Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov).

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Gal	legos	ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED	03/13/21	НВ		
SHORT TITI	LE	Emergency Dispat	chers as Police Under P	ERA	SB	403	
				ANA)	LYST	Jorgensen	

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY21	FY22	FY23	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total		\$62.3	\$62.3	\$124.6	Recurring	General, DPS

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From
Department of Public Safety (DPS)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 403 (SB403) would allow emergency dispatchers to participate in enhanced state and municipal police officer pension plans.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Currently, emergency dispatchers employed by DPS participate in the state general plan, or "normal" retirement. The employer contribution for normal retirement is less than the employer contribution for the state police plan and moving dispatchers to the state police plan would result in increased costs for DPS. LFC analysis suggests the increased costs faced by DPS will be \$62.3 thousand. An estimate of the costs is as follows:

Senate Bill 403 – Page 2

Summary of Rate and Cost Difference Between Enhanced and Normal Retirement Plans

(dollars in thousands)

	State Police	Normal	Stat	te Police	No	rmal		
	Retirement	Retirement	Ret	irement	Ret	irement	Dif	ference
PERA	25.50%	18.24%	\$	1,018.4	\$	728.4	\$	289.9
Social Security	1.45%	7.65%	\$	57.9	\$	305.5	\$	(247.6)
RHCA Regular	0.00%	2.00%	\$	-	\$	79.9	\$	(79.9)
RHCA Enhanced	2.50%	0.00%	\$	99.8	\$	-	\$	99.8
Total	29.45%	27.89%	\$	1,176.1	\$	1,113.8	\$	62.3

Local employers would be similarly affected; however, because the total costs of local dispatchers are unknown, they are not reported in this analysis.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

While the police pension funds, state and municipal, pay a greater retirement benefit, members are not eligible for participation in social security benefits.

The table below shows a comparison of the five different plans offered by the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA).

Comparison of PERA Retirement Plans

(based on 2020 CAFR)

(10000000000000000000000000000000000000								
	Total	Average	Monthly	Avg. Age at	Plan Funded			
Plan	Retirees	Benefit		Retirement	Ratio			
State General	16,518	\$	2,118	57.7	59.9%			
State Police/Corrections	1,234	\$	2,467	51.2	127.2%			
Municipal General	11,463	\$	2,013	58.2	76.7%			
Municipal Police	3,045	\$	2,994	47.8	74.9%			
Municipal Fire	1,644	\$	3,089	47.9	60.0%			