LFC Requester:	Laird Graeser
LI C Ittquester.	Land Graeser

# AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS 2024 REGULAR SESSION

# **SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Amendment X Substitute	F	Bill No:	CD 114-	
	<u> </u>	Bill No: 2/9/2024  SB 114a		
ı. Bill Tallman	_ ·			
olic Employee	Person Writing Analysis:	AAG Rose Bryan		
rirement Income Tax	Phone: Email:			
)	lic Employee	Code Number: Person Writing Analysis: irement Income Tax Phone:	Person Writing Alic Employee Analysis:	

Approp	riation	Recurring	Fund	
FY24	FY25	or Nonrecurring	Affected	

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

# **REVENUE (dollars in thousands)**

	Estimated Revenue			Fund	
FY24	FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring	Affected	

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

	FY24	FY25	FY26	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurri ng	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

# **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

This analysis is neither a formal Opinion nor an Advisory Letter issued by the New Mexico Department of Justice. This is a staff analysis in response to a committee or legislator's request. The analysis does not represent any official policy or legal position of the NM Department of Justice.

#### **BILL SUMMARY**

# Synopsis:

Senate Bill ("SB") 114 would extend the state income tax exemption for social security income found in NMSA § 7-2-5.14 to public employee retirement income as well. The adjusted gross income levels that limit those qualified for the income tax exemption would remain the same.

This proposed amendment to SB 114 would add "the federal government" to the definition of public employees whose retirement income would qualify for the exemption. This change could help avoid potential challenges to the income tax exemption by those claiming disparate treatment of federal public employees compared to state public employees, violation of the intergovernmental immunity doctrine, and potential violation of 4 U.S.C. § 111 (1994).

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

### **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

None if this amendment adding federal employees to the tax exemption is added.

### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

None.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

None.

# CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

None.

## **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES
None.
ALTERNATIVES
N/A
WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL
Status quo.

**AMENDMENTS** 

None.