Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR Griego		ego	ORIGINAL DATE 2/11/15 LAST UPDATED		НВ		
SHORT TITI	LE.	Exclude Humate as	Mineral in Mining Act		SB	288	
				ANAl	LYST	Peterson	

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY15	FY16	or Nonrecurring		
	(\$5.0)	Recurring	Mining Act Fund	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

	Recurring	Fund		
FY15	FY16	FY17	or Nonrecurring	Affected
	(\$5.0)	(\$5.0)	Recurring	Mining Act Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Energy, Minerals and Natural Resource Department (EMNRD)

New Mexico Environment Department (NMED)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 288 adds humate to the list of minerals that are excluded from the definitions of "mineral" and "mining' in the New Mexico Mining Act, effectively removing humate operations from the jurisdiction of the Mining and Minerals Division of the Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department. Additionally, SB 288 makes minor adjustments and clarification to language existing in the act, such as replacing "the effective date of the New Mexico Mining Act," with "June 18, 1993".

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The EMNRD notes, the exclusion of humate as mineral in the New Mexico Mining Act in SB 288 signifies the mining of humate would no longer be subject to the requirements to receive a permit, which would impact revenues collected for humate mining permit application fees and annual fees paid deposited in the mining act fund. The fiscal impact of SB 288 would be a reduction in revenue to the fund of approximately \$5.0 thousand per year.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The EMNRD reports, the Mining Act requires exploration and mining operators to address environmental impacts of mining, to reclaim the permitted area, and to establish a productive post mine land-use. The agency notes, SB 288 relieves operators of humate mines and mills from the current requirement to obtain a permit, to reclaim and stabilize areas disturbed by mining, and to post financial assurance with the agency to cover reclamation costs if the operator fails to reclaim the site. The twelve humate mine operations currently permitted under the Mining Act all hold minimal impact mine permits, which limits surface disturbance for an operation to ten acres. A humate operation in excess of ten acres currently is required to obtain a regular mining permit, which is more complex and costly. According to the EMNRD, the removal of humate from the Mining Act not only would eliminate the obligation to reclaim, it would also remove any acreage limits on existing mines. According to the NMED, to the extent that the requirements applied under the current implementation of the Mining Act serve to reduce fugitive dust from mining activities or closed mines, emissions could increase as a result of removing humate mines from the Mining Act. In the event that fugitive emissions increase, it may become necessary for control of such emissions under the Air Quality Control Act regulated by the NMED in order to meet state and national air quality standards. The department also notes, humate mines could also present a threat to surface water in the form of suspended solids.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

One objective of the NMED strategic plan is to improve and protect air quality by decreasing the number of areas that fail to attain the national ambient air quality standards and proactively address air quality where it is degrading. If emission of air pollutants from humate mines increase as the result of removing their regulatory requirements under the Mining Act, it may become necessary for the NMED to address those air emissions to avoid nonattainment status.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The NMED reports, additional Air Quality Bureau permit action may be necessary in the event that humate mines emit excess fugitive emissions. According to the EMNRD if humate is removed from the Mining Act, agency staff will no longer permit, administer or inspect humate operations. Additionally, the agency notes the proposed changes in SB 288 will require the Mining Commission to amend the regulations to remain consistent with the Mining Act.

SEP/bb/je