LFC Requester:	Simon
LI'C Kequester.	Siliidii

AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS 2024 REGULAR SESSION

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO:

AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov

{Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF}

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Ch Original Correction	neck all that apply: X Amendment Substitute				January 19, 2024 Senate Bill 61
David M. Gallegos and Pete Sponsor: Campos		and Code		neral Services Department 000)	
Procurement Contract Limit		Person Wri	ting	Dorothy Mendonca	
Short Title:	Increase	Phone: 505	5-819-7055	Email	Dorothy.mendonca@gsd.n m.gov

Appropriation		Recurring	Fund	
FY24	FY25	or Nonrecurring	Affected	
	Undetermined but possibly substantial		Agency operating budgets	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring	Fund
FY24	FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring	Affected

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY24	FY25	FY26	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis: Senate Bill 61 amends the Procurement Code to increase the limit for the use of statewide price agreements for architectural or engineering services contracts with a single contractor from \$7.5 million to \$15 million and the limit for a single contract from \$650 thousand to \$2 million. For construction contracts the bill increases the limit from \$12.5 million to \$30 million for a single contract.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no fiscal implications to GSD

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

SPD does not currently have a mechanism to track spending on statewide price agreements being used at the local public body level. SPD can only track spending from Executive branch agencies.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

None

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Unknown

TECHNICAL ISSUES

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

ALTERNATIVES

None

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Entities would be required to procure services through an RFP or an ITB for architectural and engineering and for construction for projects exceeding the dollar threshold.

AMENDMENTS