Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR Ta		ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED			НВ		
SHORT TITI	LE	Distribution of GR	Γ or Income Tax		SB	348	
				ANAI	YST	Iglesias/Graeser	

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue*					Recurring or	Fund
FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	Nonrecurring	Affected
0.0	(\$7,260.0)	(\$14,640.0)	(\$14,860.0)	(\$15,080.0)	Dagumina	General Fund
0.0	or more	or more	or more	or more	Recurring	General Fund
0.0	\$7,260.0	\$14,640.0	\$14,860.0	\$15,080.0	Recurring	Municipal Governments
0.0	or more	or more	or more	or more	Recuiring	Wumcipai Governments

Parenthesis () indicate revenue decreases

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY19	FY20	FY21	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total	Likely high budgetary impact			Recurring	Taxation and Revenue Department	

Parenthesis () indicate expenditure decreases

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Not Received From
Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)
New Mexico Municipal League

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 348 creates a mechanism for municipalities to share personal income tax revenues in exchange for some gross receipts tax revenues. The bill changes the current 1.225 percent municipal distribution of gross receipts tax (GRT) revenues to equal 1 percent, then allows municipalities to receive the greater of 0.225 percent of GRT revenues or one-twelfth of a calculated amount prepared annually by the Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD). The calculated amount is the product of all net income generated within a municipality and the ratio

^{*}See fiscal and administrative implications.

Senate Bill 348 – Page 2

of the municipal gross receipts share to the municipal income base. The effective date of this bill is January 1, 2020.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

LFC staff estimate the fiscal impact of this bill to be about \$14.5 million to \$15 million over the reporting period, the first year impact at about half since the effective date of the bill is January 1, 2020. This estimate is derived from downloading household income data for all municipalities in the state for the period 2013 through 2017 from the American Community Survey. This is probably an overestimate of income, since it includes sources of income that are not taxable and, therefore, not reported to TRD on the PIT-1 form. The value of the .225 percent in the formula, however, was determined from the LFC "Conundrum" worksheet. If the income total is less, then the "greater of" amount will then be greater. Thus, the \$14.5 to \$15 million estimate is probably the minimum. A municipality by municipality estimate of the impact of this bill is included as an appendix to this review.

Alternatively, LFC staff confirmed this analysis using a different method. This alternative estimate was derived from apportioning personal income tax revenues by municipal population, comparing this amount to GRT distributions for 0.225 percent of the GRT base, and calculating the difference – since the bill calls for municipalities to receive the greater of the GRT or the income tax distribution. The fiscal impact will grow over time as GRT and income tax bases grow. The methodology is imprecise, as the bill calls for a more complex calculation to be performed by TRD; however, an annual cost to the state of about \$16 million should be considered a reasonable ballpark. Additional input from TRD is needed to analyze and more precisely determine the fiscal impact of this bill.

Currently, the state's GRT rate is 5.125 percent, of which 1.225 percent is distributed back to municipalities. This distribution totaled about \$463 million in FY18. The distribution amount in question, 0.225 percent of the state GRT base, is about \$85 million. The changes contemplated by this bill could potentially increase or decrease this amount, depending on whether the calculations in this bill would result in greater distributions to municipalities.

There was some concern in SFC that there might be an interaction between the provisions of this bill and the food and medical hold harmless distributions. LFC have reviewed this concern and determined that there would be no interaction. The food hold harmless distribution at 7-1-6-46 NMSA 1978 has a fixed instruction that the municipal hold-harmless food distribution is the food deductions multiplied by 1.225 percent plus any local option municipal gross receipts tax rates. This 1.225 percent addition is not referenced to the 7-1-6.4 amount amended in this bill. 7-1-6.46 also provides a phase-down for the larger municipalities. Because this food hold harmless distribution is set in statute, there will be no interaction with SB-348.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The bill changes a relatively simple municipal distribution (0.225 percent of the state GRT base) to a relatively complicated calculation to be determined for over 100 municipalities each year. This is expected to have a high administrative cost to TRD to produce this information required in the bill, including potentially requiring significant changes to the GenTax system. TRD has previously reported to LFC an inability of its systems to determine total income generated within a municipality.

Senate Bill 348 – Page 3

Additionally, introducing this level of complexity to the municipal distribution could lend itself to potential mistakes in administration. Several municipal governments are currently suing the state, alleging TRD made incorrect calculations related to local government distributions of gross receipts tax revenues.

Does the bill meet the Legislative Finance Committee tax policy principles?

- 1. Adequacy: Revenue should be adequate to fund needed government services.
- 2. Efficiency: Tax base should be as broad as possible and avoid excess reliance on one tax.
- **3.** Equity: Different taxpayers should be treated fairly.
- **4. Simplicity**: Collection should be simple and easily understood.
- **5. Accountability**: Preferences should be easy to monitor and evaluate

DI/sb

FY20	Addition:	al Amount

FY20 Additi	onal Amount	FY20 Additional A	mount
Alamogordo	\$601,251	Lake Arthur	\$16,788
Albuquerque	\$5,321,887	Las Cruces	\$0
Angel Fire	\$0	Las Vegas	\$0
Anthony	\$125,220	Logan	\$2,389
Artesia	\$0	Lordsburg	\$36,415
Aztec	\$139,465	Los Lunas	\$0
Bayard	\$61,238	Los Ranchos de Albuquerque	\$512,025
Belen	\$0	Loving	\$0
Bernalillo	\$42,885	Lovington	\$0
Bloomfield	\$44,336	Magdalena	\$645
Bosque Farms	\$177,340	Maxwell	\$4,421
Capitan	\$40,651	Melrose	\$22,762
Carlsbad	\$0	Mesilla	\$100,744
Carrizozo	\$0	Milan	\$0
Causey	\$4,528	Moriarty	\$0
Chama	\$0	Mosquero	\$2,191
Cimarron	\$26,591	Mountainair	\$24,003
Clayton	\$0	Pecos	\$34,456
Cloudcroft	\$0	Peralta	\$202,494
Clovis	\$532,338	Portales	\$75,186
Columbus	\$0	Questa	\$64,670
Corona	\$3,882	Raton	\$127,611
Corrales	\$902,965	Red River	\$0
Cuba	\$0	Reserve	\$4,659
Deming	\$12,840	Rio Communities	\$252,858
Des Moines	\$0	Rio Rancho	\$4,171,007
Dexter	\$1,696	Roswell	\$84,678
Dora	\$8,173	Roy	\$657
Eagle Nest	\$0	Ruidoso	\$0
Edgewood	\$1,718	Ruidoso Downs	\$0
Elephant Butte	\$43,597	San Jon	\$0
Elida	\$0	San Ysidro	\$0
Encino	\$0	Santa Clara	\$54,176
Espanola	\$0	Santa Fe	\$0
Estancia	\$26,662	Santa Rosa	\$0
Eunice	\$0	Silver City	\$0
Farmington	\$0	Socorro	\$0
Floyd	\$1,527	Springer	\$0
Folsom	\$0	T or C	\$104,157
Ft Sumner	\$18,393	Taos	\$0
Gallup	\$0	Taos Ski Valley	\$0
Grady	\$4,818	Tatum	\$12,681
Grants	\$0	Texico	\$34,838
Grenville	\$0	Tijeras	\$0
Hagerman	\$0	Tucumcari	\$0
Hatch	\$0	Tularosa	\$101,731
Hobbs	\$0	Vaughn	\$0
Норе	\$0	Virden	\$8,846
House	\$2,010	Wagon Mound	\$9,517
Hurley	\$56,606	Willard	\$4,617
, Jal	\$0	Williamsburg	\$10,192
Jemez Springs	\$0	All Municipalities	\$14,528,233
Kirtland	\$0		