

NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	SFL	DATE TYPED:	02/14/00	HB	
SHORT TITLE:	Review Sambrano Agreement			SB	SJM 40/SFLS
				ANALYST:	Baca

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY00	FY01	FY00	FY01		
	NFI				

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates HJM 40

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC files

State Department of Public Education (SDE)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Floor Substitute for Senate Joint Memorial 40 requests that the State Department of Public Education require the Superintendent of the Albuquerque Public Schools (APS) and his staff meet with those affected by the Sambrano Agreement to review evidence of the district's adherence to the agreement, assess progress to date, and, using information gleaned from a national review of literature and models, develop a revised plan for overcoming the deficiencies addressed by the original agreement.

The Senate Floor Substitute for Senate Joint Memorial 40 directs that the APS Superintendent and the Sambrano Monitoring Committee present the new agreement to the APS Board of Education, the State Board of Education (SBE) and the Rio Grande Community by December 15, 2000.

Significant Issues

A question which may arise and must be considered is whether the SDE has the authority to direct that APS meet with the Sambrano Monitoring Committee and carry out the directives in the memorial.

Using the broad powers of the SBE and the SDE, the SDE can probably order that the meeting be held. However, APS may have grounds for to challenge the legality of the order from the SDE.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

None apparent.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

None apparent.

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

If the Sambrano agreement has not been adhered to, why not?

What evidence exists that the district has failed to carry out its responsibilities pursuant to the Sambrano Agreement?

LB/sb