LFC Requestor: Choose an item.

# 2024 LEGISLATIVE SESSION AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS

**Section I: General** 

Chamber: Senate Category: Bill

Number: 0151 Type: Introduced

**Date** (of **THIS** analysis): 01/23/2024

**Sponsor(s):** Pete Campos, Pat Woods, Crystal Diamond Brantley

Short Title: PREMIUM TAX TO EMERGENCY SERVICES FUND

Reviewing Agency: Epidemiology and Response Division

Person Writing Analysis: Kyle L. Thornton

**Phone Number:** 505 476-8204

e-Mail: KyleL.Thornton@doh.nm.gov

### **Section II: Fiscal Impact**

### **APPROPRIATION** (dollars in thousands)

Appropria	tion Contained	Recurring or	Fund	
FY 24	FY 25	Nonrecurring	Affected	
\$	\$			

LFC Recommendation Volume 1

LFC Recommendation Volume II

LFC Recommendation Volume III

**Exec Recommendation** 

### **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or	
FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
\$	\$	\$		

Revenue is dependent on the amount received through the health insurance premium surtax. SB151 states that ten percent of the net receipts attributable to the premium tax from health insurance business would be distributed to the EMS Fund.

### ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Non- recurring	Fund Affected
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$		

### **Section III: Relationship to other legislation**

Duplicates: None

Conflicts with: None

Companion to: None

Relates to: None

Duplicates/Relates to an Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act:

**Section IV: Narrative** 

### 1. BILL SUMMARY

### a) Synopsis

Senate Bill 151 (SB151) proposes to amend Section 7-1-6.62 NMSA 1978 to create a distribution to the Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Fund of ten percent of the net receipts attributable to the premium tax from health insurance business. SB151 also proposes an amendment to the EMS Fund Act (24-10A-3) to allow distributions to be deposited into the EMS Fund.

Is this an amendment or substitution?  $\square$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

Is there an emergency clause?  $\square$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

### b) Significant Issues

SB151 proposes a recurring distribution for the EMS Fund, intended to address the financial difficulties that particularly rural and frontier areas of New Mexico have in providing local EMS response. EMS agencies in New Mexico report being in dire need of financial support, as available state funding from the EMS Fund has dropped from \$3.85 million in FY 2008 to \$2.74 million in FY2024 ((EMS Bureau, EMS Fund Data). The \$2.74 million amount is the same amount budgeted for the EMS Fund in 1997. Subsequently, the EMS Fund is only able fund a small percentage of requests. For example, Taos County agencies received 14% of their requested funds, and Grant County agencies received 17% of their requested funds. Communities such as Vaughn and Eagle Nest have either ceased or reduced EMS operations due to lack of funding for operational and educational costs. Ultimately, this means that communities do now have access to EMS services which can impact their ability to be quickly seen for urgent health conditions.

## 2. PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS • Does this bill impact the current delivery of NMDOH services or operations? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No The DOH Emergency Medical Systems Bureau is required by 24-10A, the EMS Fund Act, to administer the EMS Fund according to rule developed by the DOH; this responsibility will not be affected by the proposed bill. Is this proposal related to the NMDOH Strategic Plan? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No ☑ **Goal 1**: We expand equitable access to services for all New Mexicans ☐ Goal 2: We ensure safety in New Mexico healthcare environments ☑ Goal 3: We improve health status for all New Mexicans Goal 4: We support each other by promoting an environment of mutual respect, trust, open communication, and needed resources for staff to serve New Mexicans and to grow and reach their professional goals. 3. FISCAL IMPLICATIONS • If there is an appropriation, is it included in the Executive Budget Request? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ N/A • If there is an appropriation, is it included in the LFC Budget Request? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$ N/A • Does this bill have a fiscal impact on NMDOH? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No This bill would result in an increase in the amount of funding received by EMS Bureau to administer the EMS Fund to EMS agencies. 4. ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS Will this bill have an administrative impact on NMDOH? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No 5. DUPLICATION, CONFLICT, COMPANIONSHIP OR RELATIONSHIP HB258 is the House version of this bill.

# Will this bill have an administrative impact on NMDOH? ☐ Yes ☒ No 5. DUPLICATION, CONFLICT, COMPANIONSHIP OR RELATIONSHIP HB258 is the House version of this bill. 6. TECHNICAL ISSUES Are there technical issues with the bill? ☐ Yes ☒ No 7. LEGAL/REGULATORY ISSUES (OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES) Will administrative rules need to be updated or new rules written? ☒ Yes ☐ No Have there been changes in federal/state/local laws and regulations that make this legislation necessary (or unnecessary)? ☐ Yes ☒ No Does this bill conflict with federal grant requirements or associated regulations? ☐ Yes ☒ No

•	Are there any legal problems or conflicts with existing laws, regulations, policies, or
	programs? □ Yes ⊠ No

The EMS Fund Act Rule (7.27.4) would need to be updated to address the amount of funding distributed to each EMS agency.

### 8. DISPARITIES ISSUES

None

### 9. HEALTH IMPACT(S)

This funding addresses the needs of primarily the rural and frontier EMS agencies in New Mexico which often operate in communities with little or no other healthcare alternative.

### 10. ALTERNATIVES

None

### 11. WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL?

If this bill is not enacted, Section 7-1-6.62 NMSA 1978 would not be amended to create a distribution to the Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Fund, and subsequently, EMS agencies would receive no increase in state funding.

### 12. AMENDMENTS

None