NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCALIMPACTREPORT

SPONSOR:	Stockard		DATE TYPED:	02/15/00	J	HB	
SHORT TITLE:		Revise Law Enforcement Protection Fund Rate			( L	SB	186
					ANALY	(ST:	Trujillo/Eaton

## **REVENUE**

Esti	mated Revenue	Subsequent	Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY00	FY01	Years Impact	or Non-Rec		
	\$ (907.4)		Recurring	General Fund	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

## No response received from:

Department of Finance and Administration (DFA)

Corrections Department (CD)

Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD)

# SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 186 increases the rate of distribution from the Law Enforcement Protection Fund to law enforcement departments serving a population of up to 20,000 from \$17.0 to \$20.0. The bill also increases the distribution to municipal and university police and country sheriff departments for each full time police officer or certified police officer from \$300 to \$600.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

SB 186 increases the rate of distribution from the Law Enforcement Protection Fund from \$17.0 to \$20.0 for law enforcement departments serving populations up to 20,0000. The bill also increases the distributions from \$300 to \$600 for municipal and university police and county sheriff's departments based on the number of full time officers employed.

Annually, balances in this fund revert to the general fund. The reversion has typically been around \$2.5 million. This bill would reduce this reversion by approximately \$757.8.

## ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The bill simply requires a change in the distribution formulas to reflect the increases.

LAT/JE/gm:jsp