Master FIR (1988) Page 1 of 3

NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCALIMPACTREPORT

SPONSOR:	Kissner	DATE TYPED:	02/04/00		HB	21
SHORT TITLE:	Remove	Minimum Construction Cost			SB	
Requirement				AN	ALYST:	Carrillo

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring	Fund
FY00	FY01	FY00	FY01	or Non-Rec	Affected
		See Fiscal Impact Section			

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

*See Fiscal Impact Section.

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to

Master FIR (1988) Page 2 of 3

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Environment

General Services Department

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 21 proposes to amend Section 13-1-119.1 NMSA 1978 by removing the \$10 million minimum construction cost requirement for design and build projects provision.

Significant Issues

According to General Services Department staff, if certain conditions are met, the design and build methodology could be utilized for public works projects with a maximum allowable construction cost exceeding \$200.0

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The staff from the General Services Department states that there would be costs incurred by the department if the legislation is enacted. Reference is given to promulgation of rules as referred to in Subsection D. The current rule would need to be rewritten and appropriately published in order to effect the change.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Master FIR (1988) Page 3 of 3

General Services Department staff time would be required to modify the existing rule, conduct the required public hearing and file the rule change as statutorily required.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The General Services Department staff notes not all public works projects lend themselves to the deign and build methodology. The initial intent of Section 13-1-119.1 NMSA 1978 when enacted was to limit the "determination" to use design and build for a singular or specific project. "Determination" is defined ion Section 13-1-52 NMSA 1978 and means the written documentation of a decision of a procurement officer including findings of fact to support a decision.

The General Services Department staff suggests leaving the phase "on a specific project" in the provision and inserting a period after the work "project".

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

According to General Services Department staff, 13-1-119.1(F) NMSA 1978 sets forth procedures to follow when utilizing the design and build methodology for projects of less than \$200.0. This legislation, if passed, would affect those proposed projects anticipated to exceed \$200.0.

WJC/njw