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HB $\qquad$
SHORT TITLE
Increase Cigarette Tax
SB 121 ANALYST Gutierrez

## REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

| Estimated Revenue |  |  | Recurring <br> or Non-Rec | Fund <br> Affected |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | General Fund |  |
| $\$ 6,400.0$ | $\$ 19,300.0$ | $\$ 19,300.0$ | Recurring | County \& Muni Recreation Fund |
| $\$ 22.0$ | $\$ 66.0$ | $\$ 66.0$ | Recurring | C |
| $\$ 34.0)$ | $(\$ 102.0)$ | $(\$ 102.0)$ | Recurring | County \& Muni Cigarette Fund |
| $\$ 22.0$ | $\$ 66.0$ | $\$ 66.0$ | Recurring | UNM Cancer Center |
| $\$ 32.0$ | $\$ 95.0$ | $\$ 95.0$ | Recurring | NMFA |
| $\$ 234.0$ | $\$ 702.0$ | $\$ 702.0$ | Recurring | NMFA for UNM Health |
| $\$ 99.0$ | $\$ 298.0$ | $\$ 298.0$ | Recurring | NMFA for DOH |
| $\$ 16.0$ | $\$ 49.0$ | $\$ 49.0$ | Recurring | Rural County Cancer Treatment |

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)
Relates to SB30 and HB35

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

## LFC Files

## Responses Received From

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)
New Mexico Health Policy Commission (HPC)
Department of Health (DOH)

## SUMMARY

## Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 121 increases the excise tax rate on cigarettes by 50 cents a pack and adjusts the distributions to the beneficiaries so that the revenue from the increase goes to the States' general fund but leaves the distributions to the other beneficiaries approximately at their current revenue levels. This bill also adjusts the discounts allowed on the sales price of cigarette tax stamps to maintain the current value of the discount.

New Distributions under SB121

|  | Current Law | SB121 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| County/Municipal Recreational Fund | $1.35 \%$ | $1.08 \%$ |
| County/Municipal Cigarette Tax Fund | $2.69 \%$ | $1.85 \%$ |
| UNM HSC | $1.35 \%$ | $1.08 \%$ |
| NMFA | $2.02 \%$ | $1.61 \%$ |
| NMFA/HSC | $14.37 \%$ | $11.49 \%$ |
| NMFA/DOH | $6.05 \%$ | $4.84 \%$ |
| NMFA/CEA (general fund) | $15.79 \%$ | $11.49 \%$ |
| NMFA/rural county cancer treatment | $1.00 \%$ | $0.80 \%$ |
| General Fund | $55.38 \%$ | $65.76 \%$ |

## Changes to Excise Taxes and Vendor Discounts

Changes tax rate on cigarettes
Cigarette
Pack of 20
Cigarette in packs of five
Pack of 5

Changes the discounts for stamps
Less than \$30,000 of stamps
$\$ 30,000$ to 60,000
$\$ 60,000$ or more

|  | Current Law | SB121 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 0.0455 | $\$$ | 0.0705 |
| $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 1 0 0}$ |
| $\$$ | 0.1820 | $\$$ | 0.2820 |
| $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 1 0 0}$ |


| Current Law | SB121 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1.00 \%$ | $0.65 \%$ |
| $0.80 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ |
| $0.50 \%$ | $0.32 \%$ |

The effective date of this bill's provisions is March 1, 2010 provided that the act is adopted by a two-third's vote of each house, otherwise the effective date is July 1, 2010.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

TRD assumed that revenue generated from an increased tax rate would be partially offset by a decline in demand for cigarettes in general and taxable cigarettes in particular. The percentage distributions are adjusted so that the current beneficiaries, other than the general fund, are not impacted by the increase.

The FY10 estimate assumes the bill will be enacted on March 1, 2010. Subsequent years are similar with no growth expected in Cigarette Tax revenue.

TRD:
The "Cigarette Inventory Tax" (Section 7-12-3.1 NMSA 1978) is distinctly separate from the "Cigarette Tax" and is distributed entirely to the State General Fund. The estimate has assumed that less than one-half of the first month of additional revenue may be collected as inventory tax, and that retailer purchases
in the first month will be weaker than normal after stocking to capacity in June to beat the tax increase. Thus, some strength in FY2010 June revenue may be expected, but that impact has not been included in the estimated revenue.

Cigarette Tax revenue to the NMFA Credit Enhancement Account is ultimately forwarded to the State General Fund. The total General Fund impact with inclusion of the NMFA Credit Enhancement Account is shown as "General Fund". The direct impact on the NMFA Credit Enhancement Account is (111) thousand (negative) per year.

## SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The increase in the state tax would move New Mexico from $31^{\text {st }}$ highest excise tax to 20th. Colorado has an 84 cent tax, Arizona taxes at $\$ 2.00$, Utah taxes at $\$ 0.695$, and Texas taxes $\$ 1.41$ per pack (see Attachment 1).

TRD:
An increase in the state Cigarette Tax rate would probably result in the shifting of a significant volume of additional cigarette sales to tax-exempt Tribal retail sellers. Currently, state taxable cigarette volume is $67 \%$ of total consumption and tax-exempt Tribal cigarette volume is $33 \%$ of total consumption. It is estimated that with the tax increase, tax-exempt Tribal cigarette volume would be almost $40 \%$ of total consumption.

The Cigarette Tax rate was last increased in 2003 when the rate was increased from $\$ 0.21$ per pack to $\$ 0.91$ per pack. Prior to that, the tax rate was increased from $\$ 0.15$ per pack to $\$ 0.21$ per pack in 1993. The $\$ 0.15$ per pack tax had been in effect from 1986 through 1993.

On March 31, 2009 the federal cigarette tax rate increased from 39 cents per pack to $\$ 1.01$ per pack, which was expected to decrease cigarette consumption by about $8 \%$. The federal tax increase was expected to affect the volume of both state taxable cigarettes and tax-exempt Tribal sales.

## ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

TRD:
High impact if new rate goes into effect on March 1 because of the short time period in which to revise forms, instructions and publications and inform taxpayers. The impact is moderate if the effective date is July 1.

## RELATIONSHIP

Senate Bill 121 relates to:

- SB30 which increases the cigarette and tobacco taxes and distributes the additional revenue to the county-supported Medicaid fund
- HB35 which increases the cigarette and tobacco taxes and distributes the additional revenue to the public school fund


## TECHNICAL ISSUES

TRD:
Section 1, Subsection B (page 2, line 1 and 2): rather than "one and eighty-five hundredths", the sponsor may have intended the distribution to be something closer to "two and fifteen hundredths".

Section 1, Subsection G (page 3, line 8): rather than "eleven and forty-nine hundredths", the sponsor may have intended the distribution to be something closer to "twelve and sixty-three hundredths".

Section 2, Subsection A, Paragraph (2): the number "(\$.0141)" should be "(\$.141)".

The distribution changes in Section 1 should be referenced by an APPLICABILITY Section specifying applicability to receipts from the cigarette tax attributable to sales that occur on or after the effective date of the bill (either under an Emergency Clause - March 1, 2010, or without the Emergency Clause July 1, 2010).

## OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

DOH:
Raising cigarette taxes is widely regarded as one of the most effective tobacco prevention strategies. The U.S. Surgeon General has concluded that such tax increases will lead to "substantial long-run improvements in health" (Reducing Tobacco Use, Surgeon General, 2000).

BLG/svb

The Legislative Finance Committee has adopted the following principles to guide responsible and effective tax policy decisions:

1. Adequacy: revenue should be adequate to fund government services.
2. Efficiency: tax base should be as broad as possible to minimize rates and the structure should minimize economic distortion and avoid excessive reliance on any single tax.
3. Equity: taxes should be fairly applied across similarly situated taxpayers and across taxpayers with different income levels.
4. Simplicity: taxes should be as simple as possible to encourage compliance and minimize administrative and audit costs.
5. Accountability/Transparency: Deductions, credits and exemptions should be easy to monitor and evaluate and be subject to periodic review.

More information about the LFC tax policy principles will soon be available on the LFC website at www.nmlegis.gov/lcs/lfc


[^0]
[^0]:    Source: The Tax Foundation

