LFC Requestor: Gray, Brendon

2024 LEGISLATIVE SESSION AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS

Section I: General

Chamber: House	Category: Bill
Number: 0258	Type: Introduced

Date (of THIS analysis): 01/30/2024 Sponsor(s): Gail Armstrong, Mark Duncan, Harlan Vincent, Jason Harper Short Title: Premium Tax for Emergency Services

Reviewing Agency: Agency 665 - Department of Health

Person Writing Analysis: Arya Lamb

Phone Number: 505 – 470 - 4141

e-Mail: arya.lamb@doh.nm.gov

Section II: Fiscal Impact

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation Contained		Recurring or	Fund
FY 24	FY 25	Nonrecurring	Affected
\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or		
FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Nonrecurring	Fund Affected	
\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A	

Revenue is dependent on the amount received through the health insurance premium surtax. HB258 states that ten percent of the net receipts attributable to the premium tax from health insurance business would be distributed to the EMS Fund.

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Non- recurring	Fund Affected
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$		

Section III: Relationship to other legislation

Duplicates: SB151

Conflicts with: None

Companion to: None

Relates to: None

Duplicates/Relates to an Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act: None

Section IV: Narrative

1. BILL SUMMARY

a) <u>Synopsis</u>

House Bill 0258 (HB258) proposes to amend Section 7-1-6.62 NMSA 1978 to create a distribution to the Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Fund of ten percent of the net receipts attributable to the premium tax from health insurance business. HB258 also proposes an amendment to the EMS Fund Act (24-10A-3) to allow distributions to be deposited into the EMS Fund.

Is this an amendment or substitution? \Box Yes \boxtimes No

Is there an emergency clause? \Box Yes \boxtimes No

b) Significant Issues

HB258 proposes a recurring distribution for the EMS Fund, intended to address the financial difficulties that particularly rural and frontier areas of New Mexico have in providing local EMS response. EMS agencies in New Mexico report being in dire need of financial support, as available state funding from the EMS Fund has dropped from \$3.85 million in FY 2008 to \$2.74 million in FY2024 (*EMS Bureau, EMS Fund Data*). The \$2.74 million amount is the same amount budgeted for the EMS Fund in 1997. Subsequently, the EMS Fund is only able fund a small percentage of requests. For example, Taos County agencies received 14% of their requested funds, and Grant County agencies received 17% of their requested funds. Communities such as Vaughn and Eagle Nest have either ceased or reduced EMS operations due to lack of funding for operational and educational costs. Ultimately, this means that communities do not have access to EMS services which can impact their ability to be quickly seen for urgent health conditions.

2. PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

- Does this bill impact the current delivery of NMDOH services or operations?
 □ Yes ⊠ No
- Is this proposal related to the NMDOH Strategic Plan? \boxtimes Yes \square No
 - Goal 1: We expand equitable access to services for all New Mexicans
 - □ Goal 2: We ensure safety in New Mexico healthcare environments
 - Goal 3: We improve health status for all New Mexicans

□ **Goal 4**: We support each other by promoting an environment of mutual respect, trust, open communication, and needed resources for staff to serve New Mexicans and to grow and reach their professional goals

3. FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

- If there is an appropriation, is it included in the Executive Budget Request?
 □ Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
- If there is an appropriation, is it included in the LFC Budget Request?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No \Box N/A

• Does this bill have a fiscal impact on NMDOH? \boxtimes Yes \Box No

This bill would result in an increase in the amount of funding received by EMS Bureau to administer the EMS Fund to EMS agencies.

4. ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Will this bill have an administrative impact on NMDOH? \Box Yes \boxtimes No

5. DUPLICATION, CONFLICT, COMPANIONSHIP OR RELATIONSHIP HB258 is the House version of SB151.

6. TECHNICAL ISSUES

Are there technical issues with the bill? \Box Yes \boxtimes No

7. LEGAL/REGULATORY ISSUES (OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES)

- Will administrative rules need to be updated or new rules written? \boxtimes Yes \square No
- Have there been changes in federal/state/local laws and regulations that make this legislation necessary (or unnecessary)? □ Yes ⊠ No
- Does this bill conflict with federal grant requirements or associated regulations?
 □ Yes ⊠ No
- Are there any legal problems or conflicts with existing laws, regulations, policies, or programs? □ Yes ⊠ No

8. DISPARITIES ISSUES

None

9. HEALTH IMPACT(S)

This funding addresses the needs of primarily the rural and frontier EMS agencies in New Mexico which often operate in communities with little or no other healthcare alternative.

10. ALTERNATIVES

None

11. WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL?

If this bill is not enacted, Section 7-1-6.62 NMSA 1978 would not be amended to create a distribution to the Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Fund, and subsequently, EMS agencies would receive no increase in state funding.

12. AMENDMENTS

None