08/2023
SPONSOR S	TBTC	ORIGINAL DATE	02/21/2023
		BILL	CS/Senate Bill
SHORT TITL	E Health Insurance Mental Health Cove	rage NUMBER	273/STBTCS/aSFC/aSFl#1
		ANALVST	Chilton

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT*

(dollars in thousands)

	FY23	FY24	FY25	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
PSIA increased costs		\$330.0	\$680.0	\$1,100.0	Recurring	General Fund
RHCA increased costs		\$36.0	\$36.0	\$72.0	Recurring	General Fund
Other agency increased costs (GSD, APS)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Recurring	General Fund
Total		At least \$366.0	At least \$716.0	At least \$1,172.0	Recurring	General Fund

Parentheses () indicate expenditure decreases.

Duplicate of House Bill 260; substitute also duplicates HHHC substitute for HB260, which is also striking the appropriation in its own amendment.

Sources of Information

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Office of the Superintendent of Insurance (OSI)

Office of the Attorney General (NMAG)

Human Services Department (HSD)

Public School Insurance Authority (NMPSIA)

Retiree Health Care Authority (RHCA)

General Services Department (GSD)

Department of Health (DOH)

No Response Received

New Mexico Health Insurance Exchange (NMHIX)

Albuquerque Public Schools (APS)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of SFI#1 Amendment to STBTC Substitute for Senate Bill 273

^{*}Amounts reflect most recent analysis of this legislation.

The Senate Floor amendment adds the word "to" in four places, making clear that "A health care plan shall ensure that the process by which reimbursement rates for mental health and substance use disorder services are determined is comparable <u>to</u> and no more stringent than the process for reimbursement of medical or surgical benefits."

Synopsis of SFC Amendment to STBTC Substitute for Senate Bill 273

The SFC amendment strikes the appropriation from the bill. In addition, it corrects the error made in substituting the word "proscribed" for "prescribed" in four locations within the bill's text, restoring the intended meaning of the four sentences.

Synopsis of STBTC Substitute for Senate Bill 273

The STBTC substitute for Senate Bill 273 provides that insurance products sold in New Mexico may not apply more coverage restrictions on mental health and substance use disorders than are applied to general health services. This is made applicable to policies through the Health Purchasing Act as well as private health insurance plans. Confidentiality regarding an insured patient's use of mental health and substance use disorder treatment options is assured. Insurers may not discriminate against patients regarding mental health and substance use disorder treatment on the basis of a patient's disability, on the basis that a court has ordered treatment, or on the basis that there are other diagnoses along with the mental health or substance use disorder.

Sections of the bill follow:

	Section of		
Bill	statute	Type of insurance	
section	modified	covered	Provisions
			Definitions; includes that of "generally recognized
			standards," being guidelines from evidence-based
			sources, clinical practice guidelines in relevant
			disciplines". Mental health services" includes inpatient
			and outpatient services, prescription drugs, and
		Health Care Purchasing Act,	professional talk therapy services used under generally
1	New	Section 13-7 NMSA 1978	recognized standards of care.
			Mental health and substance use disorder (MHSUD) care
2	New	Health Care Purchasing Act	will be covered under these policies.
			Restrictions on MHSUD care cannot be more stringent
3	New	Health Care Purchasing Act	than on general health care.
			Insurers should make all efforts to maintain an adequate
			network for MHSUD; if it not adequate, providers outside
			the network can be used with no greater restrictions or
4	New	Health Care Purchasing Act	cost sharing
			Insurers should provide expert utilization review of
			MHSUD services according to the most recent evidence
			available, policies for which can be no more restrictive
5	New	Health Care Purchasing Act	than for general health services.
			Insurers may not exclude patient coverage for MHSUD
			because a patient has a disability, is court-ordered to
6	New	Health Care Purchasing Act	receive the service, or there is a concurrent diagnosis.
			All in-network services needed by a patient must be
			provided as needed and determined by consultation as to
			level of care between the insurer and the insured's
			provider, determined on the basis of the patient's need as
			to location and duration, rather than on arbitrary time
7	New	Health Care Purchasing Act	limits.
			Insurers may help coordinate care between a patient's
8	New	Health Care Purchasing Act	general provider and his/her MHSUD provider.
9	New	Health Care Purchasing Act	Insurers must maintain confidentiality of patients requiring

			MHSUD care.
			Provisions of Sections 1-9 do not apply to short-term
			plans subject to Short-Term Health Plan and Excepted
10	New	Health Care Purchasing Act	Benefit Act
			Once an MHSUD service has been authorized, the
		Prior Authorization Act,	authorization cannot be changed or rescinded once the
		Section 13-17-20 NMSA	service has been provided, except in cases of fraud or
11	New	1978	violation of the contract between insurer and provider.
			Prior authorization for in-network MHSUD care cannot be
			required for acute or emergent MHSUD or initial
			substance use disorder care. Limitation of services are to
40			be discussed with the MHSUD provider and based on
12	New	Prior Authorization Act	patient need rather than a specific time limit.
40	Marri	Dui - n A - M A - 4	FDA-approved drugs for SUD must be covered, without
13	New	Prior Authorization Act	step therapy
		Section 59A-23 on group	
14	New	health plans, blanket health plans	Definitions, same as in section 1 of the hill
14	New	Group health plans, blanket	Definitions, same as in section 1 of the bill
15	New	health plans	Benefits required, as in section 2 of the bill
10	INCW	Group health plans, blanket	Benefits required, as in section 2 of the bill
16	New	health plans	Coverage parity, as in section 3 of the bill
	11011	Group health plans, blanket	Soreitage painty, as in oscilon o or the sin
17	New	health plans	Network adequacy, as in section 4 of the bill
		Group health plans, blanket	
18	New	health plans	Utilization review, as in section 5 of the bill
		Group health plans, blanket	
19	New	health plans	No MHSUD coverage exclusions, as in section 6 of the bill
		Group health plans, blanket	
20	New	health plans	Level of care determinations, as in section 7 of the bill
		Group health plans, blanket	
21	New	health plans	Coordination of care, as in section 8 of the bill
		Group health plans, blanket	
22	New	health plans	Confidentiality provisions, as in section 9 of the bill
			Provisions of Sections 14 to 22 do not apply to short-term
00	Name	Group health plans, blanket	plans subject to Short-Term Health Plan and Excepted
23	New	health plans	Benefit Act Removes redundant language, leaving language
			prohibiting these plans from making financial restrictions
	Section 59A-	Group or individual health	or limitations more stringent for MHSUD than other
24	23E-18	insurance	conditions.
_ :		Health maintenance	
25	New	organization products	Definitions, as in section 1 of the bill
		Health maintenance	,
26	New	organization products	Benefits required, as in section 2 of the bill
		Health maintenance	
27	New	organization products	Coverage parity, as in section 3 of the bill
		Health maintenance	
28	New	organization products	Network adequacy, as in section 4 of the bill
	1	Health maintenance	
29	New	organization products	Utilization review, as in section 5 of the bill
00	NI-	Health maintenance	Na MUOUD assessment of the control o
30	New	organization products	No MHSUD coverage exclusions, as in section 6 of the bill
24	Now	Health maintenance	Loyal of agra datarminations, as in asstice 7 of the kill
31	New	organization products Health maintenance	Level of care determinations, as in section 7 of the bill
32	New	organization products	Coordination of care, as in section 8 of the bill
UZ	INCW	Health maintenance	Coordination of care, as in section of the bill
33	New	organization products	Confidentiality provisions, as in section 9 of the bill
- 55	14044	Health maintenance	Commonmanty provisions, as in section 5 of the bill
34	New	organization products	
35	New	Non-profit health care plans	Definitions, as in section 1 of the bill
36	New	Non-profit health care plans	Benefits required, as in section 2 of the bill
37	New	Non-profit health care plans	Coverage parity, as in section 3 of the bill
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

38	New	Non-profit health care plans	Network adequacy, as in section 4 of the bill
39	New	Non-profit health care plans	Utilization review, as in section 5 of the bill
40	New	Non-profit health care plans	No MHSUD coverage exclusions, as in section 6 of the bill
41	New	Non-profit health care plans	Level of care determinations, as in section 7 of the bill
42	New	Non-profit health care plans	Coordination of care, as in section 8 of the bill
43	New	Non-profit health care plans	Confidentiality provisions, as in section 9 of the bill
			Provisions of Sections 35-43 do not apply to short-term
			plans subject to Short-Term Health Plan and Excepted
44	New	Non-profit health care plans	Benefit Act

Section 45 requires OSI to report annually to the Legislative health and Human Services Committee and the Legislature on results of this act.

In Section 46, Senate Bill 273, Health Insurance Mental Health Coverage appropriates \$1 million from the general fund to the Office of the Superintendent for the purpose of hiring staff to monitor and ensure compliance with this act. This has been removed with the amendment.

As stated in Section 47, the effective date of this bill is January 1, 2024, for all health insurance products other than small group health plans issued or delivered after that date.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

There is no appropriation in the amended bill.

Analysis from state's health insurance purchasing agencies forecast increased costs from the bill. NMPSIA notes that while it currently provides members with coverage for in-network mental health and substance use disorder services, the bill would expand those benefits to out-of-network coverage when there are no reasonably available in-network providers. The bill also prohibits prior authorization and utilization management restrictions that are more stringent than those applied to non-behavioral health conditions. Given historical experience, NMPSIA anticipates additional costs of \$330 thousand in FY24 and \$680 thousand in FY25. This could result in higher premium rate increases for plans offered by NMPSIA, but the overall amount is relatively small in context of the total fund, which has revenue of about \$350 million per year. On March 2, NMPSIA's board approved a 7.24 percent rate increase for health insurance benefits for FY24.

RHCA forecasts a minimal increase related to prescription drug coverage that will likely not have any material impact of the fund. GSD was unable to provide an estimate of costs, but it could be similar to the amount reported by NMPSIA. Even this minor increase in costs could complicate the department's efforts to reduce costs to resolve an outstanding deficit in the employee group benefits fund. Forecasts from the current year show the department spending \$39.4 million more than the amount of revenue collected.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

OSI states that they "used legislative funding from FY22 to hire contractors with Georgetown University to conduct a gap analysis of OSI's regulatory authority and staff capacity to properly enforce the federal mental health parity law and ensure access to behavioral health benefits in private insurance. Georgetown University's report analyzed best practices from states which have had some success in analyzing and enforcing insurers' compliance with mental health parity and access laws.

Georgetown's analysis found that: "The Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA) is a complex law with evolving regulations and enforcement tools. Based on the experience of other states, it will require more time and resources to enforce than would apply to other laws."

According to the Kaiser Family Foundation (kff.org),

More than 25 years after the first federal mental health parity protections were put in place, adequate coverage for behavioral health (BH) care – including both mental health and substance use conditions –remains elusive for many consumers with health insurance. Federal BH parity rules require health plans that offer BH coverage to ensure that financial requirements (such as deductibles, copayments, coinsurance, and out-of-pocket limits) and treatment limits (such as day and visit limits as well as nonquantitative limits on benefits such as prior authorization) on these benefits are no more restrictive than those on medical and surgical benefits. The COVID-19 pandemic has heightened awareness and exacerbated existing challenges in BH. Strengthening BH parity protections is just one part of a larger policy discussion that includes addressing the BH workforce shortage, rising BH treatment needs among children and youth, an inadequate health care infrastructure to address those in crisis, and the need for improved coordination and integration of primary care and BH care in the health care delivery system.

All of these issues contribute to the access and coverage challenges in health insurance that BH parity was supposed to address. The stakes are high for coverage protection, as nearly 90 percent of nonelderly individuals with a BH condition have some form of health coverage. Despite having coverage, many insured adults (36 percent) with moderate to severe symptoms of anxiety and depression did not receive care in 2019. There have been consistent calls for more federal guidance on the specific protections in the federal BH parity law, as well as for increased enforcement. As Congress² debates reforms to address these concerns in BH care, and as federal agencies plan to update parity regulations, this brief explains the federal BH parity requirements – including who they apply to and how they're enforced — and sets out key policy issues.

Federal protections for BH coverage sought to correct historical differences in how health insurance covered this care when compared to medical/surgical benefits. The focal point of these protections has evolved over the years from the narrow initial federal law, the Mental Health Parity Act of 1996 (MHPA), to the broader protections in the current law, the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008 (MHPAEA).

HSD points to two articles supporting the necessity of behavioral health parity:

- A 2013 article in JAMA Psychiatry found that state parity laws were positively correlated with increased access to substance use disorder treatment.
- A 2012 article in Medical Care found that initiations of care with masters level providers increased due to comprehensive behavioral health care parity laws.

DOH notes the importance of decreasing barriers to mental health care access, including inadequate provider networks:

When there are not enough professionals to provide mental health care in a given area, it often forces plan members to wait for long periods of time before getting treatment, travel great distances to see an in-network provider, and /or see a professional outside of their network at a high out-of-pocket cost. A 2019 report found that a behavioral health

office visit is over five times more likely to be out-of-network than a primary care appointment.

Another reason may be unreasonable criteria established to qualify for coverage. Health insurance companies also may use restrictive standards to limit coverage for mental health care. These standards often include criteria that plan members must meet in order to qualify for coverage or treatment. Often, these standards make it extremely difficult to get treatment covered unless a plan member is very ill. Some of these standards include: a) an excessive focus on treating and addressing acute symptoms and stabilizing crises while ignoring effective treatment of underlying conditions, b) a failure to provide for effective treatment of co-occurring conditions, c) actively seeking to move patients to the least restrictive levels of care even if it might be less effective, and/or d) not meaningfully addressing different standards that should apply to children and adolescents when treating mental health and substance use. Health Insurers Still Don't Adequately Cover Mental Health Treatment | NAMI: National Alliance on Mental Illness

DUPLICATION

Duplicate of House Bill 260; the substitute is also the duplicate of the HHHC substitute for HB260; amendments have stripped the appropriation from both bills.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

"Adequacy" of MHSUD services within networks is not defined.

OSI raises the following issues:

- The sections of the legislation that are duplicated in Articles 23, 46, and 47 of the Insurance Code need to be duplicated in Article 22 of the Insurance Code to ensure that the law applies to all individual health plans offered in the state.
- The "Applicability" section should be labeled "Effective Date." Applicability is done through duplication in other sections of the Insurance Code.

HSD notes that "In order to include all practitioners that fall under the New Mexico Regulation and Licensing Department's Counseling and Therapy Practice Board, Social Work Examiners Board, and Psychologist Examiners Board/OR the following professions should be added: Substance abuse counselors, Licensed practicing clinical counselors, and Licensed practicing art therapists."

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

As noted by OSI, "Without enacting this bill, the State of New Mexico will not have the capacity to enact mental health parity consumer protections, or take enforcement action, against those insurers violating state and federal law."

LAC/al/ne/rl/al/ne/rl