

LFC Requester: \_\_\_\_\_

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2026 REGULAR SESSION**

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO  
[AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov](http://AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov) and email to [billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov](mailto:billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov)  
*(Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)*

**SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

*{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}*

**Date Prepared:** 1/23/2026 *Check all that apply:*  
**Bill Number:** SB 35 Original  Correction   
 Amendment  Substitute

**Sponsor:** Linda Trujillo and Peter Wirth **Agency Name and Code Number:** Administrative Office of the District Attorneys 264  
**Short Title:** Additional First Judicial District Judgeship **Person Writing:** Troy J. Davis  
**Title:** Judgeship **Phone:** 505-385-8461 **Email:** Davistr@msn.com

**SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT**

**APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY26	FY27		

**REVENUE (dollars in thousands)**

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY26	FY27	FY28		

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate revenue decreases)

**ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	FY26	FY27	FY28	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
<b>Total</b>						

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:  
 Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

## **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

### **BILL SUMMARY**

Synopsis: Senate Bill 41 adds a new district judge to the first judicial district court increasing district court judge in second judicial district court to 11 judges. The bill allocates four hundred fifty-one thousand four hundred dollars for the new position from the general fund.

### **FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

The first judicial district attorneys would need two more attorneys and staff to cover the new judicial position. The public defender's office would also need more attorneys to cover the new judicial position.

### **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

The bill only funds the position for one year.

### **PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

### **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

None

### **CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP**

None

### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

None

### **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

### **ALTERNATIVES**

### **WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL**

Current second judicial judges' cases load will continue to increase.