Public School Capital Funding and Impact Aid

Presentation to the Public School Capital Outlay Oversight Task Force (Revised Version of the Regional Presentation)

David Abbey, LFC Director Rachel Gudgel, LESC Director

October 11, 2019

Constitutional Requirements

- New Mexico's Constitution requires a "uniform" and "sufficient" public education system (Article XII, Section 1).
- The district court ruled in the *Zuni* case (1999) that the state's capital outlay system violated this standard.



System of Public School Capital Funding

- New Mexico has a system of funding public school buildings.
- Based on adequacy standards initiated in 1999-2000.
 - Minimum space and performance requirements.
 - Initial focus was classrooms.
- Schools ranked annually by weighted facility condition index; districts maintain data validated by PSFA.
 - FCI: cost of repair divided by replacement cost.
- PSCOC uses a rule of thumb to replace rather than repair if FCI > 60 percent.
- Programs in addition to standards-based programs have been added over two decades (See sidebar).
 - New programs may duplicate and divert focus from core standard mission.

PSCOC Program Expansions

- Facilities Master Plans (2003)
- Standards-Based Projects (2004)
- Lease Assistance (2005)
- Broadband Deficiencies (2014)
- Special Schools (2012)
- Systems-Based Projects (2017)
- School Security (2018)
- Prekindergarten Classrooms (2019)
- Teacher Housing and Outsideof-Adequacy (baseball fields, locker rooms, etc.) (2019)



Source: Capital Outlay Funding for Public Schools, Presentation of PSFA Director Jonathan Chamblin to LFC on July 11, 2019

System of Public School Capital Funding

- Building standards evolved over time.
 - Initial focus on classrooms.
- Eligible and ineligible PSFA projects are displayed on the right.
- FY20 Outside of Adequacy funding requests included projects currently defined within the adequacy standards.

| Spaces Defined in the Standards, eligible for funding | Spaces <u>Not</u> Defined in the Standards, eligible for funding | Spaces Typically Ineligible for Funding | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| General use classrooms | Special education classrooms (gifted, b, and c-level) | Athletics Sport Facilities (Stadiums, swimming pools, | | | |
| Science classrooms | Special education pullout spaces | baseball and softball fields, soccer fields, tennis courts) | | | |
| Special education classrooms (d-level) | OT / PT spaces | | | | |
| Art classrooms | Cultural and language classrooms | School Support Facilities (Bus | | | |
| Career education classrooms | ROTC spaces | compounds and garages, school board offices, equipment and tool | | | |
| Computer classrooms | Special program music classrooms | sheds, district administration offices) | | | |
| Physical education (gym, locker rooms, office, storage) | Office spaces for additional counselors, therapists, etc | | | | |
| Library spaces (book stacks, office, storage) | Security spaces (SRO office and secure storage) | Performing Arts Facilities (Auditoriums, stages) | | | |
| Food service spaces (serving, dining, kitchen) | Technology infrastructure spaces | | | | |
| Administration spaces | Teacher / Team collaboration spaces | Non-School Facilities (School- | | | |
| Student health spaces | Family and community sciences classrooms | based health centers, recreation centers, senior citizen centers) | | | |
| Teacher workroom | Specialized labs for robotics, maker spaces, etc | | | | |
| Parent room | Mock courtrooms | | | | |
| | Daycare | | | | |
| | Alternate PE spaces | | | | |
| | Maintenance shops | | | | |
| | Teacher housing | | | | |
| | | | | | |



Source: PSFA and Adequacy Planning Guide

4

State and Local Funding Formula

- Initial formula based on property tax capacity per student.
 - Minimum state aid 10 percent; maximum, Zuni, 100 percent.
 - Gallup, Deming, Hobbs, Roswell, and Clovis received 65 to 80 percent of their capital funding from the state.
- The formula was modified in 2018 to reduce aid to districts with a significant tax base.
 - Results in less funding allocated to projects in districts with high property wealth.
 - Formula changes allow for better targeting to districts with low property wealth.

| | Laws 2018, | Chp. 66 (SE | B 30) | |
|------------------|---------------|-------------|--------|-------------|
| Lo | ocal and Stat | e Match Cl | nanges | |
| | Curre | nt Law | Pha | se 2 |
| Dares - Constant | FY | 19 | FY | 24 |
| District | Local | State | Local | State |
| Alamogordo | 38% | 62% | 51% | 49% |
| Albuquerque | 45% | 55% | 92% | 8% |
| Bernalillo | 59% | 41% | 94% | 6% |
| Central | 38% | 62% | 48% | 52% |
| Deming | 31% | 69% | 43% | 57% |
| Gadsden | 16% | 84% | 30% | 70% |
| Gallup | 20% | 80% | 21% | 79% |
| Grants | 23% | 77% | 30% | 70% |
| Hobbs | 42% | 58% | 84% | 16% |
| Las Cruces | 36% | 64% | 71% | 29% |
| Lordsburg | 78% | 22% | 74% | 26% |
| Los Alamos | 53% | 47% | 92% | 8% |
| Raton | 54% | 46% | 58% | 42% |
| Rio Rancho | 33% | 67% | 76% | 24% |
| Roy | 54% | 46% | 14% | 86% |
| Santa Fe | 90% | 10% | 94% | 6% |
| | | | So | ource: PSFA |



State Funding

- In 1997, the state spent only \$10 million on school buildings.
- State earmarked severance tax revenues to severance tax bonding to fund school construction (sponge bonds).
- State has spent \$2.5 billion in the last 20 years.
 - Standards-based awards decreased sharply in FY17 through FY19.
 - Short to mid-term funding outlook is significantly higher for FY20 to FY22.





State Building Conditions

- Building conditions have improved dramatically; before standards program, FCI was 70 percent and fell to 35 percent.
- Public School Facilities Authority (PSFA) maintains an expert staff of approximately 50 FTE to administer this program; the annual budget is about \$5 million.



Facility Condition Index (FCI) Avg. 52%

- Impact Aid districts report they significantly need to "catch up" and the Facility Condition Index (FCI) is an incomplete indicator
- PSCOC will schedule testimony with Impact Aid districts on this issue.

| District | FCI: FY19 | District | FCI: FY19 | District | FC |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| Alamogordo | 61.5% | Floyd | 65.3% | Mountainair | 1 |
| Albuquerque | 54.0% | Fort Sumner | 47.2% | Pecos | |
| Animas | 58.5% | Gadsden | 40.9% | Penasco | |
| Artesia | 54.4% | Gallup McKinley | 49.9% | Pojoaque | |
| Aztec | 53.8% | Grady | 48.9% | Portales | |
| Belen | 56.2% | Grants Cibola | 55.0% | Quemado | |
| Bernalillo | 40.8% | Hagerman | 52.6% | Questa | 1 |
| Bloomfield | 62.7% | Hatch Valley | 43.5% | Raton | |
| Capitan | 59.8% | Hobbs | 51.7% | Reserve | |
| Carlsbad | 55.0% | Hondo Valley | 50.2% | Rio Rancho | |
| Carrizozo | 64.8% | House | 70.0% | Roswell | |
| Central | 53.1% | Jal | 24.7% | Roy | and the |
| Chama Valley | 52.6% | Jemez Mountain | 72.9% | Ruidoso | A Fils |
| Cimarron | 50.2% | Jemez Valley | 56.8% | San Jon | |
| Clayton | 73.7% | Lake Arthur | 82.2% | Santa Fe | 180 |
| Cloudcroft | 50.0% | Las Cruces | 48.5% | Santa Rosa | |
| Clovis | 51.1% | Las Vegas City | 53.7% | Silver | |
| Cobre | 49.1% | Logan | 47.0% | Socorro | |
| Corona | 62.7% | Lordsburg | 54.4% | Springer | |
| Cuba | 40.7% | Los Alamos | 62.1% | T or C | |
| Deming | 36.8% | Los Lunas | 47.2% | Taos | |
| Des Moines | 63.5% | Loving | 42.1% | Tatum | |
| Dexter | 53.3% | Lovington | 46.5% | Texico | |
| Dora | 52.3% | Magdalena | 56.8% | Tucumcari | |
| Duice | 40.6% | Maxwell | 69.7% | Tularosa | 1 |
| Elida | 51.2% | Melrose | 67.9% | Vaughn | Sec. |
| Espanola | 55.1% | Mesa Vista | 49.8% | Wagon Mound | |
| Estancia | 44.8% | Mora | 61.9% | West Las Vegas | |
| Eunice | 51.1% | Moriarty | 48.9% | Zuni | |
| Farmington | 46.5% | Mosquero | 58.1% | Statewide | |



CI: FY19

65.3% 52.7% 60.6%

56.2% 60.6%

64.3% 58.2% 63.6%

19.8% 45.2% 48.5%

60.8%

55.7% 45.0% 57.3%

59.7% 36.8%

67.9% 45.5% 49.3%

54.8%

56.8% 62.1% 60.7%

58.2% 50.3% 34.6% 52.3%







Funding and Building Conditions in Select Districts

- Plaintiff Districts:
 - Gallup: \$324.8 million (13 percent of awards) or \$29 thousand per student;
 5 schools remain ranked in the top 100.
 - Grants: \$55.6 million (2 percent of awards) or \$16 thousand per student;
 2 schools remain ranked in the top 100.
 - Zuni: \$37.4 million (1 percent of awards) or \$29 thousand per student;
 0 schools ranked in the top 100 (Zuni MS, award in 18-19, rank 67).
- Largest Districts:
 - Albuquerque: \$230.6 million (9 percent of awards) or \$2,920 per student;
 36 schools remain ranked in the top 100.
 - Las Cruces: \$207 million (8 percent of awards) or \$8,380 per student;
 1 school remains ranked in the top 100 (Desert Hills ES, award 18-19, rank 51).
- Other districts such as Gadsden, Deming, Clovis and Roswell have mostly new elementary schools.



PSCOC Standards Based Awards and Occupancy Date 2005-2019



(m) ____

Impact Aid and SEG Credit

- In 1974, the state equalized public school funding for current (non-capital) operations.
- In 1981 the state discontinued the use of most property tax revenue for school operations; 8 mills was reallocated to cities and counties.
- Today, most operational funding for public education is raised at the state level.
- Federal law and regulations allow a state with an equalized system of funding current operations to consider some federal Impact Aid receipts when allocating state funding for current operations.
- The state must apply each year for permission from the U.S Department of Education to take credit for Impact Aid.
 - Only three states Alaska, Kansas and New Mexico meet this requirement of federal law.
 - A fourth state, Hawaii, operates as a single school district and applies for Impact Aid at the state level.



Source: The Importance of Equalized Public School Operational Funding, Presentation to LESC, Senior Fiscal Analyst-Joseph Simon, July 25, 2019; Overview of Impact Aid, Presentation to LESC, Senior Fiscal Analyst-Joseph Simon, July 25, 2019

Impact Aid and SEG Credit (continued)

- With the initial school funding formula, the state took credit for 95 percent of most remaining school operating revenue: 0.5 mill levy, operational Impact Aid, and federal forest reserve.
 - 1974-1999: 95 percent credit.
- In 1999, New Mexico reduced the SEG credit to 75 percent (25 percent uncredited amount is about \$21 million from Impact Aid, \$6 million from 0.5 mill, and \$1 million from federal forest reserve).
 - 2000-2005: 75 percent credit and 20 percent restricted to capital outlay.
 - 2006-present: 75 percent credit (according to PED staff, New Mexico was unable to restrict Impact Aid to capital outlay)
- Additionally, other federal and local revenue is excluded from the SEG credit, including Impact Aid restricted for Indian education, special education, and construction (about \$19 million); DOE funds for Los Alamos district (\$8 million); and PILT revenue related to industrial revenue bonds for some wind projects or other local revenue (\$5 million).



Source: The Importance of Equalized Public School Operational Funding, Presentation to LESC, Senior Fiscal Analyst-Joseph Simon, July 25, 2019; Overview of Impact Aid, Presentation to LESC, Senior Fiscal Analyst- Joseph Simon, July 25, 2019

2019 Impact Aid Legislation

- Multiple bills introduced in 2019 would have phased out or eliminated the Impact Aid credit.
 - This would result in significant differences in per pupil operational fund revenues for some districts.
- Some districts and legislators have advocated for similar treatment of 0.5 mill levy and forest reserve payments, further increasing disparities due to differences in local capacity to generate revenues.



Method of Analysis

- The following slides show the operational fiscal impact of eliminating the Impact Aid Credit, which were adjusted based on concerns at the regional meetings about the incomplete revenue categories and comparison of only select districts.
- LESC and LFC staff compiled information on all revenues and organized data into school groups based on PED categories to develop the following data charts.
 - Staff presented the methods and analysis at a technical meeting organized by the House Majority Office.
 - The revenue analysis only includes actual revenues received by a school district. Some school districts do not bond to full capacity, meaning these districts could potentially access additional funds (see Public School Bonding Indebtedness Percentages on slide 35).
 - This also means any donated assets (such as the donation of Rio Rancho High School in the 1990s) are not included.
 - PED and PSFA staff do not track information on private building donations.
 - Staff will continue to incorporate participant feedback into the analysis and provide additional data visualization to holistically present multiple perspectives of the information.





FY20 Estimated Operational Fund Revenue per Student

*Estimated operational fund revenue includes FY20 preliminary state equalization guarantee distributions, FY19 credited federal and local revenue, and a three-year average of all other actual operating revenue sources between FY16 and FY18 (such as revenue) Note: Scale adjusted for school size classifications



FY20 Estimated Operational Fund Revenue

Operational Fund Revenue* No Impact Aid Credit

*Estimated operational fund revenue includes FY20 preliminary state equalization guarantee distributions, FY19 credited federal and local revenue, and a three-year average of all other actual operating revenue sources between FY16 and FY18 (such as revenue) Note: Scale adjusted for school size classifications



FY20 Estimated Operational Fund Revenue per Student

 Operational Fund Revenue*
 No Impact Aid Credit
 *Estimated operational fund revenue includes FY20 preliminary state equalization guarantee distributions, FY19 credited federal and local revenue, and a three-year average of all other actual operating revenue sources between FY16 and FY18 (such as revenue)

Note: Scale adjusted for school size classifications



FY20 Operational Fund Revenue per Student

*Estimated operational fund revenue includes FY20 preliminary state equalization guarantee distributions, FY19 credited federal and local revenue, and a three-year average of all other actual operating revenue sources between FY16 and FY18 (such as revenue) Note: Scale adjusted for school size classifications

2019 Legislation (continued)

- SB280 (capital outlay bill) appropriated \$10 million in PSCOC revenue for teacherages in Impact Aid districts; PSFA awarded:
 - \$6.5 million to Gallup, \$2.7 million to Zuni, \$0.7 million to Central.
- SB280 also authorized \$24 million in general fund revenue for projects in Impact Aid districts that would "fall outside the adequacy standards."
 - PSFA invited 20 Impact Aid districts that receive Impact Aid for Native American students to submit requests.
 - PSFA received final application requests from 17 school districts for 48 projects.
 - PSFA made preliminary recommendations for PSCOC consideration on October 18, 2019.
 - Concerns exist about funding projects that are within adequacy given statutory language; however, PSCOC could consider retroactively updating buildings due to the evolution of the adequacy standards.



PSCOC 2019-2020 OUTSIDE OF ADEQUACY APPROPRIATION APPLICATIONS

| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | 3 | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|---|---|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|
| District | School | Princity | Project Description Per Application | Additional Notes | Current Project Status * | FMP Notes | Total Estimated Project Cost | Local Funds District is Willing to Supplement to a PSCOC Award | Local Punds as a Percent of Total | Contraction of the |
| Bernalillo | Bernafillo HS | 1 | Basebail and Softball Field Perrovations | District would like to replace the grass fields with artificial turf. Estimate is based on a project completed at Santa Dominant. No improvements to diazonts, fencing, etc. are needed. | Not Started | · | \$ 1,000,000 | | 0% | 1 |
| Bernalillo | Cochiti ES/MS | 2 | Teacher Housing | District would like to construct 4-6 new units, 1,000-1,200 SF with 2/5 bedrooms, to be located adjacent to existing 9 teacherages. Would probably build permanent townhome type structures, but would be open to pre-fab units. District will continue to maintain editing 1966 units. | Not Started | - | \$ 1,250,000 | s - | 0% | 2 |
| Bernalillo | Bernalillo HS | 3 | Stadium (Restrooms, ticket booth, concessions) | Obstrict would like to construct a new 1,500 SP structure to contain restrooms, ticket booth, and concessions stand. Will locate where existing concessions stand sits, on the south side of the stadium. The existing concessions stand is in poor condition, a shed is used for the licket office, and portable restrooms are used. | Not Started | - | \$ 1,000,000 | s - | 0% | 3 |
| Semalilo To | tal | | and the second se | | | | | | | |
| Bioomfield | District-wide | 1 | Teacher Housing | Brand new housing; will renovate a building they are purchasing on Main St (within walking distance to schools). Dorm-style living for 12 with 12 bedrooms and community style lixithen, dining, living spaces, and 3 bathrooms - 1 large for the men. Jarge for the women and 1 additional betwroom. | in Design | | \$ 450,000 | \$ 45,000 | 10% | 4 |
| Bloomfield | Naaba Ani ES | 2 | Security Vestibule | Will modify the fact make the first memory and a memory determined. Will modify the front entry: will repurpose a classroom for the office vestibule which will allow visitors to be burged into the main building. Will relocate a classroom (currently a 6th grade class) and the nurse, based on the POMAS recommendation for the vestibule. | In Design | Listed as Priority 1 District-wide Need | \$ 300,000 | \$ 30,000 | 10% | 5 |
| Bloomfield | Bloomfield HS | 3 | Soccer Meld | Power become reaction for the vescourse. Repurpose current field (old softball field). HS students have to travel to a different location to practice and play. Field is located behind HS; approximately a football field width away from the building. Wilneed grass, fence, bleachers, retaining wall work (structure near it), lights, sidewalk access. Local community business partners have helped with the demo (which is complete). Currently designing irrigation system and layout of field. Will be primarily used by schools, however, Bloomfield is a small community and alot of fields are used by community and other sports entities. | in Design | - | \$ 250,000 | \$ 25,000 | 10% | 6 |
| Bloomfield To | otal | | | | Color States and | | - | | <u> </u> | 8 |
| Central | Eva B. Stokety ES | 1 | HVAC Replacement | Complete replacement of the besting and cooling systems in the existing school. The existing cooling system will be replaced with childed (refrigerated) air. The existing boiler and hotwater hydronic pumps would be replaced with a new boiler and pumps. | in Design | | \$ 4,000,000 | s - | 0% | , |
| Central | Mesa Heights Subdivision | 2 | Teacher Housing | Construction of 30 housing units on district owned land immediately east of Mesa Elementary school in Shiprock. The district would like to build permanent construction for a mix of 2 and 3 bedroom units. The district would update and use the design from other recent teacher housing projects completed within the district. | in Design | Listed as Priority 6 | \$ 6,000,000 | \$ - | 0% | 8 |
| Central | Shiprock Schools Intigation Supply | 3 | Offete Utilities/Infrastructure | New Infgation water treatment and pamping station on district-owned land west of Eva 8 Stokely, to serve multiple school sites on the west side of the San Juan River in Shiproch, including Eva 8 Stokely, Career Prep High School, Shiprock High School, Tse Bit Al Middle School, and Mizhoni Elementary School. The water supply system will provide infigation water to playfields on these campuses. Existing irrigation surface ditch was abandoned as the water infigatochura was upgraded throughout the region. | Not Started | - | \$ 500,000 | \$ - | 0% | 9 |
| Central Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cuba | Cuba ES | 1 | and Spardish Bitingual | 2 classrooms: 1 Navajo/bilingual, one Spanish/bilingual. Addition to the building, suft not yet known and no exact location determined as of yet. | Not Started | FMP shows classroom with no activity but assigned FTE. It | \$ 900,000 | \$ 90,000 | 10% | 20 |
| Cuba | Cuba MS | 2 | ZAU TO BLOAKIE LEUGGELENES SR | Current units are only for hest; there is no cooling. Would like to upgrade with chilled air preferred. Only for gym and cafeteria (same wing). Main school building currently has HVAC. | Not Started | appears to be a pull-out. | \$ 320,000 | \$ 32,000 | 10% | 11 |
| Cuba Cuba Yotal | Cuba School District | 3 | Teacher Housing - Pencvate/replace teacher housing units | Building 2 new units and renovate five 2-bedroom units (roof/windows). New design for new units would be considered. | Not Started | Listed as a Need in 2022-23 | \$ 1,000,000 | \$ 100,000 | 10% | 12 |
| and a recol | | | and the second day of | | | | The International | Real Property and the | | |
| Duice | Teacherage | 1 | Teacher Housing - Remodel 39 units, add 2 units, repair drainage and concrete issues | Renovate existing teacher housing units built in the 1960s and 1980s. Add two new units on district property, to replace 2 units that were recently demoished. Correct improper site drainage around teacher housing units built in 2007-08. All teacher housing units to be renovated or built new are immediately north and west of Dulce <u>Middle and High Schools</u> . | Not Started | FMP states teacherages not included in plan due to lack of PSODC involvement | \$ 1,578,000 | \$ 78,500 | 5% | 13 |
| Duice | Duice HS | 2 | Drainagy Remediation - angineered beam & french drain. | Site work to correct improper drainage around the northwest side of Duice High School. Edisting site drainage flows down the hillside and against the north wall of the building, seeping into the walls and floors and causing heaving under the building. Subsurface water under the building stab is corroding electrical circuits in conduit. | Not Started | | \$ 250,000 | \$ 12,500 | 5% | 14 |
| Duice Duice Total | District Wide | 3 | Security Vestibules and fencing | New or upgraded security vestibules at the main entries within the existing ES, MS, and HS school buildings. Renovation would occur within existing square footage. New fencing at existing school sites to improve control of the site perimeter as well as improvements to access control within the sites. | Not Started | Priority 1 bundle of projects | 5 400,000 | \$ 20,000 | 5% | 19 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

 Refer to the handout for the full list of projects
 20 projects within adequacy standards; 10 projects partially within adequacy standards; 18 projects outside of adequacy standards.

Current Situation: Impact Aid and Capital Equalization Issues

- Zuni lawsuit persists.
- Some Impact Aid districts have advocated for moving away from a local property tax-based system to fund capital outlay projects.
 - Centralizing local decision making in capital outlay may be difficult with 800 schools and 89 districts and 96 charter schools.



Select Options for 2020 Session

• New Mexico could consider the following options to address concerns of plaintiff schools:

SB-9 Changes

- Increase SB-9 state guarantee match and create separate SB-9 funding tier or multiplier for property-poor districts (up to \$25 million)
- Adjust SB-9 formula calculation (change from program units to MEM, reprioritize \$4.5 million minimum guarantee)
- Reprioritize systems and security program funding

Facility Uniformity

- Retroactively update "early standardsbased" schools (up to \$25 million)
- Continue targeted appropriations (\$34 million)
- Develop standards for teacherages and other high priority projects

Impact Aid Support

- Provide SEG advances to Impact Aid districts to improve cash flow
- Provide Impact Aid application training to improve collections (\$500 thousand)
- Cover administrative costs of collecting Impact Aid applications (\$2.5 million or 3 percent – consistent with TRD practices)
- Streamline Impact Aid applications (like APS) and receive a federal waiver for student verification during registration
- Broaden authority to allow bonding of uncredited Impact Aid
- Allow flexibility for more public school capital outlay funding



Select Options for 2020 Session

• Options continued:

Operational Funding Support

- Provide base operational funding increase for maintenance (up to \$10 million) - what source?
- Adjust the at-risk funding formula factor (0.01 increase = \$10 million)
- Reduce or eliminate Impact Aid Credit (up to \$63 million)
- Establish credit for other uncredited
 local revenues (up to \$9 million of additional revenue) — PILT, forst reserve
- Accelerate the phase 2 local-state match formula adjustment

Non-Formula Adjustments (outliers)

- Support schools with loss of property valuation (CCSD)
 - However, losses are unlikely to occur until after FY22
- Support schools with sharp enrollment decline
- Increase emergency funding (up to \$5 million)
- Streamline administrative processes associated with PSFAmanaged projects

NEXT STEPS: Legislative staff will continue to analyze and refine select options with key stakeholders.







7.Scale adjusted for school size classification



7.Scale adjusted for school size classification





1. FY18 membership was used to sort each district into four groups.

 PSCOC state share funding represents cumulative total PSCOC dollars awarded, less reversions, divided by the cumulative district membership from FY04 to FY19.
 Special Capital Outlay includes contributions and private donations, federal capital outlay funds, investment income, energy efficiency act state flow-through grants, and education technology equipment act funds.

4. All categories other than PSCOC state share funding represent cumulative revenue by district divided by cumulative membership from FY07 to FY18.



1. FY18 membership was used to sort each district into four groups.

2. PSCOC state share funding represents cumulative total PSCOC dollars awarded, less reversions, divided by the cumulative district membership from FY04 to FY19.

3. Special Capital Outlay includes contributions and private donations, federal capital outlay funds, investment income, energy efficiency act state flow-through grants, and education technology equipment act funds.

4. All categories other than PSCOC state share funding represent cumulative revenue by district divided by cumulative membership from FY07 to FY18.

5. Scale adjusted for school size classifications



1. FY18 membership was used to sort each district into four groups.

2. PSCOC state share funding represents cumulative total PSCOC dollars awarded, less reversions, divided by the cumulative district membership from FY04 to FY19.

3. Special Capital Outlay includes contributions and private donations, federal capital outlay funds, investment income, energy efficiency act state flow-through grants, and education technology equipment act funds.

4. All categories other than PSCOC state share funding represent cumulative revenue by district divided by cumulative membership from FY07 to FY18.

5. Scale adjusted for school size classifications



1. FY18 membership was used to sort each district into four groups.

2. PSCOC state share funding represents cumulative total PSCOC dollars awarded, less reversions, divided by the cumulative district membership from FY04 to FY19.

3. Special Capital Outlay includes contributions and private donations, federal capital outlay funds, investment income, energy efficiency act state flow-through grants, and education technology equipment act funds.

4. All categories other than PSCOC state share funding represent cumulative revenue by district divided by cumulative membership from FY07 to FY18.

5. Scale adjusted for school size classifications

PUBLIC SCHOOL BONDING INDEBTEDNESS PERCENTAGES as of December 31, 2018

| DISTRICT | 2018 INITIAL TOTAL VALUATIONS | BONDING CAPACITY at 6% of Value | BONDS OUTSTANDING ON 12/31/18 | AVAILABLE CAPACITY | BONDING INDEBTEDNESS PERCENTAGE | 2017-2018 FINAL 40th DAY MEM. | ASSESSED VALUATION/PER MEM. | DATE DISTRICT PASSED SB-9, 2 MILL LEVY |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| ALAMOGORDO | \$ 832,244,987 | | \$ 32,065,000 | \$ 17,869,699 | 64.2% | 5,957.00 | \$ 139,709 | 2/5/2013 |
| ALBUQUERQUE | \$ 16,742,529,957 | \$ 1,004,551,797 | \$ 627,740,000 | | 62.5% | 97,533.00 | | 2/5/2013 |
| ANIMAS | \$ 37,721,762 | | \$ - | \$ 2,263,306 | 0.0% | 163.50 | | 2/7/2013 |
| ARTESIA | \$ 1,600,689,313 | | \$ - | \$ 96,041,359 | 0.0% | 3,816.50 | | 2/6/2018 |
| AZTEC | \$ 650,610,363 | | \$ 27,500,000 | \$ 11,536,622 | 70.4% | 3,082.50 | | 5/7/2013 |
| BELEN | \$ 630,293,746 | \$ 37,817,625 | \$ 36,920,000 | | 97.6% | 3.863.00 | | 2/7/2013 |
| BERNALILLO | \$ 636,031,113 | | \$ 34,485,000 | \$ 3,676,867 | 90.4% | 2,917.00 | | 2/5/2013 |
| BLOOMFIELD | \$ 762,465,055 | | \$ 35,220,000 | \$ 10,527,903 | 77.0% | 2.876.00 | | 2/5/2013 |
| | \$ 426,286,299 | | \$ 4,330,000 | \$ 21,247,178 | 16.9% | 501.00 | | 2/3/2015 |
| CARLSBAD | \$ 2,725,791,438 | | | \$ 119,267,486 | 27.1% | 7.251.50 | | 2/5/2013 |
| CARRIZOZO CENTRAL | \$ 70,388,051 | | | | 74.7% | 146.50 | | 2/5/2013 |
| | \$ 693,726,276 | | \$ 34,505,000 | | 82.9% | 5,762.00 | | 2/5/2013 |
| CHAMA | \$ 147,655,309 | | \$ 8,245,000 | | 93.1% | 369.00 | | 2/7/2017 |
| CIMARRON | \$ 428,876,355 | | | | 28.4% | 437.00 | | 2/3/2015 |
| CLAYTON | \$ 134,808,514 | | | | 91.8% | 473.50 | | 2/7/2015 |
| CLOUDCROFT | \$ 206,973,602 | \$ 12,418,416 | \$ 6,015,000 | | 48.4% | 367.50 | | 2/5/2013 |
| CLOVIS | \$ 837,973,685 | | | | 94.1% | 8.062.00 | | 2/7/2013 |
| COBRE | \$ 262,970,904 | \$ 15,778,254 | | | 45.5% | 1,185.50 | | 2/3/2015 |
| CORONA | \$ 64,485,480 | \$ 3,869,129 | \$ 450,000 | | 11.6% | 67.00 | | 2/3/2015 |
| CUBA | \$ 141,741,479 | \$ 8,504,489 | \$ 3,115,000 | | 36.6% | 541.00 | | 2/5/2013 |
| DEMING | \$ 595,370,798 | | \$ 25,520,000 | | 71.4% | 5.222.50 | | 2/5/2013 |
| DES MOINES | \$ 38,216,551 | \$ 2,292,993 | \$ 284,000 | | 12.4% | 91.00 | | 2/7/2013 |
| DEXTER | \$ 84,426,761 | \$ 5,065,606 | \$ 5,060,000 | | 99.9% | 948.50 | | 2/2/2016 |
| DORA | \$ 27,592,173 | | \$ 1,165,000 | | 70.4% | 238.00 | | 2/7/2017 |
| DULCE | \$ 300,434,805 | | \$ 16,295,000 | | 90.4% | 686.50 | | 2/5/2013 |
| ELIDA | \$ 28,281,648 | | \$ - | \$ 1,696,899 | 0.0% | 133.50 | | 2/3/2015 |
| ESPANOLA | \$ 590,998,167 | | \$ 21,325,000 | | 60.1% | 4.306.00 | | 2/5/2013 |
| ESTANCIA | \$ 118,410,591 | | \$ 4,155,000 | \$ 2,949,635 | 58.5% | 609.00 | | 4/12/2016 |
| EUNICE | \$ 722,325,841 | | | \$ 24,094,550 | 44.4% | 780.50 | | 2/2/2016 |
| FARMINGTON | \$ 1,528,006,542 | | \$ 89,415,000 | \$ 2,265,393 | 97.5% | 11,467.00 | | 2/6/2018 |
| FLOYD | \$ 14,994,840 | | \$ - | \$ 899,690 | 0.0% | 213.00 | | 2/3/2015 |
| FT. SUMNER | \$ 91,348,468 | | \$ 2,285,000 | \$ 3,195,908 | 41.7% | 281.00 | | 2/5/2013 |
| GADSDEN | \$ 983,499,672 | | \$ 46,205,000 | \$ 12,804,980 | 78.3% | 13,228.00 | | 2/6/2018 |
| GALLUP-McKINLEY | \$ 836,647,457 | | | \$ 3,143,847 | 93.7% | 11,223,50 | | 2/2/2016 |
| | \$ 10,063,999 | | | | 68.6% | 131.50 | | 2/7/2017 |
| GRANTS-CIBOLA HAGERMAN | \$ 339,772,897 | | | \$ 6,272,374 | 69.2% | 3,489,50 | | 2/2/2016 |
| HAGERMAN | \$ 39,517,340 | | | | 31.6% | 425.50 | | 2/5/2013 |
| HOBBS | \$ 85,856,724 | | | | 87.1% | 1,237.00 | | 2/5/2013 |
| HOBBS | \$ 1,582,784,488 | | | | 53.6% | 9,826.00 | | 2/3/2015 |
| | \$ 37,387,387 | | | | 64.0% | 130.00 | | 2/7/2017 |
| HOUSE | \$ 15,767,307 | | | \$ 681,038 | 28.0% | 74.50 | | 2/7/2017 |
| | \$ 1,768,516,729 | | | \$ 62,831,004 | 40.8% | 475.50 | | 2/7/2017 |
| JEMEZ MOUNTAIN | \$ 234,313,259 | | | \$ 14,058,796 | 0.0% | 219.00 | | 2/3/2015 |
| JEMEZ VALLEY | \$ 109,156,022 | | | \$ 2,444,361 | 62.7% | 421.00 | | 2/5/2013 |
| LAKE ARTHUR | \$ 48,771,141 | | | \$ (868,732) | 129.7% | 92.50 | | 2/7/2017 |
| LAS CRUCES | \$ 3,454,905,647 | | | \$ 82,109,339 | 60.4% | 25,119.50 | | 2/2/2016 |
| LAS VEGAS CITY | \$ 278,575,560 | | | | 77.6% | 1.542.00 | | 2/7/2017 |
| LAS VEGAS WEST | \$ 196,020,007 | | \$ 9,390,000 | | 79.8% | 1.510.00 | | 2/5/2013 |
| LOGAN | \$ 69,196,770 | | \$ 2,385,000 | | 57.4% | 303.00 | | 5/16/2017 |
| LORDSBURG | \$ 133,747,501 | \$ 8,024,850 | \$ 7,015,000 | \$ 1,009,850 | 87.4% | 481.50 | | 2/5/2013 |

.

1 of 2

PUBLIC SCHOOL BONDING INDEBTEDNESS PERCENTAGES as of December 31, 2018

| DISTRICT | 2018 INITIA | L TOTAL VALUATIONS | | NDING CAPACITY at 6% of Value | O | BONDS JTSTANDING ON 12/31/18 | A | VAILABLE CAPACITY | BONDING INDEBTEDNESS PERCENTAGE | 2017-2018 FINAL 40th DAY MEM. | , | ASSESSED VALUATION/PER MEM. | DATE DISTRICT PASSED SB-9, 2 MILL LEVY |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|----|----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|--|
| LOS ALAMOS | \$ | 745,267,498 | s | 44,716,050 | \$ | 31,280,000 | \$ | 13,436,050 | 70.0% | 3,662.50 | \$ | 203,486 | No Election |
| LOS LUNAS | S . | 895,222,415 | | 53,713,345 | S | 48,300,000 | Ś | 5,413,345 | 89.9% | 8,838.00 | -\$ | 101,292 | 2/6/2018 |
| LOVING MUNICIPAL | 5 | 237,070,571 | \$ | 14,224,234 | \$ | 3,545,000 | \$ | 10,679,234 | 24.9% | 533.00 | \$ | 444,785 | 2/5/2013 |
| LOVINGTON | \$ | 694,678,895 | \$ | 41,680,734 | \$ | 39,780,000 | \$ | 1,900,734 | 95.4% | 3,532.50 | | 196,654 | 2/3/2015 |
| MAGDALENA | \$ | 30,458,455 | \$ | 1,827,507 | \$ | 1,370,000 | \$ | 457,507 | 75.0% | 318.00 | | 95,781 | 2/7/2017 |
| MAXWELL | \$ | 21,593,837 | | 1,295,630 | | 114,000 | \$ | 1,181,630 | 8.8% | 113.00 | | | 2/5/2013 |
| MELROSE | \$ | 34,369,038 | \$ | 2,062,142 | \$ | 550,000 | | 1,512,142 | 26.7% | 226.50 | | 151,740 | 2/7/2017 |
| MESA VISTA | \$ | 82,583,365 | | 4,955,002 | | 4,280,000 | \$ | 675,002 | 86.4% | 243.00 | | 339,849 | 2/5/2013 |
| MORA | \$ | 101,531,411 | \$ | 6,091,885 | \$ | | \$ | 6,091,885 | 0.0% | 422.00 | | | 2/5/2013 |
| MORIARTY | \$ | 567,933,067 | - | 34,075,984 | | 21,325,000 | | 12,750,984 | 62.6% | 2,894.00 | | | 2/3/2015 |
| MOSQUERO | \$ | 77,677,598 | | 4,660,656 | | 4,872,000 | | (211,344) | 104.5% | 37.50 | | | 2/2/2016 |
| MOUNTAINAIR | \$ | 72,549,445 | | 4,352,967 | | 2,680,000 | | 1,672,967 | 61.6% | 227.00 | | | 2/2/2016 |
| PECOS | \$ | 139,986,249 | | 8,399,175 | | 4,375,000 | | 4,024,175 | 52.1% | 601.50 | <u> </u> | | 2/7/2017 |
| PEÑASCO | \$ | 56,141,252 | | 3,368,475 | | 1,470,000 | | 1,898,475 | 43.6% | 339.00 | | | 6/18/2013 |
| POJOAQUE | \$ | 174,140,328 | | 10,448,420 | | 5,860,000 | | 4,588,420 | 56.1% | 1,979.00 | | | 2/5/2013 |
| PORTALES | \$ | 287,857,024 | | 17,271,421 | <u> </u> | 10,740,000 | <u> </u> | | 62.2% | 2,669.00 | | | 2/7/2017 |
| QUEMADO | \$ | 91,735,672 | | 5,504,140 | | 425,000 | | 5,079,140 | 7.7% | 147.00 | | | 2/7/2017 |
| QUESTA | \$ | 192,294,467 | | 11,537,668 | | 5,015,000 | | | 43.5% | 489.50 | | | 2/5/2013 |
| RATON | \$ | 155,380,622 | | 9,322,837 | | 1,097,000 | | | 11.8% | 903.50 | | | |
| RESERVE | \$ | 46,596,417 | | 2,795,785 | | 1,690,000 | | | 60.4% | 127.50 | | | 2/5/2013 2/6/2018 |
| RIO RANCHO | \$ | 2,280,162,355 | \$ | 136,809,741 | | 121,135,000 | | | 88.5% | 17,784.00 10,121.50 | | | 2/5/2013 |
| ROSWELL | \$ | 1,077,890,843 | | 64,673,451 | | 39,135,000 | | | 60.5% 70.3% | 10,121.50 | | | 2/2/2016 |
| ROY | \$ | 10,026,358 | | 601,581 | | 423,000 | | | 86.2% | 1.987.00 | | | 2/5/2013 |
| RUIDOSO | \$ | 713,584,862 | \$ | 42,815,092 | | 36,915,000 | | | 9.7% | 1,987.00 | | | 2/7/2013 |
| SAN JON SANTA FE | \$ | 15,514,533 | | 930,872 | | 90,000 212,500,000 | | | <u>9.7%</u> 54.0% | 16,212.50 | | | 2/6/2018 |
| SANTA PE | \$ | 6,554,328,127 | | 393,259,688 6,716,233 | | 3.325.000 | | | 49.5% | 649.00 | | | 2/5/2013 |
| SILVER CITY | \$ | <u>111,937,209</u> 574,977,096 | | 34,498,626 | | 5,000,000 | | | 14.5% | 2,736.50 | | | 2/5/2013 |
| SOCORRO | | 187,509,044 | | 11,250,543 | | 10.229.000 | | | 90.9% | 1.662.50 | | | 2/6/2018 |
| SPRINGER | | 41,299,618 | | 2,477,977 | | 532.000 | | | 21.5% | 136.00 | | | 2/2/2016 |
| TAOS | <u> </u> | 1.174.163.675 | | 70,449,821 | | 27.120.000 | | and the second | 38.5% | 3.316.50 | | | 2/5/2013 |
| TAUS | \$ | 97,141,908 | | 5.828.514 | | 2.575.000 | | | 44.2% | 315.00 | | | 2/5/2013 |
| TEXICO | \$ | 86,194,552 | | 5,171,673 | | 3,640,000 | | | | 555.00 | | | |
| TORC | S | 319.657,445 | | 19.179.447 | | 12,625,000 | | | 65.8% | 1.258.00 | | | 2/7/2017 |
| TUCUMCARI | <u>s</u> | 118,464,317 | | 7.107.859 | | 4,490,000 | | | | 948.00 | | | |
| TULAROSA | | 100,780,137 | | 6.046.808 | _ | 4,790,000 | | | | 842.50 | | | |
| VAUGHN | \$ | 95,754,251 | | 5,745,255 | | 2,390,000 | | | | 63.50 | | | 2/3/2015 |
| WAGON MOUND | | 33,083,870 | | 1,985,032 | | 880,000 | | | | 67.50 | | 6 490,131 | 2/4/2014 |
| ZUNI | S | 2,227,109 | | 133,627 | | | ŝ | | 0.0% | 1,267.50 | | | 2/6/2018 |
| TOTALS | \$ | 60,698,935,715 | \$ | 3,641,936,141 | \$ | 2,202,364,000 | | \$ 1,439,572,141 | 60% | 328,698.50 | | | |

4

.

Final

2 of 2

| School District | 2014- | 2015 | 2015-20 | 16 | 2016-3 | 2017 | 2017-2 | 018 | 2018-2019 | TOTAL | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------------|--|
| | Budgeted | Actual | Budgeted | Actual | Budgeted | Actual | Budgeted | Actual | Budgeted | Budgeted | Actual | |
| ztec | | | | | | | | \$120,000 | | \$0 | | |
| 3elan | | | | | | \$169,803 | | \$120,000 | ╺────┤┣ | \$0 | \$120 | |
| Carrizozo | | | | | | \$62,563 | | h | | \$385,308 | \$169 | |
| Chama | \$547,439 | \$589,000 | \$534,051 | \$330,850 | \$480,736 | \$841,079 | \$585,827 | \$605.000 | \$405,000 | \$3,078,053 | \$295 | |
| Amarron | \$173,000 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | 000,021 | 4003,000 | 4403,000 | \$463,000 | \$2,59 | |
| Corona ¹ | \$235,717 | \$156,800 | \$374,899 | \$228,750 | \$234,845 | \$281,008 | \$325,307 | \$205,000 | \$332,000 | \$463,000 | \$1,57 | |
| les Moines | \$114,548 | \$203,000 | \$228,728 | \$64,550 | \$90,000 | \$61,882 | \$103,003 | \$156,000 | \$100,000 | \$988,279 | \$1,5/0 | |
| lida | | | | | | | 4100,000 | | \$100,000 | \$190,000 | \$23 | |
| t. Sumner | \$314,000 | | | | | | | | I | \$190,000 | | |
| Brady ³ | \$145,960 | \$171,000 | \$75,000 | \$193,930 | \$143,600 | \$98,991 | | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$892,760 | \$208 | |
| londo | \$48,895 | | \$100,469 | \$99,920 | \$141,040 | \$166,734 | \$133,841 | \$130,000 | \$130,000 | \$786,245 | \$957 | |
| louse | | | \$40,000 | | \$254,489 | \$230,321 | \$221,682 | \$83,000 | \$130,000 | | \$870 | |
| ake Arthur ³ | | \$45,000 | | \$115,000 | \$189,328 | \$144,938 | \$130,788 | 203,000 | \$113,000 | \$1,204,171 | \$83 | |
| as Vegas City ² | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | | 0100,020 | \$177,000 | \$130,700 | | | \$720,116 | \$58 | |
| ordsburg | | | | | \$302,000 | \$234,750 | | | | \$200,000 | \$50 | |
| lagdalena | | | | \$22,120 | 400L,000 | 4234,730 | | | - | \$302,000 | \$23 | |
| Aaxweli | \$138,000 | \$178,000 | \$194,000 | \$176,550 | \$394,855 | \$420,779 | \$358,221 | \$343,000 | | \$0 | \$2 | |
| letrose ³ | \$319,500 | \$381,000 | \$347,000 | \$385,700 | \$430,000 | \$480,574 | \$401,767 | | \$343,000 | \$1,911,076 | \$1,56 | |
| lesa Vista ² | | \$237,000 | | \$275,000 | 4100,000 | 4100,074 | \$401,707 | \$304,000 | \$354,000 | \$2,241,414 | \$1,92 | |
| foriarty | | | \$231,537 | 4215,000 | | | \$400.112 | \$191,500 | | \$0 | \$920 | |
| Aosquero ³ | | \$75,000 | 4601,007 | \$75,000 | | · | \$400,112 | \$293,000 | | \$631,649 | \$293 | |
| luemado ³ | \$323,765 | \$625,000 | | \$363,820 | £450 404 | 0000.070 | | | | \$640,000 | \$77 | |
| luesta | | 4020,000 | \$335,197 | \$567,720 | \$452,104 \$69,244 | \$328,872 | \$454,793 | \$329,000 | \$230,000 | \$1,882,662 | \$1,91 | |
| taton ³ | | \$150,000 | 4000,107 | \$150,000 | 309,244 | \$77,512 | | \$51,000 | | \$404,441 | \$696 | |
| leserve ^{1,2,3} | \$410,284 | \$481,000 | | \$150,000 | | \$352,126 | \$157,874 | | | \$157,874 | \$65 | |
| oy | \$50,000 | 4101,000 | | \$113,550 | \$438,543 | \$448,462 | \$274,534 | \$250,000 | \$243,000 | \$1,839,748 | \$1,60 | |
| an Jon | 000,000 | | | | | | \$183,052 | \$188,400 | \$188,400 | \$1,141,101 | \$94 | |
| pringer | | | | | | | | | | \$144,631 | \$20 | |
| aughn | ├ ────┤ | \$176,500 | | | | | | | | \$153,016 | \$15 | |
| lagon Mound | \$390,000 | \$176,500 | | | | | | | | \$309,653 | \$59 | |
| Vest Las Vegas ² | \$390,000 | \$348,000 | \$365,750 | \$366,900 | \$427,799 | \$442,925 | \$474,033 | \$550,000 | \$442,925 | \$2,930,507 | \$2,537 | |
| otal Emergency Supplemental | \$3,411,108 | | | | | | | | | \$200,000 | \$200 | |
| our Energency oupplemental | 33,411,100 | \$4,016,300 | \$2,826,631 | \$3,529,360 | \$4,048,583 | \$4,643,320 | \$4,204,834 | \$3,848,900 | \$2,931,325 | \$26,741,744 | \$25,053 | |
| School District | 2014- | | 2015-20 | | 2016-2 | | 2017-2 | 018 | 2018-2019 | TOTAL | | |
| | Budgeted | Actual | Budgeted | Actual | Budgeted | Actual | Budgeted | Actual | Budgeted | Budgeted | Actual | |
| amogordo | \$23,000 | \$22,464 | \$43,000 | \$21,347 | \$0 | \$32,669 | \$0 | \$34,064 | \$0 | \$134,464 | \$12 | |
| ordsburg ⁵ | \$250,000 | \$254,464 | \$257,000 | \$303,954 | \$0 | \$267,331 | \$0 | \$265,936 | \$0 | \$1,062,108 | \$1,31 | |
| bial Out of State Fultion | \$273,000 | \$276,928 | \$300,000 | \$325,301 | \$0 | \$300,000 | \$0 | \$300,000 | \$0 | \$1,196,572 | \$1,43 | |
| AND TOTALS | \$3,684,108 | \$4,293,228 | \$3,126,631 | \$3,854,661 | \$4,048,583 | \$4,943,320 | \$4,204,834 | \$4,148,900 | \$2,931,325 | \$27,938,316 | 620.40 | |
| | | | | | + 10 10 1000 | 41,010,020 | 44,604,004 | | | | \$26,48 Education Depar | |

Public Education Department Supplemental Emergency and Out-of-State Distributions by School District

Actual 2013-2014 to Budgeted 2018-2019

2

¹Corona Public School received \$162 thousand and Reserve Independent Schools received \$40 thousand in emergency supplemental revenues in FY13 from the appropriation made in the General Appropriation Act of 2013 intended for FY14. ²Las Vegas City Schools received \$300 thousand, Mesa Vista Consolidated Schools received \$225 thousand, Reserve Independent Schools received \$116 thousand, and West Las Vegas School received \$200 thousand in emergency supplemental appropriation ³The Public Education Department approved a \$720 thousand corryover from the FY14 emergency supplemental appropriation for use in FY16 from seven districts. ⁴Lordsburg Municipal Schools received \$304 thousand for out-of-state tuition in FY16, including \$25.3 thousand from FY16 emergency supplemental funds. About \$1.2 million from FY16 emergency supplemental funds were carried over for use in FY17.