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|  |  | FISCAL IMPACT | REPORT |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | ORIGINAL DATE | $01 / 21 / 10$ |  |  |
| SPONSOR | SFC |  | LAST UPDATED | $02 / 14 / 10$ | HB |

## SHORT TITLE

$\qquad$ SB $\qquad$ 30/SFCS

## REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

| Estimated Revenue |  |  | Recurring <br> or Non-Rec | Fund <br> Affected |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY10 | FY11 |  | General Fund |
|  | $\$ 33,144.8$ |  | Recurring | UNM Cancer Center |
|  | $\$ 4.2$ |  | Recurring | NMFA |
|  | $\$ 0.2$ |  | Recurring | NMFA for UNM Health |
|  | $(\$ 4.0)$ |  | Recurring | NMFA for DOH |
|  | $(\$ 3.2)$ |  | Recurring | Recurring |
|  | $\$ 4.2$ |  | Rural County Cancer Treatment Fund |  |
|  | $(\$ 3.9)$ |  | Recurring | County \& Muni Cigarette Fund |

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)
Relates to HB35 and SB121

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

## LFC Files

## Responses Received From

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)
New Mexico Finance Authority (NMFA)
New Mexico Health Policy Commission (HPC)
Department of Health (DOH)

## SUMMARY

## Synopsis of SFC Substitute

The Senate Finance Committee substitute for Senate Bill 30 increases the excise tax on cigarettes by $\$ 1.00$ per pack for one year, from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. Additional revenue from the tax increase is to be distributed to the general fund.
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The effective date of this bill’s provisions is July 1, 2010.

New Distributions under SB30

## Changes to Excise Tax

Changes to tax rate on cigarettes
Cigarette in packs of twenty
Pack of 20
Cigarette in packs of five
Pack of 5
Current Law SB30

| $\$$ | 0.0455 |  | 0.0955 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 1 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 1 0 0}$ |
| $\$$ | 0.1820 |  | $\$$ | 0.3820 |
| $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 1 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 1 0 0}$ |

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

TRD assumed that revenue generated from an increased tax rate would be partially offset by a decline in demand for cigarettes in general and taxable cigarettes in particular. The percentage distributions are adjusted so that the beneficiaries, other than the general fund, are not impacted by the increase.

TRD:
The "Cigarette Inventory Tax" (Section 7-12-3.1 NMSA 1978) is distinctly separate from the "Cigarette Tax" and is distributed entirely to the State General Fund. The estimate has assumed that less than one-half of the first month of additional revenue may be collected as inventory tax, and that retailer purchases in the first month will be weaker than normal after stocking to capacity in June to beat the tax increase. Thus, some strength in FY2010 June revenue may be expected, but that impact has not been included in the estimated revenue.

Cigarette Tax revenue to the NMFA Credit Enhancement Account is ultimately forwarded to the State General Fund. The total General Fund impact with inclusion of the NMFA Credit Enhancement Account is shown as "General Fund". The impact on the direct General Fund monthly distribution is +2.5 thousand (positive) and the impact on the NMFA Credit Enhancement Account is -3.7 thousand (negative) per year.

## SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The increase in the state tax would move New Mexico from $31^{\text {st }}$ highest excise tax to 16th. Colorado has an 84 cent tax, Arizona taxes at $\$ 2.00$, Utah taxes at $\$ 0.695$, and Texas taxes $\$ 1.41$ per pack (see Attachment 1).

TRD:
The Cigarette Tax rate was last increased in 2003 when the rate was increased from $\$ 0.21$ per pack to $\$ 0.91$ per pack. Prior to that, the tax rate was increased from $\$ 0.15$ per pack to $\$ 0.21$ per pack in 1993 . The $\$ 0.15$ per pack tax had been in effect from 1986 through 1993.
The Tobacco Products Tax was first implemented in 1986 at the current tax rate of $25 \%$ of wholesale value.

On March 31, 2009 the federal cigarette tax rate increased from 39 cents per pack to $\$ 1.01$ per pack, which was expected to decrease cigarette consumption by about $8 \%$. The federal tax increase was expected to affect the volume of both state taxable cigarettes and tax-exempt Tribal sales.

DOH:
The County-Supported Medicaid Fund supports both Medicaid services through the Human Services Department and some primary care clinics through the Department of Health. The state of New Mexico is facing a substantial shortfall in the County-Supported Medicaid fund and has been considering cutting services to Medicaid recipients. Raising the tobacco and cigarette taxes and distributing the increased revenue to the County-Supported Medicaid Fund would help to close the growing gap between funds available and demand for services.

## ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

This bill will have a moderate impact on TRD.

## RELATIONSHIP

SB30 relates to:

- HB35 which increases the cigarette tax and the tobacco products tax, distributes the revenue attributable to the increased taxes to the public school fund and adjusts the distributors' cigarette tax stamp discounts
- SB121 which also increases the cigarette tax, adjusts the cigarette stamp discounts and adjusts the cigarette tax distribution


## TECHNICAL ISSUES

TRD:
The original version of the pre-filed bill SB-30 includes revenue distribution language that was considered not administrable by the Department. This bill analysis has assumed the revenue distribution language in the original bill will be amended or substituted as discussed between staff of the Taxation and Revenue Department and Legislative Council Service.
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## OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

HPC:
New Mexico carries a significant economic burden due to tobacco use. According to TUPAC, in 2009 the annual smoking-related costs in NM were $\$ 976$ million. This includes $\$ 483$ million per year in direct medical costs and another \$493 million per year resulting from lost productivity. Each pack of cigarettes sold costs the State $\$ 14.00$ in smoking-attributable medical and lost productivity costs. The average retail price of a pack of cigarettes in New Mexico is $\$ 4.85$. (SOURCE: http://164.64.93.39/documents/Quick\ Facts\ 2010.pdf)

NMFA:
Under the current statute, the NM Finance Authority (NMFA) receives five distributions from the revenue received from the excise tax on cigarettes and tobacco products. These distributions are dedicated for payment of debt service on revenue bonds to finance facilities for: Behavioral Health Capital Fund, UNM Health Sciences Center, NM Department of Health and the Rural County Cancer Treatment Center.

DOH:
Raising cigarette taxes is widely regarded as one of the most effective tobacco prevention strategies, with such increases leading to "substantial long-run improvements in health" (Reducing Tobacco Use, Surgeon General, 2000). Increasing the state cigarette excise tax and tobacco products tax would result in an increase in state revenue and an anticipated decrease in tobacco consumption by youth and adults. (http://www.tobaccofreekids.org/research/factsheets/pdf/0281.pdf).

BLG/mt

The Legislative Finance Committee has adopted the following principles to guide responsible and effective tax policy decisions:

1. Adequacy: revenue should be adequate to fund government services.
2. Efficiency: tax base should be as broad as possible to minimize rates and the structure should minimize economic distortion and avoid excessive reliance on any single tax.
3. Equity: taxes should be fairly applied across similarly situated taxpayers and across taxpayers with different income levels.
4. Simplicity: taxes should be as simple as possible to encourage compliance and minimize administrative and audit costs.
5. Accountability/Transparency: Deductions, credits and exemptions should be easy to monitor and evaluate and be subject to periodic review.

More information about the LFC tax policy principles will soon be available on the LFC website at www.nmlegis.gov/lcs/lfc


[^0]
## State Tax Rates on Other Tobacco Products

As of January 1, 2008

| State | Tax Base | Tax Rate (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Cigars (2) | 4.0¢-40.5¢/ 10 cigars |
|  | Tobacco/Snuff | 0.6¢-5.25\$/ ounce |
| Alaska |  | 75\% Wholesale Price |
| Arizona | Cigars (2) | 44.14-\$2.60/ 20 cigars |
|  | Tobacco/Snuff | 23.8¢/ounce |
| Arkansas |  | 32\% Manufactures Price |
| California (3) |  | 45.13\% Wholesale Price |
| Colorado |  | 40\% Manufactures Price |
| Connecticut (5) |  | 20\% Wholesale Price |
| Delaware |  | 15\% Wholesale Price |
| Florida |  | 25\% Wholesale Price |
| Georgia | Little Cigars | 2.5¢/10 cigars |
|  | Other Cigars | 23\% Wholesale Price |
|  | Tobacco | 10\% Wholesale Price |
| Hawaii |  | 40\% Wholesale Price |
| Idaho |  | 40\% Wholesale Price |
| Illinois |  | 18\% Wholesale Price |
| Indiana |  | 24\% Wholesale Price |
| Iowa |  | 50\% Wholesale Price |
| Kansas |  | 10\% Wholesale Price |
| Kentucky |  | 7.5\% Wholesale Price |
| Louisiana | Cigars | 8\%-20\% Manufacture Price |
|  | Tobacco/Snuff | 33\% Manufactures Price |
| Maine | Chewing Tobacco./Snuff | 78\% Wholesale Price |
|  | Smoking Tobacco/Cigars | 20\% Wholesale Price |
| Maryland |  | 15\% Wholesale Price |
| Massachusetts | Smokeless Tobacco | 90\% Wholesale Price |
|  | Smoking Tobacco/Cigars | 30\% Wholesale Price |
| Michigan |  | 32\% Wholesale Price |
| Minnesota |  | 70\% Wholesale Price |
| Mississippi |  | 15\% Manufactures Price |
| Missouri |  | 10\% Manufactures Price |
| Montana |  | 50\% Wholesale Price |
| Nebraska |  | 20\% Wholesale Price |
| Nevada |  | 30\% Wholesale Price |
| New Hampshire |  | 19\% Wholesale Price |

## State Tax Rates on Other Tobacco Products

As of January 1, 2008

| State | Tax Base | Tax Rate (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Jersey |  | 30\% Wholesale Price |
| New Mexico |  | 25\% Product value |
| New York |  | 37\% Wholesale Price |
| North Carolina |  | 3\% Wholesale Price |
| North Dakota | Cigars \& Tobacco | 28\% Wholesale Price |
|  | Chew Tobacco/Snuff | 16¢-60¢/ounce |
| Ohio |  | 17\% Wholesale Price |
| Oklahoma | Cigars (2) | 36\$-120¢/ 10 cigars |
|  | Tobacco/Snuff | 60\%-80\% factory list price |
| Oregon |  | 65\% Wholesale Price |
| Rhode Island |  | 40\% Wholesale Price |
| South Carolina |  | 5\% Manufactures Price |
| South Dakota |  | 35\% Wholesale Price |
| Tennessee |  | 6.6\% Wholesale Price |
| Texas | Cigars (2) | 1.0¢-15.0¢/10 cigars |
|  | Tobacco/Snuff | 40\% Manufactures Price |
| Utah |  | 35\% Manufactures Price |
| Vermont (6) |  | 41\% Manufactures Price |
| Virginia |  | 10\% Wholesale Price |
| Washington |  | 75\% Wholesale Price |
| West Virginia |  | 7\% Wholesale Price |
| Wisconsin |  | 50\% Manufactures Price |
| Wyoming (4) |  | 20\% Wholesale Price |

Source: Compiled by the Federation of Tax Administrators from various sources.
(1) The volume based tax rates were converted to cents per 10 cigars or per ounce for consistency.
(2) Tax rate on cigars varies based on the selling price.
(3) Tax rate is adjusted annually by the state, effective July 1st of each year.
(4) or $10 \%$ of the retail price.
(5) Snuff tobacco taxed at 40 cents per ounce.
(6) Little cigars are taxed as cigarettes.


[^0]:    Source: The Tax Foundation

