

**Performance Report Card**  
**Office of the State Engineer**  
**Fiscal Year 2009**

**Performance Overview:** In general, the agency met or surpassed its FY09 program target measures. The Water Resource Allocation Program continues to have issues with the backlog in protested and aggrieved water rights and it is recommended that agency take steps to realign the measure under the appropriate program.

<b>Water Resource Allocation Program</b>		Budget: \$14,148.7	FTE: 184.5	FY08 Actual	FY09 Target	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY09 Annual	Rating
1	Average number of unprotested new and pending applications processed per month*			75	80	77	73	74	74	<b>Y</b>
2	Average number of protested and aggrieved applications processed per month*			10	9	11	11	11	12	<b>G</b>
3	Number of unprotested and unaggrieved water right applications backlogged* (average)			521	630	480	509	481	481	<b>G</b>
4	Number of protested and aggrieved water rights backlogged* (average)			295	300	302	323	342	342	<b>R</b>
5	Number of transactions abstracted annually into the water administration technical engineering resource system database* (cumulative - new measure for FY09)			N/A	22,000	11,573	18,163	25,047	25,047	<b>G</b>
<b>Program Rating</b>				<b>G</b>						<b>Y</b>
Comments: With respect to item 4, the agency indicates that this measure remained in the Water Resource Allocation Program (WRAP) after the Litigation and Adjudication Program (LAP) was established as a separate program. While the agency has considered realigning the measure under the LAP program, it has not pursued the matter. Further, an additional measure should be considered in order to determine the actual percentage of abstract transactions as compared to the total number of transactions that remain to be processed.										
<b>Interstate Stream Compact Compliance and Water Development Program</b>		Budget: \$13,072.8	FTE: 54	FY08 Actual	FY09 Target	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY09 Annual	Rating
6	Cumulative state-line delivery credit per the Pecos river compact and amended decree at the end of calendar year, in acre feet (AF)*			92.5K AF Credit	0 (Credit)	Reported Annually	Reported Annually	Reported Annually	98.5K AF Credit	<b>G</b>
7	Rio Grande river compact accumulated delivery credit or deficit at end of calendar year, in acre feet (AF)*			59.5K AF Credit	0 (Credit)	Reported Annually	Reported Annually	Reported Annually	116K AF Credit	<b>G</b>
<b>Program Rating</b>				<b>G</b>						<b>G</b>
Comments: This program achieved FY09 program target measures. With respect to item 6, successful implementation of the Pecos River Compact will essentially eliminate the possibility of a large-scale priority call on the Lower Pecos River that could result in an adverse economic impact of some \$300 million.										
<b>Litigation and Adjudication Program</b>		Budget: \$7,106.1	FTE: 72	FY08 Actual	FY09 Target	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY09 Annual	Rating
8	Number of offers to defendants in adjudications* (cumulative)			529	1,000	1,548	2,206	2,972	2,972	<b>G</b>
9	Percent of all water rights that have judicial determinations*			43%	42%	42%	43%	43%	43%	<b>Y</b>
<b>Program Rating</b>				<b>Y</b>						<b>G</b>
Comments: With respect to item 9, although this program achieved FY09 program target measures, there is concern that the target itself is not high enough as it suggests that some 57 percent of water rights do not have judicial determinations.										
<b>Program Support</b>		Budget: \$4,270.7	FTE: 44	FY08 Actual	FY09 Target	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY09 Annual	Rating
10	Percent of department contracts that include performance measures*			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	<b>G</b>
<b>Program Rating</b>				<b>G</b>						<b>G</b>
Comments: This program achieved FY09 program target measures.										

\* Denotes House Bill 2 measure