

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 1/17/2017

SPONSOR Lundstrom LAST UPDATED _____ HB 4/aHFL#1

SHORT TITLE Revert Balances of Certain Funds SB _____

ANALYST Sallee/Martinez/Rogers

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY17	FY18	FY19		
(\$5,500.0)			Nonrecurring	Law Enforcement Protection Fund
(\$70,000.0)	(\$10,703.0)		Nonrecurring	Fire Protection Fund
(\$2,809.1)			Nonrecurring	Insurance Operations Fund
\$78,309.1	\$10,703.0		Nonrecurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Public Regulation Commission (PRC)

Office of the Superintendent of Insurance (OSI)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of House Floor Amendment

House floor amendment #1 to House Bill 4 requires the State Fire Marshall to coordinate with the Department of Finance and Administration, New Mexico Finance Authority, Office of the State Treasurer, New Mexico municipal league and New Mexico association of counties to develop a schedule for making periodic allotments that takes into consideration documented financial hardships of county fire districts and municipalities, ensures any debt obligation of existing or previously existing fire departments or fire districts are met, provides technical assistance to counties and municipalities on transitioning to an accrual accounting basis, requires reporting of certification of needs and schedule of periodic allotments to the Legislative Finance Committee by the beginning of the FY18 fiscal year and ensures by the end of FY17 that fire districts and municipalities have transitioned to an accrual accounting basis. This amendment also requires the State Treasurer to ensure that any debt obligation to the New Mexico Finance

Authority are met. Lastly, this amendment maintains that any debt obligation pledged for repayment from the law enforcement protection fund or the fire protection fund shall not be impaired.

Synopsis of Bill

The bill would require all FY17 balances of the Insurance Operations Fund, Fire Protection Fund, Fire Protection Grant Fund and Law Enforcement Protection Fund to revert rather than carry forward for spending in FY18. The bill would align each of the funds' revenue with spending during the same fiscal year going forward beginning in FY18. The bill would temporarily halt spending from the fire protection fund to the fire protection grant fund, thus transfers would begin again in FY19 at the current allocation of 40.2 percent of remaining fire protection fund balances.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The Law Enforcement Protection Fund (LEPF) in the state treasury is funded pursuant to the New Mexico Insurance Code from 10 percent of all money received from fees, licenses, penalties and taxes from life, general casualty, and title insurance business, except for money received from health insurance premium surtaxes. The funds are paid monthly to the state treasurer and credited to the LEPF.

Funds from the LEPF are used for costs associated with advanced law enforcement planning and training, the repair and purchase of law enforcement apparatus and equipment, up to 50 percent of the cost of an officer's salary while they participating in basic law enforcement training under certain circumstances, and for match or contribution requirements for the receipt of federal funds relating to criminal justice programs.

Insurance revenues are collected on a monthly basis but are not distributed or transferred until the following year. The bill accelerates transfers to the general fund of amounts collected this year (FY17) and aligns future year revenues and expenses processes. Currently, the State Treasurer transfers to the general fund any balance in the LEPF over the \$100 thousand that is not obligated and is certified by the local government division (LGD) of the Department of Finance and Administration to be distributed from the fund the fiscal year after the revenue is collected. This bill would allow transfers from the LEPF to the general fund of any amount over \$100 thousand for FY17.

LFC analysis shows that historical reversions from the LEPF were \$7.6 million in FY15 and \$15.3 million in FY16. December revenue estimates project that \$18.2 million will be reverted in FY17. These amounts do not include the estimated \$5.5 million DFA will encumber for FY18 distributions.

Historical Reversions from the LEPF	
FY10	\$ 9,920.2
FY11	\$ 9,089.3
FY12	\$ 8,291.1
FY13	\$ 4,575.5
FY14	\$ 3,936.3
FY15	\$ 7,641.5
FY16	\$ 15,277.2
FY17 (projected)	\$ 18,200.0

Source: Audit Reports

The bill maintains current law by tasking LGD with determining annually on or before April 15 the funding needs of tribal, municipal, and university police and county sheriff’s departments for money in the fund in the succeeding fiscal year. The bill makes no changes to the distribution rates needed to maintain the \$350 thousand balance of the peace officers', New Mexico mounted patrol members' and reserve police officers' survivors fund.

The bill creates a new requirement for LGD to use periodic allotments (e.g. monthly allotments) approved by the division for the current fiscal year beginning in FY18 to make distributions paid by the State Treasurer to governmental entities and to the peace officers’, New Mexico mounted patrol members' and reserve police officers' survivors fund.

The Fire Protection Fund is created by a three percent premium tax collection on auto and fire insurance, collected by the Office of the Superintendent of Insurance (OSI). The fees are collected in the Insurance Suspense Fund within OSI and transferred to the fire protection fund at the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission (PRC). The transfer is shown in PRC’s budget as a cash balance from the previous fiscal year. This cash balance is used to pay the next fiscal year’s expenses (see below). All revenues are collected before they are used, which allows funds to always be reverted.

Fire Protection Fund Distribution	
CASH BALANCE AS OF 06/30/16	82,726,747.07
ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	(50,980,348.00)
FIREFIGHTER BURN BUILDING APPROPRIATION	(1,000,000.00)
DUE FROM INSURANCE SUSPENSE FUND @ 06/30/16	1,071,222.39
DISTRIBUTION TO PERA FIREFIGHTER FUND	(750,000.00)
DISTRIBUTION TO FIRE PROTECTION GRANT FUND FOR SPACEPORT	(1,200,000.00)
STATE FIRE MARSHALL OFFICE FY 17 APPR	(3,622,200.00)
PRC POLICY AND REGULATION FY 17 APPR	(488,100.00)
PRC PROGRAM SUPPORT FY 17 APPR	(558,000.00)
REMAINING CASH BALANCE	25,199,321.46
FIRE PROTECTION GRANT FUND DISTRIBUTION @ 40.2%	(10,130,127.23)
DISTRIBUTION TO STATE GENERAL FUND	15,069,194.23

The bill aligns spending and revenue in the same year, beginning in FY18. As a result, all of FY17 balances will revert to the general fund, an estimated \$70.1 million more than the CREG estimate of \$15.4 million. Going forward, spending from the Fire Protection Fund, including distribution calculations, would remain the same as current law. The bill would require the State Treasurer's Office to make periodic distributions, typically one twelfth of total allotment, to fire districts according to a plan approved by the Fire Marshall. The bill would suspend transfers to the Fire Protection Grant Fund in FY17 and FY18.

For the Insurance Operations Fund, currently any balance in the fund at the end of the fiscal year greater than one half of the fiscal years appropriation reverts to general fund. This bill would revert any balance remaining at the end of each fiscal year to the general fund, amounting to \$2.8 million at the end of FY17. The Office of the Superintendent of Insurance (OSI) sets these funds aside in anticipation for use in the operating budget; however the funds will not be used in FY17. This process will also simplify the year-end reversion for OSI.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Revenue received by the Law Enforcement Protection Fund fluctuates from year to year depending on the volume of related insurance business activity.

For the Fire Protection Grant Fund, during fiscal year 2018, no distributions will be made to the Fire Protection Grant Fund; halting distribution awards to New Mexico certified fire departments for fiscal year 2018. Counties currently have approximately \$37 million of fund balances that can be used for fire department needs if necessary (Attachment 1). Counties are currently spending fire protection grant awards on facility improvements, firefighting equipment, dedicated fire suppression water supply, training, communication equipment, etc. These necessities can be budgeted in the counties annual budget, rather than relying on grant awards from the Public Regulation Commission. The Fire Protection Fund will not make transfers at the end of FY17 to the grant fund. By not making transfers to the grant fund in FY18 as well, an additional \$10 million will revert to the general fund in FY18.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The State Fire Marshals Division (SFMD), Administrative Services Division of the Public Regulation Commission (PRC) and the Department of Finance and Administration will be required to increase the amount of SHARE financial transactions, generating payment vouchers to local governments upon establishment of periodic payments schedule. Under current law SFMD conducts one distribution of Fire Protection Funds to local governments at the beginning of the fiscal year. Local governments will still receive their Fire Protection Fund allocation, but in periodic payments. Transfers into the Fire Protection Fund from the Insurance Suspense fund fluctuate in amount, this will require the PRC to closely monitor revenue inflows and if necessary reduce distributions if revenue is not supporting the budget. Most state agencies with other state funds manage their budget using this process.

Local entities will need to adjust accounting methods in anticipation of receiving periodic payments, rather than a onetime allotment at the beginning of the fiscal year. The State Fire Marshall will need to prioritize critical needs of local entities, and if necessary, make disbursements to those entities first. Smaller local entities may not have adequate funding at any

House Bill 4 – Page 5

given time to meet expenses to include insurance fuel maintenance of equipment and facilities required for daily operation, especially unexpected emergencies (Apparatus failure, etc.) However, counties do have large fund balances as of July 1, 2016 (Attachment 1).

CS-JM-TR/al

Fund by County

County	Unaudited	FY17	FY17	FY17	% of
	Fund	FY17	FY17	FY17	Expenditures
	Balance as	Budgeted	Transfers	Budgeted	to Fund
	of 7/1/16	Revenue	In/(Out)	Expenditures	Balance and
					Revenues
Bernalillo	\$1,166,485	\$1,129,476	\$0	\$1,129,476	49.2%
Catron	\$1,447,342	\$805,387	\$0	\$805,387	35.8%
Chaves	\$2,181,218	\$1,028,549	\$0	\$1,756,075	54.7%
Cibola	\$704,066	\$669,083	\$66,246	\$1,355,040	94.1%
Colfax	\$959,124	\$838,965	-\$158,374	\$1,023,912	62.4%
Curry	\$244,208	\$247,720	-\$11,184	\$425,536	88.5%
De Baca	\$343,233	\$121,875	\$38,790	\$229,097	45.5%
Dona Ana	\$2,047,472	\$1,491,266	\$0	\$3,438,737	97.2%
Eddy	\$1,364,464	\$1,651,799	\$0	\$1,888,135	62.6%
Grant	\$1,631,602	\$1,453,644	-\$184,028	\$1,333,135	46.0%
Guadalupe	\$116,889	\$181,961	\$0	\$298,850	100.0%
Harding	\$329,743	\$176,514	-\$22,159	\$484,098	100.0%
Hidalgo	\$504,724	\$321,224	\$0	\$703,288	85.1%
Lea	\$257,978	\$197,236	\$189,677	\$530,055	82.2%
Lincoln	\$1,069,701	\$905,698	-\$123,983	\$1,851,416	100.0%
Los Alamos	\$0	\$500,000		\$500,000	100.0%
Luna	\$459,749	\$93,541	\$0	\$553,290	100.0%
McKinley	\$2,314,555	\$1,413,000	\$0	\$2,623,643	70.4%
Mora	\$883,560	\$805,843	\$0	\$1,581,085	93.6%
Otero	\$946,055	\$1,712,542	\$0	\$2,658,597	100.0%
Quay	\$1,082,746	\$842,397	-\$222,053	\$880,104	51.7%
Rio Arriba	\$2,304,788	\$1,495,715	-\$129,470	\$1,490,367	40.6%
Roosevelt	\$318,480	\$156,226	\$0	\$134,500	28.3%
San Juan	\$2,299,697	\$1,688,408	\$0	\$3,364,331	84.4%
San Miguel	\$197,965	\$1,063,367	-\$3,379	\$1,661,462	132.1%
Sandoval	\$778,826	\$2,736,366	\$1,188,815	\$4,667,370	99.2%
Santa Fe	\$5,961,372	\$2,050,121	\$0	\$2,417,496	30.2%
Sierra	\$517,943	\$589,105	\$67,600	\$862,936	73.5%
Socorro	\$255,057	\$654,102	\$623,600	\$1,277,701	83.4%
Taos	\$2,559,407	\$862,272	\$0	\$3,421,605	100.0%
Torrance	\$204,821	\$527,838	\$0	\$682,484	93.2%
Union	\$619,927	\$490,100	\$2,300	\$1,131,400	101.7%
Valencia	\$785,482	\$624,122	-\$123,821	\$881,422	68.6%
Total	\$36,858,679	\$29,525,462	\$1,198,577	\$48,042,030	71.1%