NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

| SPONSOR: | НВІС | DATE TYPED: | 3/18/03 | НВ | 1003/HBICS |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|-----|------------|
| SHORT TITLE: | Amend Workers' Co | mp Act | | SB | |
| | | | ANALY | ST: | Collard |

APPROPRIATION

| Appropriation Contained | | Estimated Additional Impact | | Recurring or Non-Rec | Fund Affected |
|-------------------------|------|-----------------------------|------|-------------------------|------------------|
| FY03 | FY04 | FY03 | FY04 | | |
| | NFI | | | | |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Relates to HB 503

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Responses Received From
Workers' Compensation Administration

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

The House Business and Industry Committee substitute for House Bill 1003 changes the Workers' Compensation Act pertaining to independent medical examinations and temporary total disability benefits. In the committee substitute, if an employee returns to work before the date of maximum medical improvement and the employer offers a wage less than the worker's preinjury wage, the worker is entitled to temporary total disability benefits up to two-thirds of the difference between the pre- and post-injury wages. The committee substitute also allows a party to petition for an independent medical examination if the reasonableness or necessity of treatment, or causation, of the injury is questioned.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

There is no appropriation or fiscal implication associated with the committee substitute for House Bill 1003.

House Bill 1003/HBICS -- Page 2

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The Workers' Compensation Administration anticipates additional independent medical examinations and additional investigations of the practice of receiving temporary total disability benefits while working for another employer; however, the department indicates the additional work is absorbable by present staff.

RELATIONSHIP

The committee substitute for House Bill 1003 relates to House Bill 503, as both bills deal with temporary total disability compensation benefits.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The Workers' Compensation Administration notes page 3, lines 1 through 5, are unclear whether the independent medical examinations can be used to help resolve a conflict among health care providers about the extent of impairment. The department indicates this is a frequent current use of independent medical examinations.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

The Workers' Compensation Administration notes the committee substitute reverses two judicial decisions: <u>Grubelnik v. Four-Four, Inc.</u>, relating to temporary total disability benefits and <u>Ramirez v. IBP Prepared Foods, Inc.</u>, relating to independent medical examiners.

The committee substitute changes "the employer" on page 2, line 2 to "any employer." In <u>Grubelnik v. Four-Four, Inc.</u>, a worker who had not been released to return to work and was receiving temporary total disability benefits went to work for another employer doing the same work. This behavior was approved because of a technical reading of the statute, where in the past it had been successfully prosecuted as fraud. The change of wording in the bill undoes the <u>Grubelnik v. Four-Four, Inc.</u> decision.

The department also notes, other than limiting the scope of disputes upon which the independent medical examination may be used, the committee substitute for House Bill 1003 is specifically intended to overturn the decision of Concepcion Ramirez v. IBP Prepared Foods, Inc. In Concepcion Ramirez v. IBP Prepared Foods, Inc., the court refused to allow an independent medical examination to take place when the judge felt it would have assisted him in making a determination of contested issues, due to wording in the present statute.

KBC/njw