

	6/30/2012 Valuation	Scenarios		
		(A)	(B)	(C)
<b><u>Judicial Retirement Fund</u></b>				
<b>Annual Required Contribution(ARC)</b>	59.90 %	48.86 %	46.95 %	36.11 %
Surplus (Shortfall) in Statutory Rate	(23.68)%	(8.14)%	(6.23)%	4.61 %
<b>Funded Ratio</b>	51.0 %	62.5 %	64.5 %	74.2 %
Increase (Decrease) from Valuation	N/A	11.5 %	13.5 %	23.2 %
<b><u>Magistrate Retirement Fund</u></b>				
<b>Annual Required Contribution(ARC)</b>	74.29 %	59.25 %	56.21 %	41.26 %
Surplus (Shortfall) in Statutory Rate	(42.02)%	(21.48)%	(18.44)%	(3.49)%
<b>Funded Ratio</b>	53.2 %	64.0 %	66.2 %	76.1 %
Increase (Decrease) in Funded Ratio	N/A	10.8 %	13.0 %	22.9 %

**Scenarios Descriptions**

- (A) NRD Age 65 with 5 yrs of service or Age 60 with 15 yrs of service, 3.5% Multiplier eff. 7/1/2013, 85% Max Benefit, 15% Employer Contribution, 9% Employee Contribution, 2% COLA effective 1/1/2016 for all active and retired participants, one time contribution of \$11 million for JRA and \$4 million for MRA
- (B) Scenario (A) with **no** COLA in 2014 and 2015
- (C) Scenario (A) with **no** future COLA's effective 7/1/2013 for all active and retired participants

Scenario C is intended to show that a drastic step such as removing COLA entirely is necessary to attain 30 year funding for Judges but still is not enough for Magistrates. We suggest further reductions from Scenario B for the current and future active members is necessary (5 year FAS, COLA deferral, lower benefit multiplier (3.0%) along with increased additional assets.