NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Rainaldi		DATE TYPED:	02/15/01	НВ	
SHORT TITLE: Driving Restrictions on Certain Vehicles			SB	338		
ANALYST					YST:	Rael

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact			Recurring	Fund
FY01	FY02	FY01	FY02		or Non-Rec	Affected
			\$	486.5	Non-recurring	OSF
			\$	50.0	Recurring	OSF

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE

Estimated	l Revenue	Subsequent	Recurring	Fund	
FY01	FY02	Years Impact	or Non-Rec	Affected	
		See Narrative	Recurring	Motor Vehicle Suspense	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

Relates to <u>HB414</u>

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)
Tax and Revenue Department (TRD)
State Highway and Transportation Department (SHTD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

The Driving Restrictions of Certain Vehicles Bill would require a reduction of the allowable speed from 75 mph to 65 mph for truck tractors or road tractors having a gross vehicle weight of 48,000 pounds or more and would restrict those vehicles to traveling in the right-hand lane except while passing.

Significant Issues

Senate Bill 338 -- Page 2

The new law may be difficult to enforce.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The fiscal impact to NMSHTD of legislation would be the fabrication and installation of additional signs indicating reduced truck speed limits and lane restrictions. Considering NM has 2000 miles of interstate (1000 miles for each direction of travel) and two signs for each direction of travel should be placed at 10 mile intervals, a total of 400 reduced speed limit signs and 400 lane restriction signs would need to be manufactured and installed. A breakdown of the cost to manufacture and install the signs is as follows:

 Signs
 \$176,000

 Posts
 \$304,000

 Mobilization
 \$6500

Estimated total \$486,500

Besides the initial capital cost of the sign materials and installation, annual sign maintenance and replacement costs would be incurred. Assuming an incident rate of 10%, an estimate of \$50,000 for annual expenses would be expected. NMSHTD does not expect any effect on federal or other funding as a result of this bill.

The TRD reports that the proposed bill would probably increase penalty assessments against large vehicles for a short period of time. However, since the restrictions do not vary greatly from current law and practices, revenue impacts would be minor if not insignificant.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The AOC reports that any administrative impact would be related to any increased cases filed as a result of the new provisions.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

The State Highway and Transportation Department reports that the new law would result in greater speed variances and increase car/truck interactions which is a significant factor in crash frequency. Enforcement of current speed limits would provide for a reduced speed dispersion and enhance drivers' safety.

TRD reports that this bill may be difficult to enforce. If a truck was clocked at 75 miles per hour, a law enforcement officer may need to guess whether the truck weighed over 48,000 pounds for probable cause to pull him over. The officer would not actually know the weight of the truck without weighing it since a truck with a gross vehicle rating on its place may still weigh over 48,000 pounds.

FAR/njw:ar