Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the Legislature. LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

Abeyta/Martinez, J./Hochman-Vigil/
SPONSOR

Szczepanski/Armstrong

ORIGINAL DATE

2/18/2025

House Bill 5/aHJC/
aHFl#1/aSJC/
aSFl#1/aSFl#3/
aSFl#4/aSFl#5

ANALYST Garcia

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT*

(dollars in thousands)

Agency/Program	F125	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Office of the Child Advocate	No fiscal impact	At least \$650.0	At least \$650.0	At least \$1,300.0	Recurring	General Fund
CYFD	No fiscal impact	Up to \$300.0	Up to \$300.0	Up to \$600.0	Recurring	General Fund
Office of Child Advocate	No fiscal impact	\$750.0 to \$2,000.0	No fiscal impact	\$750.0 to \$2,000.0		General Fund
Total	No fiscal impact	\$1,700.0 to \$2,950.0	At least \$950.0	\$2,650.0 to \$2,900.0	Recurring	General Fund

Parentheses () indicate expenditure decreases.

Conflicts with House Bill 391 and Senate Bills 307 and 84 Relates to House Bill 205 and Senate Bill 363

Sources of Information

LFC Files

National Conference of State Legislatures

Agency Analysis for Introduced Bill Received From

Health Care Authority (HCA)

Office of Family Representation and Advocacy (OFRA)

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)

New Mexico Attorney General (NMAG)

Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD)

Because of the short timeframe between the amendment to this bill and its hearing on the House Floor, LFC has yet to receive analysis from state, education, or judicial agencies. This analysis could be updated if that analysis is received.

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Senate Floor Amendment #5 to House Bill 5

Senate Floor amendment #5 to House Bill 5 reduces the number of members of the child advocate selection committee from nine to seven and removes a designated committee member selected by the Attorney General.

^{*}Amounts reflect most recent analysis of this legislation.

The amendment also removes subpoena power from the Office of the Child Advocate and removes language that would have granted the Office of the Child Advocate the authority to determine if the Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD) or an employee of CYFD violated a child's rights, operated with disregard for the health and safety of the child, or repeatedly violated law, and refer such instances to the Attorney General.

The amendment removes a requirement that CYFD notify the Office of the Child Advocate if a child in the department's custody has been at significant risk of a physical injury.

The amendment adds a section that explicitly states the New Mexico Attorney General (NMAG) shall develop and implement procedures to prevent conflicts of interest.

Synopsis of Senate Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 5

Senate Floor amendment #4 to House Bill 5 adds nations, tribes, and pueblos to the list of report recipients and adds tribal affiliation to demographic data that should be disaggregated in the annual report.

Synopsis of Senate Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 5

Senate Floor amendment #3 to House Bill 5 adds the interim Legislative Health and Human Services Committee to the list of recipients of the annual Office of the Child Advocate report and removes language that would have allowed the Office of the Child Advocate to disclose personal identifying information if it was already known to the public.

Synopsis of Senate Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 5

Senate Floor amendment #1 to House Bill 5 strikes the previous Senate Judiciary Committee amendment and replaces the name "State Department of Justice" with the "Office of the Attorney General" for consistency throughout the bill. The amendment would also clarify the advocate shall be appointed "as soon as practical," and the initial term shall end on December 31, 2031.

The amendment removes degrees in marriage and family therapy as credentials that may qualify a person for the role of the child advocate and limits the appointment of a child advocate to two terms.

The amendment removes the governor's authority to remove the child advocate and places this authority with the Attorney General and removes a section of the bill that would have allowed the child advocate to decide whether to refer a complaint to another agency or investigate.

The amendment removes the authority of the Attorney General to bring a civil action against an employee of CYFD, and the amendment adds to the list of exceptions under the Inspection of Public Records Act or information gathered by the Office of the Child Advocate.

The amendment makes a variety of other changes to the bill to clarify or streamline language.

Synopsis of SJC Amendment to House Bill 5

The Senate Judiciary Committee amendment to House Bill 5 strikes "state department of justice" in two places within the bill and replaces with the "attorney general's office" so that the bill refers to the New Mexico Attorney General (NMAG) consistently throughout the bill.

Synopsis of House Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 5

The House Floor amendment #1 to House Bill 5 struck language that would have allowed the Governor or the Attorney General to make one request for the nominating committee to consider alternative names after receiving nominations from the committee.

Synopsis of HJC Amendment to House Bill 5

The House Judiciary Committee amendment to House Bill 5 strikes language that would have noted the first child advocate term would begin July 1, 2025, and end December 1, 2025, and added language that the nominating committee would meet by September 1, 2025, for the purpose of nominating someone for the state child advocate position.

Synopsis of House Bill 5

House Bill 5 (HB5) creates an Office of the Child Advocate, which shall be administratively attached to the New Mexico Attorney General (NMAG) and perform its functions with autonomy.

The bill establishes a state child advocate who shall be appointed for a six-year term, beginning July 1, 2025. The Child Advocate would be appointed by a committee of nine members, which include appointments from leadership of the New Mexico House of Representatives and Senate; a member who has expertise in the federal Indian Child Welfare Act and New Mexico Indian Family Protection Act with lived experience in the foster care of juvenile justice system appointed by the governor; and members appointed by the Attorney General and Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. The bill outlines specific procedures related to the nomination of the state child advocate and outlines specific professional qualifications for the state child advocate. The bill would allow the Governor to remove the state child advocate only for malfeasance, misfeasance or abuse of office.

The powers and duties of the Office of the Child Advocate would include:

- Reviewing the Children, Youth and Families Department's (CYFD) provision of services to children and families or of any entity that provides services to children and families through funds received from CYFD;
- Investigating and receiving complaints made on behalf of children receiving services from CYFD;
- Determining the extent to which CYFD's policies enhance the department's policies and procedures to protect and enhance children's personal dignity, right to privacy, appropriate health care, and education in accordance with state and federal law;
- Operating a toll-free hotline and electronic communication portal to receive complaints;
- Analyzing and monitoring the development and implementation of federal, state and local laws, regulations, and policies related to child and family welfare and recommend

changes where appropriate;

- Issuing subpoenas for witnesses to provide testimony in cases of fatalities or near fatalities;
- Referring any instances of violation of constitutional rights or reckless disregard for the health and safety of a child or repeated instances of violating laws related to child and family welfare to the Attorney General;
- Providing children and families with information about their rights, as they relate to the department;
- Providing information about child and family welfare to the governor, state agencies and legislators; and
- Issue an annual report, with specific information related to child welfare and juvenile justice detailed in the bill, released to the LFC, CYFD, the Governor, and the Supreme Court.

The bill would allow the Office of the Child Advocate to promulgate rules related to operations of the office and prohibits employees of the office from conflicts of interest, which are defined in the bill and include receiving funding from CYFD.

The bill would require CYFD provide the Office of the Child Advocate with a copy of reports related to physical injury of a child in their custody and notify the office within 72 hours in the event of a fatality, near fatality, or restraint or seclusion of a child in CYFD custody and outlines how the Office shall maintain the confidentiality of records and information received by the department. The bill amends Sections 32A-2-32 and Sections 32A-4-33 NSMA 1978 to include the Attorney General and Office of the Child Advocate, including its employees and contractors, as entities that may have access to confidential records and can be accountable for releasing these records.

The bill requires CYFD to notify all children in their custody about the purpose and functions of the Office.

The bill allows the Attorney General to bring a civil cause of action for declaratory or injunctive relief against CYFD or a CYFD employee based on a finding by the office of a violation of federal or state constitutional rights, reckless disregard of the health and safety of a child, or pattern of repeated instances of violation of law or policy.

The effective date of this bill is July 1, 2025.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The bill does not contain an appropriation. The amendments do not impact the fiscal implications discussed below.

The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) notes minimal administrative costs for statewide update, distribution, and documentation of statutory changes and the potential for any new laws or amendments to existing laws to result in potential increased caseloads in the courts.

The Health Care Authority (HCA) notes HB5 would have no direct fiscal impact on HCA. However, "if the Office of the Child Advocate identifies systemic issues requiring remediation,

there could be downstream financial impacts on CYFD and potentially other state agencies, including HCA."

CYFD noted the bill would require significant collaboration and action by CYFD to provide information, data, and records for the Office of the Child Advocate and noted a lack of appropriation would require CYFD to absorb these costs in their existing budget. CYFD did not provide a specific estimate of fiscal impact.

LFC analysis in prior years estimated the cost to establish an entity similar to the Office of the Child Advocate to be at least \$650 thousand annually, allowing an office to hire roughly 5 FTE. In addition, case management IT systems built at other agencies in recent years, such as the Taxation and Revenue Department and the Workforce Solutions Department, have project budgets ranging between \$750 thousand and \$2 million. Finally, in prior years, LFC estimated CYFD might need to hire up to 3 FTE to provide statutorily-required information to an office, roughly \$300 thousand annually.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

A variety of federally-mandated and state created external mechanisms exist in New Mexico to provide oversight of the child welfare system and CYFD. For example, the federal government establishes several requirements for child welfare agencies, which are overseen by the federal Administration of Children and Families (ACF). These include citizen review panels, which are required by the federal Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA), child fatality review panels, and comprehensive reviews conducted by ACF. Within New Mexico, LFC reports and a variety of other entities, including the Senate Memorial 5 Taskforce report, have noted gaps and limitations with existing oversight mechanisms in New Mexico, as noted in the graphic below.

Oversight of State Child Welfare Systems

Federal Oversight Mechanisms NM Oversight Mechanisms States are required under the Child Substitute Care Advisory Council (SCAC) is housed within RLD but scope is limited and Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act reporting has been inconsistent (FY23 report (CAPTA) to establish citizen review panels (also known as foster care or reviewed 242 case review for the period 2022-2022, following no annual reports). substitute care review boards). States must also establish child fatality New Mexico Child Fatality Review is housed review panels to review, learn from or within DOH and releases, non-identified, prevent child fatalities. aggregate data and descriptive risk information in an annual report. Historically, · The federal Administration of Children reporting has been inconsistent with gaps in and Families provides comprehensive publication years. Reports for last two years oversight of state child welfare are published online. agencies, but the scope is limited and driven by federal reporting (data lags 2 years).

Source: LFC Files

Additionally, while New Mexico has a variety of internal oversight mechanisms within CYFD, these entities present with inherent limitations and conflicts of interests with respect to public accountability, and system oversight and improvement. For example, as noted in LFC publications, the CYFD Inspector General does not publish a work plan or public report, and its

location within the agency it is intended to provide oversight for demonstrates a clear conflict of interests. Similarly, although CYFD's Office of Children's Rights (OCR), now called the Office of Child Advocacy, is focused on complaints about violations of foster children and youth's rights, it was unstaffed for several years and its results are unclear. CYFD's Constituent Services position performs its duties ad hoc with no public reporting, and its Office of Constituent Affairs is limited to addressing complaints of harassment, discrimination, or retaliation committed by a CYFD employee and grievances filed by biological and resource parents with no public reports produced.

According to data from the National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL), New Mexico is one of only nine states that do not have an additional external oversight beyond the federally-required citizen review panels and child fatality reviews. The majority of states have established an additional oversight mechanism, typically in the form of an oversight or governance child welfare commission. NCSL reports 40 states have created offices or ombuds related to child welfare with a range of duties and powers including investigation of complaints, access to confidential records, issuing subpoenas, releasing periodic reports, and recommending systemic improvements to legislatures and other stakeholders (e.g., Colorado's Child Protection Ombudsman).

The office would be analogous in form and function to an ombud. The United States Ombudsman Association defines public sector ombudsman as, "independent, impartial public officials with authority and responsibility to receive, investigate, or informally address complaints about government actions and, when appropriate, make recommendations and publish reports." Ombud offices are generally focused on independently investigating and responding to individual grievances within child welfare systems; they may also serve a system improvement function by making publicly-available recommendations for system improvement. While these offices aim to improve child welfare system outcomes, rigorous national research about the extent to which these functions translate to improved system outcomes is limited.

According to NCSL data, at least two states place an ombud function in the judicial branch, while other states house similar offices in a variety of locations across the three branches of government:

- Colorado's Office of the Child Ombudsman is established within the judicial department as an independent and autonomous agency (Section 19-3.3-102 2023 CRS);
- Montana houses the office within the state's Department of Justice;
- Delaware placed the office in a non-judicial Agency of the Courts, similar to the Administrative Office of the Courts in New Mexico. However, the office also provides legal representation on behalf of children involved in the child welfare system;
- The Connecticut Office of the Child Advocate is located within the Office of Governmental Accountability;
- Indiana and Iowa house their ombudsman offices within the legislative branch; and
- A variety of states house the function within a health and human services executive agencies.

The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) notes:

HB5 appears to be an attempt to create a formalized forum for children and caregivers involved in either the child welfare system or the juvenile justice system to provide complaints that can be investigated by the Office of the Child Advocate...but does not

indicate what types of remedies the office can pursue or what steps it can take to address and attempt to resolve complaints.

AOC noted the bill does not require the Office of the Child Advocate notify the court if there is a complaint about a child under the court's jurisdiction in either an abuse or neglect case or a juvenile delinquency case.

HCA notes that, while the Office of the Child Advocate's oversight and investigation activities are exclusive to CYFD, HCA could be implicated in cases where a child is subject to a complaint and is receiving services from an HCA program. HCA also notes, "the requirement for an annual report to multiple government entities may increase transparency but should clarify whether these reports will be made public." The bill does not explicitly note the annual report shall be publicly available.

CYFD reports the *Kevin S*. settlement required CYFD to establish a grievance process, and CYFD did so within the agency. The Office of Family Representation and Advocacy (OFRA) notes the creation of the Office of the Child Advocate could create duplication of effort and confusion with the office located within CYFD.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

AOC notes a variety of performance measures may be impacted by HB5, including the number of cases filed and disposed.

HCA notes the agency may need to establish internal procedures to facilitate information-sharing with the Office of the Child Advocate in cases where children receiving CYFD services are also enrolled in Medicaid or other HCA programs.

CYFD asserts the bill could require the agency to divert resources away from performance actions related to the safety and well-being of children.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

NMAG notes the adoption of HB5 may require review and update of New Mexico's state plans under the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act and Adoption Reform Act.

CYFD reports "there are currently administrative processes and 40 FTE in place at CYFD similar to what is described in this bill."

OFRA notes some of the functions created in this bill may duplicate the functions of the Substitute Care Advisory Council and the Office of the Inspector General within CYFD.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Conflicts with House Bill 391 and Senate Bill 307, which would create a similar office located within the Administrative Office of the Courts. In addition, HB5 includes an enforcement mechanism that does not have a parallel in House Bill 391 or Senate Bill 307.

Relates to Senate Bill 363, which creates a Child Protection Authority within the Regulation and Licensing Department. The Child Protection Authority has a different leadership selection process and establishes a complaint system and reporting process but contains no enforcement mechanism.

Relates to House Bill 205, which moves the Substitute Care Advisory Council to the Attorney General's Office.

Relates to Senate Bill 84, which would significantly amend Section 32A-4-33 and confidentiality provisions related to child abuse and neglect cases (covered by Section 17 of HB5). Senate Bill 84 does not allow the NMAG to receive confidential information, as HB5 does, and provides a different process for the release of confidential records.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

AOC notes the Office of the Child Advocate shall "update the complainant on the progress of the investigation within thirty days" but does not state when the thirty-day time period begins.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

CYFD states:

HB5 establishes the Office of the Child Advocate in accordance with Section 9-1-7 NMSA 1978. However, the act clearly states the purpose of the Executive Reorganization Act is to enable more efficient management of the executive branch by creating an executive cabinet composed of department secretaries. Therefore, under this provision, the Office of the Child Advocate cannot be administratively attached to the Attorney General. ... [F]urthermore, the [bill] specifies the Office shall maintain autonomy over its budget and decisions. This dual structure could lead to conflicts regarding oversight and control. The balance between administrative attachment and operational autonomy may require clearer delineation to prevent jurisdictional disputers and or potential conflicts of interest.

Senate floor amendment #5 aims to mitigate this concern about conflicts of interest.

CYFD also notes concerns with the interpretation of the grounds for the selection and removal of the state child advocate and states:

This bill is essentially disguising a shift of power to the legislative branch. This potentially improperly delegates an executive function to the legislature, a potential violation of the nondelegation doctrine.

CYFD also expressed concerns about complaints of personnel misconduct, noting State Personnel guidelines for investigation and due process must be followed and noted the bill would allow NMAG to bring a lawsuit against CYFD, potentially placing NMAG in a conflicted role. Senate floor amendment #5 attempts to address this concern.

OFRA notes complaints to the Office of the Child Advocate may lead to confusion related to prior reports made to the Statewide Central Intake.

RMG/rl/SL2/hg/SL2/sgs/hg/sgs