LFC Requester:	Simon, Joseph

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS 2024 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO:

AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov

{Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF}

Elizabeth "Liz" Stef Peter Wirth Sponsor: Roberto "Bobby" J.	and Code 366 - PERA
Short Magistrate Judge R	
Title:	Phone: 505-259-8489 Email annae.williams@pera.nm.gov

Appropriation		Recurring	Fund	
FY24	FY25	or Nonrecurring	Affected	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring	Fund
FY24	FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring	Affected

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY24	FY25	FY26	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis:

Effective July 1, 2024, SB 11 amends the Magistrate Retirement Act [NMSA 1978 10-12C-1 et seq.] to increase the current pension maximum for magistrate members from 85% of their final average salary to 100% of their final average salary. The bill also increases the employer contributions from 15% to 21.5% and the employee contributions from 10.5% to 12.5%.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Because SB 11 permits a member to earn beyond the current 85% maximum benefit, up to 100% of final average salary, it will allow for increased pension benefits. However, a member who increases their benefit under these new provisions will also work for a longer period of time, they will ultimately collect a pension for fewer years than if they had retired earlier. The increased contributions to the Magistrate Fund will provide significant funding to the system and improves the system's financial stability. This would also decrease the risk of variability in the valuation results due to the changes in the docket fees received by the Fund. PERA actuaries estimated that the amortization period would decrease from 53 years to 22 years.

SB 11 should not have an impact to PERA's operating budget.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

None

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

SB 11 will not affect PERA's performance measures.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

PERA will have to make system modifications to its pension administration system to ensure that credited service after an employee reaches the 85% benefit maximum now accounts for additional service up to the new 100%. PERA will also have to update validations in the pension

administration system for the new contribution rates.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

None

TECHNICAL ISSUES

To account for an inconsistency in existing language, PERA suggests a technical amendment to insert "credit" on page 8, line 2, after "service".

Additionally, PERA is unclear on the intent of whether increasing the benefit maximum to 100% should be applied retroactively or only to credited service performed after the effective date of the bill. In the prior legislative session, the increase to the benefit maximum was applied prospectively and if that is the intent, PERA suggests applicability language similar to House Bill 106 (2023) to ensure proper administration or the intended changes.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

None

ALTERNATIVES

None

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Magistrate active members would continue to have contribution rates and service credit that were set in 2014 and will continue to be able to receive up to an 85% lifetime retirement benefit.

AMENDMENTS

None