Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Sapien	ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED	01/27/10 02/08/10	НВ	
SHORT TITL	E Certain Auto Deale	er Acts as Unlawful		SB	58/aSCORC/aSJC
			ANAL	YST	Lucero

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund Affected
FY10	FY11	or Non-Rec	
	NFI		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From
Attorney General's Office (AGO)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of SJC Amendment

The Senate Judiciary Committee (SJC) amendment to Senate Bill 58 adds that "unless a separate agreement lasting no more than fifteen years has been voluntarily entered into for separate consideration," it is unlawful to require either directly or indirectly a site control agreement or exclusive use agreement.

The amendment also clarifies when returning unsold motorcycles and motor vehicle to the manufacturer or distributor, upon termination of a franchise, only inventory that is "current" and from the "immediately" preceding two model years are required to be purchased back at the dealer's cost if it was purchased from the manufacturer or dealer "fourteen" months prior.

Additionally, in regard to economic loss from idled or underused dealer facility real estate, the amendment clarifies that as a result from "a manufacturer's involuntary" termination a franchise, the dealer shall be compensated 'unless the dealer is in violation of the franchise agreement." Finally, the amendment clarifies that the economic loss is presumed to be at least equal to the value of two years of "fair market rental value."

Senate Bill 58/aSCORC/aSJC – Page 2

Synopsis of SCORC Amendment

The Senate Corporations and Transportation Committee amendment to Senate Bill 58 clarifies the timeframe for returning of inventory to the manufacturer to "within" 18 months of purchase. The amendment deletes "parts, accessories" from the proposed new section regarding installed equipment that the manufacture or distributor shall pay to the dealer upon termination of the franchise.

The amendment adds: "unless the fair market value is mutually agreed upon by the parties" to the section regarding installed equipment. This gives the parties an opportunity to otherwise agree rather than to leave such determination to a qualified independent appraiser.

The amendment strikes the proposed new subsection (F) on page 12 lines 11 through 14, the deletion rids the definition of "new motor vehicle" which contradicted the existing definitions of new vehicle in the New Mexico Attorney General's rules regarding advertising.

Synopsis of Original Bill

The bill proposes to amend Section 57-16-3 NMSA 1978 to add to the existing definition of "manufacturer" and add new definitions for "successor manufacturer," "predecessor manufacturer," and "former franchisee."

The bill also adds to Section 57-16-8 NMSA to add a new paragraph (B) regarding prohibited acts surrounding site control agreements or exclusive use agreements.

Section 3 of the bill a new paragraph (B) to existing Section 57-16-9 NMSA 1978 which defines what constitutes an anticipatory termination of a franchise.

Section 4 of the bill adds a new definition (F) of what constitutes a "new" motor vehicle to existing Section 57-12-9.2 NMSA 1978.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

There is no fiscal impact to state agencies.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The SCORC amendment resolves or cures the AGO's concern regarding the definition of "new" motor vehicle presented below.

Regarding the proposed definition of a new motor vehicle contained on page 12 of this bill:

"F. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, A VEHICLE SHALL BE CONSIDERED NEW IF THAT VEHICLE'S MANUFACTURER'S CERTIFICATE OF ORIGIN HAS NOT BEEN SURRENDERED AND THE VEHICLE HAS NO SIGNIFICANT DAMAGE AND HAS NEVER BEEN REGISTERED."

The AG notes a conflict with existing definitions of a motor vehicle are located at 12.2.4.7 NMAC and are as follows:

Senate Bill 58/aSCORC/aSJC - Page 3

"All vehicles may be classified as one of the following:

A. 'Used' shall mean (1) a vehicle that has previously been sold to a retail buyer who has taken possession of the vehicle and the vehicle has been driven a total of at least two hundred (200) miles by one or more retail buyers; or (2) a vehicle for which the manufacturer's certificate of origin or the manufacturer's statement of origin has been surrendered to a registration authority, unless the certificate or statement has subsequently been returned, uncancelled, to the dealer or a substitute certificate or statement has been issued to the dealer.

- B. 'Demonstrator' shall mean a vehicle which is not used but which has been placed in demonstration or courtesy service regardless of the miles it has been driven.
- C. 'New' means a vehicle which is neither used nor a demonstrator."

In the original version of the bill, the definition of "new" motor vehicle would likely trump the existing regulations, which would have presented the following legal ramification, however the SCORC amendment resolves the AGO's concern:

When a manufacturer terminates a franchise agreement and the dealer returns the inventory to the manufacturer, subsequently the manufacturer arguably will redistribute the inventory either to a new franchisee or to an existing franchisee. This means that the redistributed inventory forseeibly will be advertised as "new" when it may actually really be considered "used" pursuant to 12.2.4.7A1 NMAC, or as a demonstrator pursuant to 12.2.4.7B NMAC. That would no longer protect consumers as intended by the NMAG regs for advertising purposes.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The SJC amendment provides more clarity to the language of the bill.

The SCORC amendment resolves the AGO's concern regarding the definition of "new" motor vehicle. In the original bill, the AGO noted that in order to maintain the consumer protection safeguards in the Attorney General's definitions of classes of motor vehicles, the amendment of Section 4 which adds a definition of what constitutes a "new" motor vehicle should mirror the Attorney General's definitions.

DL/svb:mt:mew