Master FIR (1988) Page 1 of 2

NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCALIMPACTREPORT

SPONSOR:	Wilson	DATE TY	PED:	02/11/00		НВ	
SHORT TITLE: Erroneous Claims of Income Taxes Owed					SB	169/aSWMC	
					ANAL	YST:	Williams

REVENUE

Estin	nated Revenue	Subsequent	Recurring	Fund	
FY00	FY01	Years Impact	or Non-Rec	Affected	
	\$ (70.0)	\$ (70.0)	Recurring	General Fund	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD) - Revised Analysis

Attorney General (AG)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of SWMC Amendment

Master FIR (1988) Page 2 of 2

The amendment addressed a technical concern with the bill identified by TRD. The controversial letters of advisement were not sent in March 1999, but instead in August 1999 and requested response by September 7, 1999. The amendment only changes the dates.

Synopsis of Original Bill

Certain taxpayers may claim as a credit against income tax due any expenses incurred in defense of an erroneous claim by TRD in a letter of advisement regarding the taxpayer owed income taxes for prior years. This credit could be carried forward for one tax year.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

TRD estimates a General Fund revenue loss of \$70.0, based on an estimated cost of \$200 per claim.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

TRD estimates an additional 2 FTE would be required in FY01 at a cost of \$60.0 to verify claims.

AW/njw:gm