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ANALYST Gutierrez

## REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

| Estimated Revenue |  |  | Recurring <br> or Non-Rec | Fund <br> Affected |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | General Fund |  |
|  | $(\$ 558.0)$ | $(\$ 582.0)$ | Recurring | R |
|  | $(\$ 354.0)$ | $(\$ 372.0)$ | Recurring | Local DWI Grant Fund |
|  | $\$ 58.0$ | $\$ 58.0$ | Recurring | McKinley County |
|  | $\$ 12,000.0$ | $\$ 12,200.0$ | Recurring | Bernalillo County |
|  | $\$ 2,000.0$ | $\$ 2,035.0$ | Recurring | Dona Ana County |
|  | $\$ 1,100.0$ | $\$ 1,120.0$ | Recurring | San Juan County |
|  | $\$ 2,500.0$ | $\$ 2,550.0$ | Recurring | Santa Fe County |

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)
Relates to SB101, SB126, SB142 and HB34
ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

|  | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | 3 Year <br> Total Cost | Recurring <br> or Non-Rec | Fund <br> Affected |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | $\$ 124.0$ | $\$ 90.0$ | $\$ 214.0$ | Recurring | TRD |

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files
Responses Received From
Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)
Department of Health (DOH)
New Mexico Health Policy Commission (HPC)

## SUMMARY

## Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 214 amends the definition of a small winegrower to be one who produces fewer than 1.2 million liters of wine in a year, an increase from the current 950 thousand liters. This bill authorizes Class A counties to impose a local liquor excise tax, subject to voter approval. This bill also removes TRD's 5 percent administrative charge on the local liquor excise tax.

The effective date of this bill’s provisions is July 1, 2010.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Liquor demand is responsive to price changes and it is important to recognize that when prices go up, demand will go down, affecting the fiscal impact. This is called the elasticity of demand. For this estimate, it is assumed that the price elasticity is -0.45 for beer and cider, -2.25 for spirits, and -1.5 for wine. The reasoning behind the large negative elasticities is that Class A counties make up 67 percent of the state and it is assumed that there will be some leakage due to people purchasing liquor from a nearby non-Class A county.

Currently, only McKinley County is authorized to impose a local liquor excise tax. McKinley County imposes a 5 percent local liquor excise tax on the price paid by the retailer, regardless of the type of alcohol. This bill proposes to impose a local liquor excise tax for Class A counties as follows:

- spirituous liquor - up to $\$ 0.99$ per liter;
- beer and cider - up to $\$ 0.25$ per gallon;
- wine - up to $\$ 0.28$ per liter;
- fortified wine - up to $\$ 0.93$ per liter;
- microbrewer beer - up to $\$ 0.05$ per gallon;


## SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

New Mexico's liquor excise tax is already high in comparison to other states' tax rates (see Attachment 1 and Attachment 2).

TRD:
Section 7-1-6.40 (B) NMSA 1978 distributes \$20,750 monthly (\$249 thousand per year) from the state liquor excise tax to the City of Farmington for alcohol treatment and rehabilitation services for street inebriates (effective July 1, 2009). If San Juan County were to impose the new local liquor excise tax, a review of the state liquor excise tax earmark to Farmington might be appropriate.

The allowed uses for local liquor excise tax revenue is slightly revised in the bill, and the revision would affect McKinley County's tax. Tax proceeds could no longer fund "education programs" but could be used only for "direct program services" for prevention and treatment of alcoholism and drug abuse.

## ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

TRD:
A moderate administrative impact on the Department to create new tax reporting forms and instructions, and implement the new local liquor excise tax program. The cost of the new forms would be about $\$ 2,000$. Two additional FTE would be needed for the Revenue Processing Division to process and maintain the program at a cost of $\$ 45,000$ per FTE. A new tax program would be needed for Gentax, requiring about 320 hours to implement. There could be additional administrative impact if it is determined that tracking of revenue by municipal location is necessary to accommodate the revenue sharing agreements where municipalities are split between counties.

## RELATIONSHIP

This bill relates to:
HB34 - proposes to create a liquor surtax and distribute the revenue to the public school fund
SB101 - expands the ability to dedicate revenue from the local liquor excise tax to include "a safe transportation shuttle system for transport of inebriated persons"
SB126 - proposes to increase the liquor excise tax rate and distribute the increased revenue to the general fund, leaving the local DWI fund held harmless
SB142 - proposes to increase the liquor excise tax and distribute the increased revenue to the general fund, local DWI fund and the newly created mental health and substance abuse treatment fund

## TECHNICAL ISSUES

TRD:
Section 4, Subsection H of the bill (on page 12, lines 8 through 11) provides that "Two counties that share a municipality that crosses the counties' common boundary may enter into a joint powers agreement to share the revenue from a local liquor excise tax imposed within the shared municipality." It is not clear that the Taxation and Revenue Department will be able to determine local excise tax revenue attributable to specific municipalities, and it undoubtedly would not be cost-effective to design the tax processing system to do so. Any joint powers agreement between counties would probably have to be based on estimates of revenue attributable to the particular municipalities.

The current definition of "small winegrower" at 950,000 liters per year is similar to the federal definition of "small domestic wine producer" at 250,000 gallons per year (about 946,250 liters). It is unclear at this time whether departure from the federal definition might have any significant tax compliance impact or result in some unexpected revenue loss.

## BLG/svb

The Legislative Finance Committee has adopted the following principles to guide responsible and effective tax policy decisions:

1. Adequacy: revenue should be adequate to fund government services.
2. Efficiency: tax base should be as broad as possible to minimize rates and the structure should minimize economic distortion and avoid excessive reliance on any single tax.
3. Equity: taxes should be fairly applied across similarly situated taxpayers and across taxpayers with different income levels.
4. Simplicity: taxes should be as simple as possible to encourage compliance and minimize administrative and audit costs.
5. Accountability/Transparency: Deductions, credits and exemptions should be easy to monitor and evaluate and be subject to periodic review.

More information about the LFC tax policy principles will soon be available on the LFC website at www.nmlegis.gov/lcs/lfc

## Comparison of State Alcoholic Beverage Rankings

| State | Per Capita (age 14 and over) Ethanol Consumption | Ethanol Consumption Ranking | Beer Tax Rate Ranking | Wine Tax Rate Ranking | Spirits <br> Tax Rate <br> Ranking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Hampshire | 4.22 | 1 | 15 | 48 | 50 |
| District of Columbia | 3.95 | 2 | 43 | 39 | 47 |
| Nevada | 3.61 | 3 | 33 | 23 | 34 |
| Delaware | 3.23 | 4 | 31 | 13 | 31 |
| Wisconsin | 2.98 | 5 | 50 | 44 | 36 |
| North Dakota | 2.88 | 6 | 32 | 32 | 42 |
| Alaska | 2.84 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Wyoming | 2.82 | 8 | 51 | 51 | 51 |
| Montana | 2.80 | 9 | 36 | 11 | 12 |
| Colorado | 2.75 | 10 | 46 | 43 | 44 |
| Florida | 2.72 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 16 |
| Vermont | 2.68 | 12 | 17 | 29 | 49 |
| Louisiana | 2.65 | 13 | 13 | 47 | 41 |
| Hawaii | 2.62 | 14 | 4 | 8 | 20 |
| Idaho | 2.59 | 15 | 34 | 34 | 9 |
| Oregon | 2.59 | 16 | 45 | 24 | 2 |
| South Dakota | 2.59 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 29 |
| Rhode Island | 2.56 | 18 | 42 | 27 | 33 |
| Maine | 2.49 | 19 | 12 | 26 | 23 |
| Massachusetts | 2.48 | 20 | 41 | 28 | 28 |
| Arizona | 2.45 | 21 | 30 | 18 | 37 |
| Minnesota | 2.45 | 22 | 35 | 41 | 24 |
| South Carolina | 2.44 | 23 | 5 | 10 | 25 |
| New Mexico | 2.43 | 24 | 9 | 5 | 19 |
| Missouri | 2.41 | 25 | 49 | 36 | 45 |
| Illinois | 2.36 | 26 | 26 | 21 | 13 |
| Connecticut | 2.35 | 27 | 22 | 25 | 26 |
| Washington | 2.35 | 28 | 18 | 17 | 1 |
| California | 2.34 | 29 | 21 | 46 | 35 |
| New Jersey | 2.34 | 30 | 39 | 16 | 22 |
| Nebraska | 2.33 | 31 | 14 | 14 | 32 |
| Mississippi | 2.26 | 32 | 8 | 35 | 14 |
| Texas | 2.25 | 33 | 24 | 45 | 43 |
| Iowa | 2.24 | 34 | 25 | 3 | 7 |
| Maryland | 2.21 | 35 | 44 | 37 | 48 |
| Michigan | 2.19 | 36 | 23 | 30 | 10 |
| Pennsylvania | 2.16 | 37 | 48 | 49 | 15 |
| Virginia | 2.13 | 38 | 19 | 7 | 3 |
| Indiana | 2.10 | 39 | 40 | 33 | 38 |
| Georgia | 2.06 | 40 | 3 | 6 | 30 |
| New York | 2.06 | 41 | 37 | 42 | 18 |
| Ohio | 2.03 | 42 | 28 | 38 | 11 |
| Alabama | 2.02 | 43 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| North Carolina | 2.00 | 44 | 6 | 19 | 5 |
| Kansas | 1.96 | 45 | 27 | 40 | 40 |
| Oklahoma | 1.93 | 46 | 11 | 22 | 21 |
| Tennessee | 1.89 | 47 | 38 | 9 | 27 |
| Kentucky | 1.85 | 48 | 47 | 31 | 17 |
| Arkansas | 1.84 | 49 | 20 | 20 | 39 |
| West Virginia | 1.76 | 50 | 29 | 12 | 46 |
| Utah | 1.34 | 51 | 10 | 50 | 8 |

Comparison of State Liquor Excise Tax Rates
Updated January 1, 2008

| STATE | Distilled Spirits |  |  | Wine |  |  | Beer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \end{aligned}$ | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \end{aligned}$ | SALES <br> TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \end{aligned}$ | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ |
| Alabama | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes |  | 1.7 | Yes | over $14 \%$ sold through state store | 0.53 | Yes | \$0.52/gallon local tax |
| Alaska | 12.8 | n.a. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { under 21\% - } \\ & \$ 2.50 / \text { gallon } \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | n.a. |  | 1.07 | n.a. |  |
| Arizona | 3 | Yes |  | 0.84 | Yes |  | 0.16 | Yes |  |
| Arkansas | 2.5 | Yes | under 5\% \$0.50/gallon, under 21\% \$1.00/gallon; \$0.20/case and 3\% off$14 \%$ onpremise retail taxes | 0.75 | Yes | under 5\% \$0.25/gallon; \$0.05/case; and 3\% offand $10 \%$ onpremise | 0.23 | Yes | under 3.2\% \$0.16/gallon; \$0.008/gallon and $3 \%$ off10\% onpremise tax |
| California | 3.3 | Yes | over 50\% - <br> \$6.60/gallon | 0.2 | Yes | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { sparkling } \\ \text { wine - } \\ \$ 0.30 / \text { gallon } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.2 | Yes |  |
| Colorado | 2.28 | Yes |  | 0.32 | Yes |  | 0.08 | Yes |  |
| Connecticut | 4.5 | Yes | under 7\% - <br> \$2.05/gallon | 0.6 | Yes |  <br> sparkling <br> wine - <br> $\$ 1.50 /$ gallon | 0.19 | Yes |  |
| Delaware | 5.46 | n.a. | under 25\% \$3.64/gallon | 0.97 | n.a. |  | 0.16 | n.a. |  |
| Florida | 6.5 | Yes | under $17.259 \%$ - $\$ 2.25 /$ gallon, over $55.780 \%$ - $\$ 9.53 /$ gallon $6.67 ¢ /$ ounce on-premise retail tax | 2.25 | Yes | over $17.259 \%$ - $\$ 3.00 /$ gallon, sparkling wine $\$ 3.50 /$ gallon $6.67 \$ / 4$ ounces on- premise retail tax | 0.48 | Yes | 2.67\$/12 <br> ounces on- <br> premise <br> retail tax |
| Georgia | 3.79 | Yes | \$0.83/gallon local tax | 1.51 | Yes | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { over 14\% - } \\ \$ 2.54 / \text { gallon; } \\ \$ 0.83 / \text { gallon } \\ \text { local tax } \end{array}$ | 0.32 | Yes | \$0.53/gallon local tax |

Comparison of State Liquor Excise Tax Rates
ATTACHMENT 2

Updated January 1, 2008

| State | Distilled Spirits |  |  | Wine |  |  | Beer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SALES <br> TAXES <br> APPLIED | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { OTHER } \\ \text { TAXES } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ |
| Hawaii | 5.98 | Yes |  | 1.38 | Yes | Sparkling wine \$2.12/gallon and wine coolers \$0.85/gallon | 0.93 | Yes | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$0.54/gallon } \\ \text { draft beer } \end{gathered}$ |
| Idaho | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes |  | 0.45 | Yes |  | 0.15 | Yes | over 4\% - <br> \$0.45/gallon |
| Illinois | 4.5 | Yes | under 20\% - <br> \$0.73/gallon; <br> \$1.845/gallon <br> in Chicago and <br> \$2.00/gallon in Cook County | 0.73 | Yes | over 20\% \$4.50/gallon; \$0.246/gallon in Chicago and (\$0.16\$0.30)/gallon in Cook County | 0.185 | Yes | \$0.16/gallon in Chicago and \$0.06/gallon in Cook County |
| Indiana | 2.68 | Yes | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { under 15\% - } \\ \$ 0.47 / \text { gallon } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.47 | Yes | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { over 21\% - } \\ \$ 2.68 / \text { gallon } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.115 | Yes |  |
| Iowa | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes |  | 1.75 | Yes | under 5\% - <br> \$0.19/gallon | 0.19 | Yes |  |
| Kansas | 2.5 | no | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \text { off- and } \\ 10 \% \text { on- } \\ \text { premise } \\ \text { retail tax } \end{gathered}$ | 0.3 | no | over 14\% - <br> \$0.75/gallon; 8\% off- and $10 \%$ onpremise | 0.18 | -- | over 3.2\% \{8\% off- and 10\% onpremise\}, under 3.2\% 4.25\% sales tax. |
| Kentucky | 1.92 | Yes* | under 6\% - <br> \$0.25/gallon; \$0.05/case and 11\% wholesale tax | 0.5 | Yes* | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ \text { wholesale } \end{gathered}$ | 0.08 | Yes* | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ \text { wholesale tax } \end{gathered}$ |
| Louisiana | 2.5 | Yes | under 6\% - <br> \$0.32/gallon | 0.11 | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \text { to } 24 \%- \\ & \$ 0.23 / \text { gallon, } \\ & \text { over } 24 \% \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { sparkling } \\ & \text { wine - } \\ & \$ 1.59 \text { gallon } \end{aligned}$ | 0.32 | Yes | \$0.048/gallon local tax |

Comparison of State Liquor Excise Tax Rates
Updated January 1, 2008

| STATE | Distilled Spirits |  |  | Wine |  |  | Beer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SALES <br> TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | EXCISE <br> TAX <br> RATES <br> (\$ per <br> gallon) | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ |
| Maine | see footnote (1) | Yes |  | 0.6 | Yes | over 15.5\% sold through state stores, sparkling wine - <br> \$1.25/gallon; additional 5\% onpremise sales tax | 0.35 | Yes | additional $5 \%$ onpremise tax |
| Maryland | 1.5 | Yes |  | 0.4 | Yes |  | 0.09 | Yes | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \$ 0.2333 / \text { gallo } \\ \mathrm{n} \text { in Garrett } \\ \text { County } \end{array}$ |
| Massachusetts | 4.05 | Yes* | under 15\% - <br> \$1.10/gallon, <br> over 50\% <br> alcohol - <br> \$4.05/proof <br> gallon; <br> $0.57 \%$ on <br> private club <br> sales | 0.55 | Yes* | sparkling wine \$0.70/gallon; | 0.11 | Yes* | $0.57 \%$ on private club sales |
| Michigan | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes |  | 0.51 | Yes | over $16 \%$ - <br> \$0.76/gallon | 0.2 | Yes |  |
| Minnesota | 5.03 | -- | \$0.01/bottle <br> (except <br> miniatures) <br> and 9.0\% <br> sales tax | 0.3 | -- | 14\% to 21\% - \$0.95/gallon, under 24\% and sparkling wine - $\$ 1.82 /$ gallon; over 24\% - $\$ 3.52 /$ gallon; $\$ 0.01$ bottle (except miniatures) and $9 \%$ sales tax | 0.15 | -- | under 3.2\% - <br> \$0.077/gallon . $9 \%$ sales tax |
| Mississippi | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes |  | 0.35 | Yes | over 14\% and sparkling wine - sold through the state | 0.4268 | Yes |  |
| Missouri | 2 | Yes |  | 0.3 | Yes |  | 0.06 | Yes |  |

Comparison of State Liquor Excise Tax Rates
Updated January 1, 2008

| STATE | Distilled Spirits |  |  | Wine |  |  | Beer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \end{aligned}$ | SALES TAXES APPLIED | OTHER | EXCISE <br> TAX RATES (\$ per gallon) | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | EXCISE TAX RATES (\$ per gallon) | SALES tAXES APPLIED | OTHER TAXES |
| Montana | see footnote <br> (1) | n.a. |  | 1.06 | n.a. | over $16 \%$ sold through state stores | 0.14 | n.a. |  |
| Nebraska | 3.75 | Yes |  | 0.95 | Yes |  | 0.31 | Yes |  |
| Nevada | 3.6 | Yes | under 14\% \$0.70/gallon and under 21\% - <br> \$1.30/gallon. | 0.7 | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \text { to } 22 \% \text { - } \\ & \$ 1.30 / \text { gallon, } \\ & \text { over 22\% - } \\ & \$ 3.60 / \text { gallon } \end{aligned}$ | 0.16 | Yes |  |
| New Hampshire | see footnote <br> (1) | n.a. |  | see footnote (2) | n.a. |  | 0.3 | n.a. |  |
| New Jersey | 4.4 | Yes |  | 0.7 | Yes |  | 0.12 | Yes |  |
| New Mexico | 6.06 | Yes |  | 1.7 | Yes | over 14\% - <br> \$5.68/gallon | 0.41 | Yes |  |
| New York | 6.44 | Yes | not more than $24 \%$ \$2.54/gallon; \$1.00/gallon New York City | 0.19 | Yes |  | 0.11 | Yes | \$0.12/gallon in New York City |
| North Carolina | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes* |  | 0.79 | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { over 17\% - } \\ & \$ 0.91 / \text { gallon } \end{aligned}$ | 0.53 | Yes |  |
| North Dakota | 2.5 | -- | 7\% state sales tax | 0.5 | -- | over $17 \%$ - <br> $\$ 0.60 /$ gallon, Sparkling wine - <br> \$1.00/gallon; $7 \%$ state sales tax | 0.16 | -- | 7\% state sales tax, bulk beer \$0.08/gal. |
| Ohio | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes |  | 0.3 | Yes | over 14\% \$0.98/gallon, vermouth \$1.08/gallon and sparkling wine \$1.48/gallon | 0.18 | Yes |  |

Comparison of State Liquor Excise Tax Rates
Updated January 1, 2008

|  | Distilled Spirits |  |  | Wine |  |  | Beer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | EXCISE TAX RATES (\$ per gallon) | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { OTHER } \\ \text { TAXES } \end{array}$ | EXCISE TAX RATES (\$ per gallon) | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { OTHER } \\ \text { TAXES } \end{array}$ |
| Oklahoma | 5.56 | Yes | 13.5\% onpremise | 0.72 | Yes | over 14\% - <br> $\$ 1.40 /$ gallon, <br> sparkling <br> wine - <br> $\$ 2.08 /$ gallon; <br> $13.5 \%$ on- <br> premise | 0.4 | Yes | under 3.2\% - <br> \$0.36/gallon; $13.5 \%$ onpremise |
| Oregon | see footnote <br> (1) | n.a. |  | 0.67 | n.a. | over 14\% \$0.77/gallon | 0.08 | n.a. |  |
| Pennsylvania | see footnote (1) | Yes |  | see footnote (2) | Yes |  | 0.08 | Yes |  |
| Rhode Island | 3.75 | Yes |  | 0.6 | Yes | sparkling wine \$0.75/gallon | 0.1 | Yes | \$0.04/case <br> wholesale tax |
| South Carolina | 2.72 | Yes | \$5.36/case and 9\% surtax | 0.9 | Yes | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \$ 0.18 / \text { gallon } \\ \text { additional tax } \end{array}\right\|$ | 0.77 | Yes |  |
| South Dakota | 3.93 | Yes | under 14\% - <br> \$0.93/gallon, 2\% <br> wholesale tax | 0.93 | Yes | $14 \%$ to $20 \%--$ $\$ 1.45 /$ gallon, over 21\% and sparkling wine - $\$ 2.07 /$ gallon; $2 \%$ wholesale tax | 0.27 | Yes |  |
| Tennessee | 4.4 | Yes | \$0.15/case and $15 \%$ on- premise; under 7\% - $\$ 1.21 /$ gallon. | 1.21 | Yes | \$0.15/case and $15 \%$ onpremise. | 0.14 | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ \text { wholesale tax } \end{gathered}$ |
| Texas | 2.4 | Yes | $14 \%$ onpremise and \$0.05/drink on airline sales | 0.2 | Yes | over 14\% - $\$ 0.408 /$ gallon and sparkling wine - $\$ 0.516 /$ gallon $; 14 \%$ on- premise and $\$ 0.05 /$ drink on airline sales | 0.19 | Yes | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { over 4\% - } \\ \$ 0.198 / \text { gallon } \\ , 14 \% \text { on- } \\ \text { premise and } \\ \$ 0.05 / \text { drink } \\ \text { on airline } \\ \text { sales } \end{array}$ |

Comparison of State Liquor Excise Tax Rates
Updated January 1, 2008

| State | Distilled Spirits |  |  | Wine |  |  | Beer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { EXCISE } \\ & \text { TAX } \\ & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { (\$ per } \\ & \text { gallon) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | EXCISE <br> TAX <br> RATES <br> (\$ per <br> gallon) | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EXCISE <br> TAX <br> RATES <br> (\$ per <br> gallon) | SALES TAXES APPLIED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { TAXES } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Utah | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes |  | see footnote <br> (2) | Yes |  | 0.41 | Yes | over 3.2\% sold through state store |
| Vermont | see footnote <br> (1) | no | $10 \%$ onpremise sales tax | 0.55 | Yes | over $16 \%$ sold through state store, 10\% onpremise sales tax | 0.265 | Yes | 6\% to 8\% alcohol \$0.55; 10\% on-premise sales tax |
| Virginia | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes |  | 1.51 | Yes | under 4\% \$0.2565/gallo n and over $14 \%$ - sold through state store | 0.26 | Yes |  |
| Washington | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes* |  | 0.87 | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { over 14\% - } \\ & \$ 1.72 / \text { gallon } \end{aligned}$ | 0.261 | Yes |  |
| West Virginia | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes |  | 1 | Yes | 5\% local tax | 0.18 | Yes |  |
| Wisconsin | 3.25 | Yes |  | 0.25 | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { over 14\% - } \\ & \text { \$0.45/gallon } \end{aligned}$ | 0.06 | Yes |  |
| Wyoming | see footnote <br> (1) | Yes |  | see footnote <br> (2) | Yes |  | 0.02 | Yes |  |
| Dist. of Columbia | 1.5 | Yes | 8\% off- and $10 \%$ onpremise sales tax | 0.3 | Yes | 8\% off- and $10 \%$ onpremise sales tax, over 14\% \$0.40/gallon and Sparkling \$0.45/gallon. | 0.09 | Yes | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 8 \% \text { off- and } \\ 10 \% \text { on- } \\ \text { premise sales } \\ \text { tax } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| U.S. Median | 3.75 |  |  | 0.69 |  |  | 0.188 |  |  |

Source: Federation of Tax Administrators

* Sales tax is applied to on-premise sales only.
(1) In 18 states, the government directly controls the sales of distilled spirits. Revenue in these states is generated from various taxes, fees and net liquor profits.
(2) All wine sales are through state stores. Revenue in these states is generated from various taxes, fees and net profits

