Master FIR (1988) Page 1 of 8

NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

The LFC is only preparing FIRs on bills referred to the Senate Finance Committee, the Senate Ways and Means Committee, the House Appropriations and Finance Committee and the House Taxation and Revenue Committee. The chief clerks are responsible for preparing and issuing all other bill analyses.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Room 416 of the State Capitol Building.

FISCALIMPACTREPORT

SPONSOR:	Foley	DATE TYPED:	5/6/99	HB	4
SHORT TITLE:	Elemen	Elementary & Secondary School Voucher Act			
			ANA	ALYST:	Fernandez

APPROPRIATION

Approp	oriation Contained	Estim	Estimated Additional Impact		Fund
FY2000	FY2001	FY2000	FY2001	or Non-Rec	Affected
			\$ 14,392.6	Recurring	GF
			\$ 668.8	Recurring	GF

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to Duplicates SB2, Relates to SB7

Master FIR (1988) Page 2 of 8

SOURCES OF INFORMATION
State Department of Public Education (SDE)
Office of Attorney General
LFC Files
SUMMARY
Synopsis of Bill
This bill enacts a new section of the Public School Code cited as the "Elementary and Secondary School Voucher Act". The purpose of the act is to establish a voucher program that provides New Mexico's students the opportunity to attend their choice of public or private schools in order to bessuit their individual needs and interests.
Significant Issues
Eligible Counties: Bernalillo; Dona Ana; San Juan; and Santa Fe.

2001-2004

Eligible Students:

Master FIR (1988) Page 3 of 8

Any student residing in a class A or class B county with a population greater than 95,000 and a net taxable value for property greater than two billion dollars and for the 2000-2001school year, the student's family income in 1999 cannot exceed 100 percent of the 1999 federal poverty guidelines; for the 2001-2002 school year, the student's family income in 2000 cannot exceed 185 percent of the 2000 federal poverty guidelines; for the 2002-2003 school year, the student's family income in 2001 cannot exceed 235 percent of the 2001 federal poverty guidelines; and for the 2003-2004 school year and subsequent years, all students residing in a county with a population of greater than 95,000 and a net taxable value for property greater than two billion dollars shall be eligible to participate in the program.

2004-2008

Any student residing in a class B county for the 2004-2005 school year, the student's family income in 2003 cannot exceed 100 percent of the 2003 federal poverty guidelines; for the 2005-2006 school year, the student's family income in 2004 cannot exceed 185 percent of the 2004 federal poverty guidelines; for the 2006-2007 school year, the student's family income in 2005 cannot exceed 235 percent of the 2005 federal poverty guidelines; and for the 2007-2008 school year and subsequent years, all students residing in a class B county shall be eligible to participate in the program.

2008-2012

Any student residing in any county for the 2008-2009 school year, the student's family income in 2007 cannot exceed 100 percent of the 2007 federal poverty guidelines; for the 2009-2010 school year, the student's family income in 2008 cannot exceed 185 percent of the 2008 federal poverty guidelines; for the 2010-2011 school year, the student's family income in 2009 cannot exceed 235 percent of the 2009 federal poverty guidelines; and for the 2011-2012 school year and subsequent years, all students residing in any county in New Mexico shall be eligible to participate in the program.

Students participating in the Elementary and Secondary School Voucher Act are subject to student assessments required by Section 22-1-6 NMSA 1978. The assessments are to be administered by the school district which provides the student with the voucher.

To be eligible for participation in the program, the bill requires private schools to register with the superintendent of the local school district in which the private school is located as an eligible private school, to maintain or develop anti-discrimination policies to prevent discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin or ancestry and to develop policies that do not discriminate against

Master FIR (1988) Page 4 of 8

students who are recipients of vouchers.

Private schools that accept students that are participants in the program are not required to comply with local or state rules and regulations that would otherwise apply to public schools.

The bill proposes to issue the voucher note to the student in care of the student's parent or legal guardian.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

This bill does not contain an appropriation.

The value of the voucher note will be equal to the amount of money generated by the student through the public school funding formula if the student had attended public school in his school attendance zone, excluding any size or training and experience adjustment. The value of the voucher shall also include a proportionate allocation for the local school district's at risk funding and a proportionate per student amount for transportation expenses. The voucher note redemption value shall not exceed the tuition and fees charged by a private school for students not participating in the program unless the cost of educating the student is greater than the tuition and fees charged.

SDE compiled data for Class A and Class B counties and include: Bernalillo; Dona Ana, San Juan, and Santa Fe, which are eligible in FY2000-2004 according to the bill. The school districts within these counties include: Albuquerque, Aztec, Bloomfield, Central Consolidated, Farmington, Gadsden, Hatch, Las Cruces, Pojoaque, and Santa Fe.

SDE estimated the cost of this proposal as follows:

2000-2001

Private School Students:

Master FIR (1988) Page 5 of 8

- Eligibility based on 100 percent of poverty level determined by free lunch application;
- Assumes 75 percent of eligible students currently enrolled in private schools would participate;
- •FY99 Unit value \$2,344.09;
- • Average voucher value would range from \$3,650 to \$4,121 (excluding transportation);
- \$14.4 million estimated General Fund cost in order to maintain unit value at \$2,344.09.

Public School Students:

- Eligibility based on 100 percent of poverty level determined by census data;
- Assumes 10 percent of eligible students currently enrolled in public schools would participate;
- •FY99 Unit value \$2,344.09;
- • Average voucher value would range from \$3,650 to \$4,121 (excluding transportation);
- •\$17.5 million estimated loss to eligible public schools.

Note: Under the Milwaukee school choice program, public school districts in Wisconsin can replace the lost state aid with an increase in the property tax levy.

Transportation Costs For All Voucher Students:

- Average voucher value for transportation would range from \$146.12 to \$328.03 per student;
- \$668,000 estimated General Fund cost to the Public School Transportation Fund based on the FY99 distribution.

2003-2004

Private School Students:

Master FIR (1988) Page 6 of 8

- Eligibility based on student residency in Class A or Class B county;
- Assumes 75 percent of eligible students currently enrolled in private schools would participate;
- •FY99 Unit value \$2,344.09;
- • Average voucher value would range from \$3,650 to \$4,121 (excluding transportation);
- •\$57.0 million estimated General Fund cost in order to maintain unit value at \$2,344.09.

Public School Students:

- Eligibility based on student residency in a Class A or Class B county;
- Assumes 10 percent of eligible students currently enrolled in public schools would participate;
- •FY99 Unit value of \$2,344.09;
- • Average voucher value would range from \$3,650 to \$4,121 (excluding transportation);
- •\$62.6 million estimated loss to public schools.

Note: Under the Milwaukee school choice program, public school districts in Wisconsin can replace the lost state aid with an increase in the property tax levy.

Transportation Costs For All Voucher Students:

- Average voucher value for transportation would range from \$146.12 to \$328.03 per student;
- \$2.2 million estimated General Fund cost to the Public School Transportation Fund based on the FY99 distribution.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The State Department of Public Education will establish and bear the cost of administering the voucher program and in cooperation with school districts, the department must initiate a public awareness campaign about the program.

Master FIR (1988) Page 7 of 8

SDE shall calculate the value of the voucher, private or public schools located outside of the student's attendance zone shall redeem the value of the voucher from the school district in four equal installments beginning in September and ending in May.

The State Board of Education shall establish guidelines for implementation and operation of the program.

CONFLICT/DUPLICATION/COMPANIONSHIP/RELATIONSHIP

This bill duplicates SB2 and relates to SB7.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

This bill does not require private schools to develop policies to prevent discrimination on the basis of age, religion, sex, physical or mental handicap or serious medical condition.

This bill does not address accreditation issues for private schools participating in the program. An assumption must be made that private schools are not required to be accredited by the SBE or any another educational accrediting body.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

Attorney General Patricia A. Madrid recently issued an opinion regarding the use of public money to fund a school voucher program and it's permissibility under the New Mexico Constitution. The following is a summary of the information contained in the opinion issued January 29, 1999:

Conclusion:

Master FIR (1988) Page 8 of 8

"A school voucher program involving the use of public money to provide parents of private school children with tuition assistance raises serious and substantial state constitutional questions, most significantly under Article XII, Section 3, which proscribes the use of public money for the support of private schools, and the anti-donation clause of Article IX, Section 14.

Article XII, Section 3 provides that "no part of the proceeds arising from the sale or disposal of lands granted to the state by congress, or any other funds appropriated, levied or collected for educational purposes, shall be used for the support of any sectarian, denominational or private school, college or university." The Attorney General concluded "that a New Mexico court addressing the issue would likely conclude that tuition assistance under a voucher program constitutes the unconstitutional use of public money for the support of sectarian, denomination or private schools, whether the money is paid directly to the schools, the students or the parents".

The anti-donation clause of **Article IX**, **Section 14** provides that "neither the state nor any county, school district or municipality, except as otherwise provided in this constitution, shall directly or indirectly lend or pledge its credit or make any donation to or in aid of any person, association or public or private institution....". According to the Attorney General, "the anti-donation clause appears to prohibit the state from providing tuition assistance in the form of vouchers to private school students. Whether the beneficiary of the assistance is the parents or the schools, the use of public money to subsidize the education of private school students, without more, is a donation to private persons or entities in violation of the state constitution. The educational purpose of private schools, an undeniably public purpose, is not sufficient to immunize the voucher program from constitutional challenge".

Article XII, Section 1 requires the state to maintain a uniform system of free public education. If a substantial proportion of the resources currently directed toward the support of the public schools is utilized in support of the voucher notes, the state's ability to maintain the mandated system of free public schools may be compromised.

CTF/njw