NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR: M	cSorley	DATE TYPED:	03/07/03	HB	
SHORT TITLE:	Increase Cigarette &	Tobacco Products	Tax	SB	717
			ANAL	YST:	Neel

REVENUE

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04			
	\$47,290.0	\$51,400.0	Recurring	General Fund
	\$1,564.0	\$1,700.0	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

Relates to:

SB 133, Tobacco Settlement Fund Appropriations

SB 336, Change Cigarette Tax & Revenue Distribution

SB 528, Increase Cigarette Tax

SB 717, Increase Cigarette & Tobacco Products Tax

SB 730, Temporary Tobacco Settlement Fund Transfer

SB 835, Increase Cigarette Tax

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC files

Responses Received From

Health Policy Commission (HPC) Department of Health (DOH)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 717 increases the rate of taxation on the sale, distribution and consumption of cigarettes by .80/pack and tobacco products from 25 percent of the products value to 40 percent. The bill also reduces the distribution rates of cigarette tax revenues to the County and Municipal-

Senate Bill 717 -- Page 2

ity Recreational Fund, the County and Municipal Cigarette Tax Fund, UNM Cancer and Treatment Center, and the New Mexico Finance Authority. However the actual revenue distributed to the programs is held harmless based on the increases gross tax rate.

Subsection	Entity affected by re-	Current per-	SB 717 pro-
	distribution of cigarette	centage dis-	posed distri-
	tax revenue	tribution	bution
A	County and Municipality	.0475	.0127
	Recreational Fund		
В	County and Municipal	.0950	.0254
	Cigarette Tax Fund		
C	UNM Cancer and	.0475	.0127
	Treatment Center		
D	New Mexico Finance	.07125	.0190
	Authority		

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

SB 717 would generate approximately \$48.9 million in general fund revenues in FY04 (11of 12 months) and \$53.1 million in subsequent years.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

DOH has provided the following background information:

Following a cigarette tax increase, the anticipated response is that sales volumes will ultimately decrease due to economic disincentive. Other states have shown, however, that decreasing to-bacco sales does not necessarily mean decreasing tax revenues. High cigarette excise taxes in California, Arizona, Massachusetts, Oregon, Michigan, and Canada have demonstrated a reduction in cigarette sales. However, despite reductions in cigarette sales, all of these states received increased cigarette tax revenues. In 1999, California increased its cigarette tax by fifty cents per pack, increasing the tax to 0.87 cents. State consumption declined by 18.9% but revenues increased by 90.7% resulting in \$555.4 million in new revenues. In 1997, Utah increased its cigarette tax twenty-five cents per pack, increasing the tax to 51.5 cents. State consumption declined by 25.7% but revenues increased by 84.2%, resulting in \$17.6 million in new revenues.

New Mexico currently has one of the lowest cigarette taxes in the country at 21-cents per pack, according to the Federation of Tax Administration (State Tax Rates and Structure: State Cigarette Tax Rate, October, 2002; www.taxadmin.org/rate/cigarette.html). Except for Colorado, all neighboring states have higher cigarette taxes than New Mexico, with Arizona at \$1.18 per pack, Utah at 69.5 cents per pack, Texas at 41 cents, and Colorado at 20 cents. Nineteen states and New York City raised their cigarette tax rates in 2002. The highest state cigarette excise tax is \$1.50 in the state of New York.

According to the World Health Organization, it is important to place equivalent taxes on cigarettes and non-cigarette tobacco products (e.g. pipe and rolling tobacco, snuff, oral tobacco, cigars, etc.) to avoid users simply substituting one form of tobacco addiction for another (Guidelines for Controlling and Monitoring the Tobacco Epidemic, 1998). The tax increase from 25%

Senate Bill 717 -- Page 3

to 40% of product value proposed by SB 717 represents an important step in taxing other tobacco products.

There is evidence that New Mexico residents support the cigarette tax increase provision in SB 717. A poll commissioned in 2002 by New Mexicans Concerned About Tobacco (a statewide coalition that includes the American Cancer Society, American Heart Association, and the American Lung Association) found that 63% of New Mexico registered voters support a 60-cent increase in the state's cigarette excise tax. In this poll, voters were not asked about a 79-cent increase.

SN/prr