2

3	I NTRODUCED BY
4	R. DAVID PEDERSON
5	
6	
7	
8	FOR THE DWI OVERSIGHT TASK FORCE
9	
10	AN ACT
11	RELATING TO DRIVER'S LICENSE REVOCATIONS; PROVIDING THAT IMPLIED
12	CONSENT HEARINGS MAY BE CONDUCTED TELEPHONICALLY; AMENDING A
13	SECTION OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE CODE; MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.
14	
15	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO:
16	Section 1. Section 66-8-112 NMSA 1978 (being Laws 1978,
17	Chapter 35, Section 520, as amended) is amended to read:
18	"66-8-112. REVOCATION OF LICENSE OR PRIVILEGE TO DRIVE
19	NOTI CE EFFECTI VE DATE HEARI NG HEARI NG COSTS REVI EW
20	A. The effective date of revocation pursuant to
21	Section 66-8-111 NMSA 1978 is twenty days after notice of
22	revocation or, if the person whose <u>driver's</u> license or privilege
23	to drive is being revoked or denied requests a hearing pursuant
24	to this section, the date that the department issues the order
25	following that hearing. The date of notice of revocation is:

HOUSE BILL 471

43RD LEGISLATURE - STATE OF NEW MEXICO - FIRST SESSION, 1997

- (1) the date the law enforcement officer serves written notice of revocation and of right to a hearing pursuant to Section 66-8-111.1 NMSA 1978; or
- (2) in the event the results of a chemical test cannot be obtained immediately, the date notice of revocation is served by mail by the department. This notice of revocation and of right to a hearing shall be sent by certified mail and shall be deemed to have been served on the date borne by the return receipt showing delivery, refusal of the addressee to accept delivery or attempted delivery of the notice at the address obtained by the arresting law enforcement officer or on file with the department.
- B. Within ten days after receipt of notice of revocation pursuant to Subsection A of this section, a person whose <u>driver's</u> license or privilege to drive is revoked or denied or the person's agent may request a hearing. The hearing request shall be made in writing and shall be accompanied by a payment of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) or a sworn statement of indigency on a form provided by the department. A standard for indigency shall be established pursuant to regulations adopted by the department. Failure to request a hearing within ten days shall result in forfeiture of the person's right to a hearing. Any person less than eighteen years of age who fails to request a hearing within ten days shall have notice of revocation sent to his parent, guardian or custodian by the department. A date

. 113581. 2

for the hearing shall be set by the department, if practical, within thirty days after receipt of notice of revocation. The hearing shall be held in the county in which the offense for which the person was arrested took place.

C. The hearing may be conducted telephonically, except when the person who requested the hearing makes a sufficient showing that witness credibility will be an issue during the hearing and that the hearing officer will be substantially aided by the opportunity to observe the witnesses' demeanor. When a person is granted an in-person hearing based upon his representation that he will present witnesses to raise credibility issues and then fails to present his witnesses without good cause, he shall be liable for the hearing officer's travel costs shall be a prerequisite to reinstatement of the person's driver's license. The payments are appropriated to the department to defray the expense of conducting hearings.

[6.] D. The department may postpone or continue any hearing on its own motion or upon application from the person and for good cause shown for a period not to exceed ninety days from the date of notice of revocation and provided that the department extends the validity of the temporary license for the period of the postponement or continuation.

 $[rac{B.}{.}]$ $\underline{E.}$ At the hearing, the department or its agent may administer oaths and may issue subpoenas for the attendance

1	of witnesses and the production of relevant books and papers.				
2	[E.] F. The hearing shall be limited to the issues:				
3	(1) whether the law enforcement officer had				
4	reasonable grounds to believe that the person had been driving a				
5	motor vehicle within this state while under the influence of				
6	intoxicating liquor or drugs;				
7	(2) whether the person was arrested;				
8	(3) whether this hearing is held no later than				
9	ninety days after notice of revocation; [and either]				
10	(4) whether the person's driver's license had				
11	been revoked previously pursuant to the provisions of the				
12	Implied Consent Act; and either				
13	[(4)] <u>(5)</u>				
14	(a) whether the person refused to submit				
15	to a test upon request of the law enforcement officer; and				
16	(b) whether the law enforcement officer				
17	advised that the failure to submit to a test could result in				
18	revocation of the person's privilege to drive; or				
19	[(5)] <u>(6)</u>				
20	(a) whether the chemical test was				
21	administered pursuant to the provisions of the Implied Consent				
22	Act; and				
23	(b) the test results indicated an alcohol				
24	concentration of eight one-hundredths or more in the person's				
25	blood or breath if the person is twenty-one years of age or				

. 113581. 2

bracketed material = delete

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

older or an alcohol concentration of two one-hundredths or more in the person's blood or breath if the person is less than twenty-one years of age.

[F.] G. The department shall enter an order sustaining the revocation or denial of the person's driver's license or privilege to drive if the department finds that:

- the law enforcement officer had reasonable (1) grounds to believe the driver was driving a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or [drug] drugs;
 - the person was arrested; **(2)**
- this hearing is held no later than ninety days after notice of revocation; and
- the person either refused to submit to the **(4)** test upon request of the law enforcement officer after the law enforcement officer advised him that his failure to submit to the test could result in the revocation of his privilege to drive or that a chemical test was administered pursuant to the provisions of the Implied Consent Act and the test results indicated an alcohol concentration of eight one-hundredths or more if the person is twenty-one years of age or older or an alcohol concentration of two one-hundredths or more if the person is less than twenty-one years of age.

If one or more of the elements set forth in Paragraphs (1) through (4) of this subsection are not found by the department, the person's <u>driver's</u> license shall not be revoked.

O
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

2

3

5

[G.-] H. A person adversely affected by an order of the department may seek review within thirty days in the district court in the county in which the offense for which the person was arrested took place. [The district court, upon thirty days' written notice to the department, shall hear the case.] On review, it is for the court to determine only whether reasonable grounds exist for revocation or denial of the person's driver's license or privilege to drive based on the record of the administrative proceeding.

[H.] I. Any person less than eighteen years of age shall have results of his hearing forwarded by the department to his parent, guardian or custodian."

Section 2. EFFECTIVE DATE. -- The effective date of the provisions of this act is July 1, 1997.

- 6 -

Underscored naterial = new |bracketed naterial| = delete

22

23

24

25

State of New Mexico House of Representatives

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

FORTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE

FIRST SESSION, 1997

February 15, 1997

Mr. Speaker:

Your **JUDICIARY COMMITTEE**, to whom has been referred

HOUSE BILL 471

has had it under consideration and reports same with recommendation that it **DO NOT PASS**, but that

HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL
471

DO PASS, and thence referred to the **APPROPRIATIONS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

FORTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE FIRST SESSION, 1997

Page 8

1				
2			Respectfully sub	mitted,
3				
4				
5				
6			Thomas P. Foy, C	hai rnan
7				
8				
9	Adopted _		Not Adopted	
10		(01.1.0.01.1)		(0) . 0 0) . 1)
11		(Chief Clerk)		(Chief Clerk)
12		Date		
13				
14	The roll o	call vote was 9 For 0	Against	
15	Yes:	9		
16	Excused:	Carpenter, Luna, Rios	s, Sanchez	
17	Absent:	None		
18				
19	M: \H0471			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Underscored naterial = new
[bracketed naterial] = delete

HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL 471

43rd Legislature - STATE OF NEW MEXICO - First session, 1997

DISCUSSION DRAFT

AN ACT

RELATING TO DRIVER'S LICENSE REVOCATIONS; PROVIDING THAT IMPLIED CONSENT HEARINGS MAY BE CONDUCTED TELEPHONICALLY; AMENDING A SECTION OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE CODE; MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO:

Section 1. Section 66-8-112 NMSA 1978 (being Laws 1978, Chapter 35, Section 520, as amended) is amended to read:

"66-8-112. REVOCATION OF LICENSE OR PRIVILEGE TO DRIVE--NOTICE--EFFECTIVE DATE--HEARING--HEARING COSTS--REVIEW.--

A. The effective date of revocation pursuant to Section 66-8-111 NMSA 1978 is twenty days after notice of revocation or, if the person whose <u>driver's</u> license or privilege to drive is being revoked or denied requests a hearing pursuant to this section, the date that the department issues the order following that hearing. The date of notice of revocation is:

(1) the date the law enforcement officer serves written notice of revocation and of right to a hearing pursuant to Section 66-8-111.1 NMSA 1978; or

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

25

- (2) in the event the results of a chemical test cannot be obtained immediately, the date notice of revocation is served by mail by the department. This notice of revocation and of right to a hearing shall be sent by certified mail and shall be deemed to have been served on the date borne by the return receipt showing delivery, refusal of the addressee to accept delivery or attempted delivery of the notice at the address obtained by the arresting law enforcement officer or on file with the department.
- Within ten days after receipt of notice of revocation pursuant to Subsection A of this section, a person whose <u>driver's</u> license or privilege to drive is revoked or denied or the person's agent may request a hearing. The hearing request shall be made in writing and shall be accompanied by a payment of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) or a sworn statement of indigency on a form provided by the department. A standard for indigency shall be established pursuant to regulations adopted by the department. Failure to request a hearing within ten days shall result in forfeiture of the person's right to a hearing. Any person less than eighteen years of age who fails to request a hearing within ten days shall have notice of revocation sent to his parent, guardian or custodian by the department. for the hearing shall be set by the department, if practical, within thirty days after receipt of notice of revocation. hearing shall be held in the county in which the offense for which the person was arrested took place.
- C. The hearing may be conducted telephonically, if the fairness of the hearing will not be compromised. Within

| bracketed material | = delete

fifteen days of receipt of notice that the hearing will be
conducted telephonically, a person may object to the telephonic
hearing by mailing an affidavit to the department that sets forth
an adequate factual basis for the necessity of an in-person
hearing. The factual basis may include an assertion that witness
credibility will be an issue during the hearing and that it will be
necessary for the hearing officer to evaluate witnesses' demeanor.
If the person's affidavit establishes an adequate factual basis,
the department shall schedule an in-person hearing. When a person
is granted an in-person hearing and then fails to appear at the
hearing or fails to present his witnesses without good cause, he
shall be liable for the reasonable cost of the hearing.
Payment of the reasonable cost of the hearing shall be a
prerequisite to reinstatement of the person's driver's license.
The payments are appropriated to the department to defray the
expense of conducting hearings. If the department denies a
person's request for an in-person hearing, the person may seek
review of the department's decision within thirty days in the
district court in the county in which the offense for which the
person was arrested took place. On review, it is for the court to
determine only whether the department's denial of an in-person
hearing compromised the person's right to a fair hearing.

[C.] D. The department may postpone or continue any hearing on its own motion or upon application from the person and for good cause shown for a period not to exceed ninety days from the date of notice of revocation and provided that the department extends the validity of the temporary license for the period of the postponement or continuation.

	[B.] <u>E.</u> At the hearing, the department or its agent may
admi ni ster	oaths and may issue subpoenas for the attendance of
wi tnesses	and the production of relevant books and papers.

- [E] The hearing shall be limited to the issues:
- (1) whether the law enforcement officer had reasonable grounds to believe that the person had been driving a motor vehicle within this state while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or drugs;
 - (2) whether the person was arrested;
- (3) whether this hearing is held no later than ninety days after notice of revocation; [and either]
- (4) whether the person's driver's license had been revoked previously pursuant to the provisions of the Implied Consent Act; and either

[(4)] (5)

- (a) whether the person refused to submit to a test upon request of the law enforcement officer; and
- (b) whether the law enforcement officer advised that the failure to submit to a test could result in revocation of the person's privilege to drive; or

$[\frac{(5)}{(6)}]$

- (a) whether the chemical test was administered pursuant to the provisions of the Implied Consent Act; and
- (b) the test results indicated an alcohol concentration of eight one-hundredths or more in the person's blood or breath if the person is twenty-one years of age or older or an alcohol concentration of two one-hundredths or more in the person's

blood or breath if the person is less than twenty-one years of age.

[F.] <u>G.</u> The department shall enter an order sustaining the revocation or denial of the person's <u>driver's</u> license or privilege to drive if the department finds that:

- (1) the law enforcement officer had reasonable grounds to believe the driver was driving a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or [drug] drugs;
 - (2) the person was arrested;
- (3) this hearing is held no later than ninety days after notice of revocation; and
- (4) the person either refused to submit to the test upon request of the law enforcement officer after the law enforcement officer advised him that his failure to submit to the test could result in the revocation of his privilege to drive or that a chemical test was administered pursuant to the provisions of the Implied Consent Act and the test results indicated an alcohol concentration of eight one-hundredths or more if the person is twenty-one years of age or older or an alcohol concentration of two one-hundredths or more if the person is less than twenty-one years of age.

If one or more of the elements set forth in Paragraphs (1) through (4) of this subsection are not found by the department, the person's <u>driver's</u> license shall not be revoked.

[6.] <u>H.</u> A person adversely affected by an order of the department may seek review within thirty days in the district court in the county in which the offense for which the person was arrested took place. [The district court, upon thirty days' written notice to the department, shall hear the case.] On review,

. 116034. 1

it is for the court to determine only whether reasonable grounds exist for revocation or denial of the person's <u>driver's</u> license or privilege to drive based on the record of the administrative proceeding.

[H.] I. Any person less than eighteen years of age

[H.] I. Any person less than eighteen years of age shall have results of his hearing forwarded by the department to his parent, guardian or custodian."

Section 2. EFFECTIVE DATE. -- The effective date of the provisions of this act is July 1, 1997.

- 14 -

. 116034. 1

| bracketed material | = delete <u> Underscored material = new</u>

State of New Mexico House of Representatives

FORTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE

FIRST SESSION, 1997 March 5, 1997 Mr. Speaker: Your APPROPRIATIONS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE, to whom has been referred HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL has had it under consideration and reports same with recommendation that it **DO PASS.** Respectfully submitted, Max Coll, Chairman

HJC/HB 471

FORTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE FIRST SESSION, 1997

Page 16

1					<u> </u>
2	Adopted		N	lot Adopted _	
3		(Chief Clerk)			(Chief Clerk)
4					
5			Date		
6	The roll	call vote was 1	4_ For_0_	Agai nst	
7	Yes:	14		O	
8	Excused:	Pi craux, Saav	edra, Vare	la	
9	Absent:	None			
10					
11					
12	M: \H0471				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

. 116034. 1

Underscored naterial = new
[bracketed naterial] = delete

Underscored naterial = new [bracketed naterial] = delete

FORTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE FIRST SESSION, 1997

1	Page 17
2	
3	
4	
5	FORTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE FIRST SESSION, 1997
6	FIRST SESSION, 1997
7	
8	March 17, 1997
9	
10	Mr. President:
11	
12	Your JUDICIARY COMMITTEE , to whom has been referred
13	HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR
14	HOUSE BILL 471
15	INCOME BILLE 171
16	has had it under consideration and reports same with recommendation
17	that it DO PASS .
18	
19	Respectfully submitted,
20	
21	
22	
23	Fernando R. Macias, Chairman
24	
25	
	Adopted Not Adopted
	. 116034. 1

Underscored naterial = new
[bracketed naterial] = delete

FORTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE HJC/HB 471 FIRST SESSION, 1997

				Page	18
	(Chief Clerk)	(Chi ef	Cl erk)		
	Date				
The roll	call vote was <u>5</u> For <u>0</u> Against				
Yes:	5				
No:	0				
Absent:	None				
H0471 III1					
110471301					
	Yes: No:	No: 0 Excused: Stockard, Tsosie, Vernon Absent: None	Date The roll call vote was <u>5</u> For <u>0</u> Against Yes: 5 No: 0 Excused: Stockard, Tsosie, Vernon Absent: None	Date The roll call vote was <u>5</u> For <u>0</u> Against Yes: 5 No: 0 Excused: Stockard, Tsosie, Vernon Absent: None	(Chief Clerk) Date The roll call vote was <u>5</u> For <u>0</u> Against Yes: 5 No: 0 Excused: Stockard, Tsosie, Vernon Absent: None