

#### How New Mexico's Teacher Evaluation System Translates to the Classroom

By Lisa Durkin Idurkin@llschools.net RECEIVED NOV 14 201( LESC

# **Overarching Questions**

- How does the teacher evaluation system provide an avenue for improved educational outcomes?
- What do the results of this system mean, and how does it inform improved practices?
- Teachers do need to be held accountable for educational outcomes.
  - Does this system use valid data to make this assessment?
- Are there unintended consequences?

## 2015-2016 Evaluation

- Assessment scores from 9 students were used to determine 50% of my evaluation (see evaluation pg. 2)
  - Only 35 students over a 3 year period provided growth data for this evaluation
- 86 biology students from 2014-2015 disappeared from the 2015-2016 evaluation
  - **7** They were not my students I don't teach biology
  - **7** yet their scores were 50% of that evaluation
- Is this a valid way to measure teacher effectiveness?

#### Using Science NMSBA Scores

- 11th grade science NMSBA scores actually measure the contributions of three teachers.
- The science NMSBA assesses standards that students learn over a three year period
  - Not just the subject taught in the 11<sup>th</sup> grade
  - **オ** Astronomy is only 20% of the standards.

\*Note: Science scores do not come from PARCC

#### Teacher Data Tied to Student Performance

- This year student scores will be meaningfully tied to student data for the VAM portion of the evaluation.
  - Except 11<sup>th</sup> grade, which will continue to use NMSBA scores
- That means that a host of EoCs must be given for teacher evaluations.

#### End of Course Exams and Curriculum

- PED has no EoC for the Valencia High School freshman science course.
- Therefore the curriculum had to be changed to meet the material on the EoC provided by PED.
- **The original VHS freshman curriculum was successful.** 
  - The achievement gap was closing despite low reading scores and challenging sociodemographics
  - **7** See Science NMSBA Data for Valencia High School

# VHS closing the achievement gap in science proficiency

- Valencia High School performed 6 points higher than state average on Science NMSBA
  - American Indians performed 21 points higher than state average
  - Hispanic students performed 8 points higher than state average
  - Students with Disabilities performed 2 points higher than state average
  - Title I students performed 12 points higher than the state average
  - Economically disadvantaged students performed 11 points higher than the state average

#### New Curriculum Replaces Successful Curriculum

- 2015-2016 VHS science students were 45% proficient with the successful freshman curriculum.
- The EoC does not test that curriculum
  - オ What is tested is taught.
- The EoC dictated this year's VHS freshman curriculum as a result.
- If the new forced curriculum causes scores to decrease, it will affect student proficiency, graduation rates and teacher evaluations.

# The Multiple Measures

- My rating changed over a three-year period from Effective to Exemplary
  - ↗ Same teacher, same teaching, same subject, same, same
- The difference was 710 pages of documentation. (see binders)
  - Why so many pages? (See <u>Document Necessary for Evaluation</u>, sample outline of documentation, and sample criteria page )
- What exactly does the multiple measures portion of the evaluation mean if the same teacher using the same methodology improved their rating because of documentation?

## Answering The Hard Questions

- We all want exemplary teachers in every classroom
- How does a teacher translate the results of their teacher evaluation into practice for the benefit of their students?
- Does this evaluation system provide meaningful feedback?

# Teachers need to be evaluated and held accountable.

- An evaluation system needs to provide a clear avenue for teachers to improve their practices
  - Without undue burden on school resources that could otherwise be used for kids.
- Careful attention needs to be given to unintended consequences.

## Conclusion

- This evaluation system isn't effective at making me a better teacher.
- It doesn't give me that type of feedback.
- The evaluation process is overly cumbersome, time consuming and tedious.
- ↗ It robs kids of my time and attention.
- Data from student performance is too little of a sample
- Curriculum is driven by EoCs
- School resources are redirected to accommodate the system

# Do the results of the evaluation system meet the goals of the evaluation system?

Is it worth it?

# Perhaps...

- Perhaps we could step back and analyze what does work with this system and improve upon it.
- We could even subject it to a 5 point criteria scale...