

**NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.**

**The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.**

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR: Garcia, M.P. DATE TYPED: 01/31/03 HB 271

SHORT TITLE: Bernalillo County After-School Learning SB \_\_\_\_\_

ANALYST: Chabot

### APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04	FY03	FY04		
	\$30.0			Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

#### Responses Received From

Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD)

State Department of Education (SDE)

### SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 271 appropriates \$30.0 from the general fund to CYFD for the purpose of contracting to provide students after-school learning services that include tutorial and achievement components in Bernalillo county.

#### Significant Issues

CYFD states that it funds slots for after school child care and could use the appropriation to augment funding or could shift children from child care to an after school program.

SDE supports the concept proposed in this bill and states that students who spend one to four hours per week in extracurricular activities are 50 percent less like to use drugs and are 30 percent less like to become teen parents.

## **FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

The appropriation of \$30.0 contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of fiscal year 2004 shall revert to the general fund.

## **POSSIBLE QUESTIONS**

1. This would be a very limited program due to the size of the appropriation. Will it provide beneficial services to prospective clients?
2. Should a specific population be targeted to receive these services?
3. Should there be a reporting requirement included in the bill to determine if the appropriation should be continued in a subsequent General Appropriation Act?

GAC/sb/lr