

Draft Systems Renewal Ranking Standards (Example)

For a project to be eligible to apply, it must pass two viability tests:

[Exception - The project will reduce the campus Gross Square Footage by 50% or more]

- #1 - Campus (school) condition must be worse than statewide average
- #2 - Applicant must be able to demonstrate that the post-project wNMCI will be 33% or more lower than the pre-project wNMCI

Applicant projects will be ranked based on total score of an available 50 points. Projects will be awarded to highest points first and will be limited to funding availability.

SCORE	CRITERIA	ALLOCATION OF POINTS (X points)
	Reduction of Operating Costs	36% or greater (5); 21-35% (4); 11-20%(3); 6-10%(2); 1-5% (1)
	Percent of FCI (not wNMCI) Reduction	36% or greater (5); 21-35% (4); 11-20%(3); 6-10%(2); 1-5% (1)
	Reduction of Campus Gross Square Feet	36% or greater (5); 21-35% (4); 11-20%(3); 6-10%(2); 1-5% (1)
	Feasibility/Utilization/Engineering Evaluation Report(s)	Yes (5)
	District has their funding match	Yes (5)
	Campus has Facility Maintenance Assessment Report (FMAR) score of satisfactory (70.1% or better).	90.1 or better (5) ; 80.1 or better (3); 70.1 or better(1)
	This application is for a highly ranked school of the District and either a Standards Based application was not submitted during the current award cycle or was not awarded.	Highest ranked (5); 2nd Highest (3); 3rd Highest (1)
	Project contains one or more high risk system(s) [roof, HVAC, drainage]	3ea (5); 2ea (4); 1ea (3)
	Project contains one or more systems that are listed in the PSFA FAD as Category 1,2,3 or 4 [use highest]	Category 1 (5); Category 2 (4); Category 3 (3); Category 4 (2)
	Worst systems in the application to be renewed have been included in the District's FMP for 2+ years	Yes (5)
	TOTAL POINTS SCORED (maximum - 50 points)	

Draft Systems Renewal Ranking Standards (Example)