NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Hea	aton	DATE TYPED:	02/12/01	HB	192
SHORT TITLE: Distribution of Tire		Distribution of Tire R	Recycling Fees		SB	
	-			ANAL	YST:	Williams

REVENUE

Estimated		Subsequent Years Impact		Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY01	FY02			or Non-Rec		
	\$	835.0	\$	835.0	Recurring	Tire Recycling Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

Environment Department

State Highway and Transportation Department (SHTD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

The bill would increase the tire recycling fee as follows:

- for motorcycles, from \$0.50 to \$1.00 per year
- for passenger vehicles, from \$1.00 to \$1.50 per year
- for trucks, truck tractors, road tractors and buses, from \$1.00 to \$1.50 per year
- for buses, from \$0.25 per wheel to \$0.50 per wheel
- for buses associated with agricultural labor, from \$0.25 per wheel to \$0.50 per wheel

The incremental fee increase is directed to the tire recycling fund. The bill does not contain an effective date.

Significant Issues

The bill would reinstate a program that, because of a reassignment of the revenue to the highway infrastructure fund, was effectively abolished.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

House Bill 192 -- Page 2

According to TRD, the bill would result in \$835.0 in revenue to the tire recycling fund. SHTD concurs with this estimate; however, the Environment Department does not. The fiscal impact is based on the annual average vehicle registration count. The fiscal impact does not reflect adjustments for multi-year registration patterns or growth in the size of the fleet. The fiscal impact assumes the first full year of receipts would be FY02, because of the lack of an effective date.

The highway infrastructure fund would continue to receive its distribution from tire recycling fees.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Minimal systems changes and processing costs for TRD.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

Prior to 1999, the tire recycling fee was split between a remediation program (tire recycling program) and a rubberized asphalt program assigned to SHTD. 1999 legislation abolished both the tire recycling and rubberized asphalt programs in favor of a highway infrastructure fund.

In 1994, when the tire recycling fee was first imposed, industry leaders testified that the state's tire disposal problem could be remediated in about five years through a combination of recycling and remanufacturing. Apparently, this bill indicates there is still a significant problem with abandoned tires or tire disposal, and that over five years of money transferred to recycling and remanufacturing programs was not sufficient to deal with the accumulated problem.

The Environment Department notes the tire recycling program currently has \$160.0 to fund administration of the Tire Recycling Act and the tire recycling regulations (including permitting and inspections) and to support two existing FTEs.

AW/ar