

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website ([www.nmlegis.gov](http://www.nmlegis.gov)). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

Trujillo, J / Pratt /  
 Martinez, J / Herrera / ORIGINAL DATE 2/12/19  
 SPONSOR Armstrong, D LAST UPDATED 3/16/19 HB 346

SHORT TITLE Expand Health Care Gross Receipts Providers SB \_\_\_\_\_

ANALYST Clark

### REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue					Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23		
Indeterminate; No Fiscal Impact Under Current TRD Interpretation					Recurring	General Fund
Indeterminate; No Fiscal Impact Under Current TRD Interpretation					Recurring	Local Governments

Parenthesis ( ) indicate revenue decreases

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

#### Responses Received From

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

Human Services Department (HSD)

### SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 346 amends the gross receipts tax (GRT) health care practitioner deduction to specify that not just practitioners themselves may take the deduction but also businesses that are majority owned by practitioners may take it.

The effective date of this bill is July 1, 2019.

### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Any fiscal impact for this bill would be determined by whether this is viewed as providing clarification that the deduction applies to businesses or if the amended language is viewed as expanding the applicability of the deduction. If the bill is considered to expand applicability, the cost to the general fund could be significantly greater than \$1 million annually.

The Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD) stated this bill will have no fiscal impact and provided the following analysis.

The bill provides clean-up language that clarifies that a private physician is eligible for a gross receipts deduction regardless of whether they are organized as an LLC, partnership, etc. The cleanup is consistent with a bulletin issued by TRD; however, this bill would put that clarification into statute.

However, not all analysts and tax professionals agree with this interpretation, and it is possible the TRD bulletin might be a misapplication and expansion of current law. TRD reports its interpretation of statute is not altered by the bill, so it is not expanding the deduction. Therefore, the scoring is indeterminate but would have no fiscal impact under this current TRD interpretation.

Estimating the cost of tax expenditures is difficult. Confidentiality requirements surrounding certain taxpayer information create uncertainty, and analysts must frequently interpret third-party data sources. The statutory criteria for a tax expenditure may be ambiguous, further complicating the initial cost estimate of the expenditure's fiscal impact. Once a tax expenditure has been approved, information constraints continue to create challenges in tracking the real costs (and benefits) of tax expenditures.

### **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

Chapter 3, Laws 2016, Second Special Session (SB6) amended this deduction. The FIR for that bill said the purpose was to “address a recent hearing officer’s decision in the HealthSouth Rehabilitation Hospital dispute, where the hearing officer determined a hospital can take the health care practitioner deduction. This bill reinstates the presumed original intention of the deduction. The bill also simplifies the statute by defining in one place the meaning of the phrase, ‘health care practitioner.’”

If the phrasing in that FIR is interpreted as restricting the deduction to individuals and not businesses, this bill would be expanding the deduction. There can be reasonable policy implications to do this, as doctor groups are reportedly becoming more and more prevalent than individual practices, but this would lead to additional general fund costs.

During the interim, TRD should discuss its interpretation of existing law with other tax professionals and people involved with the language changes from 2016 to determine if its bulletin is accurate and should remain in place or if it should be amended.

### **PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

The LFC tax policy of accountability is met with the bill’s requirement to report annually to an interim legislative committee regarding the data compiled from the reports from taxpayers taking the deduction and other information to determine whether the deduction is meeting its purpose.

### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

This deduction does not contain a delayed repeal date. LFC recommends adding a delayed repeal date.

**Does the bill meet the Legislative Finance Committee tax policy principles?**

1. **Adequacy:** Revenue should be adequate to fund needed government services.
2. **Efficiency:** Tax base should be as broad as possible and avoid excess reliance on one tax.
3. **Equity:** Different taxpayers should be treated fairly.
4. **Simplicity:** Collection should be simple and easily understood.
5. **Accountability:** Preferences should be easy to monitor and evaluate

**Does the bill meet the Legislative Finance Committee tax expenditure policy principles?**

1. **Vetted:** The proposed new or expanded tax expenditure was vetted through interim legislative committees, such as LFC and the Revenue Stabilization and Tax Policy Committee, to review fiscal, legal, and general policy parameters.
2. **Targeted:** The tax expenditure has a clearly stated purpose, long-term goals, and measurable annual targets designed to mark progress toward the goals.
3. **Transparent:** The tax expenditure requires at least annual reporting by the recipients, the Taxation and Revenue Department, and other relevant agencies.
4. **Accountable:** The required reporting allows for analysis by members of the public to determine progress toward annual targets and determination of effectiveness and efficiency. The tax expenditure is set to expire unless legislative action is taken to review the tax expenditure and extend the expiration date.
5. **Effective:** The tax expenditure fulfills the stated purpose. If the tax expenditure is designed to alter behavior – for example, economic development incentives intended to increase economic growth – there are indicators the recipients would not have performed the desired actions “but for” the existence of the tax expenditure.
6. **Efficient:** The tax expenditure is the most cost-effective way to achieve the desired results.

LFC Tax Expenditure Policy Principle	Met?	Comments
<b>Vetted</b>	✘	
<b>Targeted</b>		
Clearly stated purpose	✘	
Long-term goals	✘	
Measurable targets	✘	
<b>Transparent</b>	✔	
<b>Accountable</b>		
Public analysis	✘	
Expiration date	✘	
<b>Effective</b>		
Fulfills stated purpose	?	
Passes “but for” test	?	
<b>Efficient</b>	?	
Key:    ✔ Met    ✘ Not Met    ? Unclear		