LFC Requester:	Henry Jacobs
----------------	--------------

# **AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 SPECIAL SESSION**

## WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov and email to billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov (Analysis must be uploaded as a PDF)

				1		,			
SECTION I	: GENER	AL IN	FORMATION	<u> </u>					
{Indicate if ana	lysis is on an	origina	al bill, amendment,	substitute or a	correction of	a previous bill;	!		
I	Date Pren	Prepared: October 1, 2025			_ Check all that apply: _ Original Correction				
	Bill Number: Disc.Draft								
					_	nt Subs			
								<del></del>	
				Agency					
and Coo									
Sponsor: N	/A			Number	: _			2	
Short	Short		Person '	<b>Person Writing</b>		Marco P. Serna			
Title:				Phone:	505248994	Email	Email mserna@da.state.nm.		
_				-					
SECTION II	l: FISCA	L IMP	ACT						
		A	PPROPRIAT	TION (dolla	ars in thou	sands)			
				10.50					a
Appropriation				Recurring		Fund			
FY26			FY27		or Nonrecurring		Affected		
(Parenthesis ( ) i	indicate expe	nditure	decreases)						I
			REVENUE	E (dollars i	ı thousand	ls)			
							Г		7
Estimated Revenue					Recurring			Fund	
FY26			FY27		Y28	or Nonrecurring		Affected	
						1102210			1
(Parenthesis ( ) i	ndicate rever	nue decr	eases)						괴
DODING	DED APP	MTTA	MAL OPER	minic pr	DODE ***	DAGE (1.			
ESTIMAT	ED ADL	1110	NAL OPERA	ATING BU	DGET IM.	PACT (dol	lars in	thousands)	
	T				3 Voor	n Doons	wing or	Fund	l
	FY20	6	<b>FY27</b>	<b>FY28</b>	3 Year	Recui	ring or	Fund	

**Total Cost** 

Nonrecurring

Affected

# (Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Total

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

## **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

#### BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis:

**Section 1** amends section 31-9-1 – "Determination of Copmetency"

Subsection B provides that if competency is raised in metropolitan court, the court now has jurisdiction to determine competency. If the metropolitan court determines that the defendant is not competent to stand trial, the matter will be transferred to district court.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Note: Major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

None noted.

#### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

If a defendant is found not competent to stand trial by the metropolitan court, the case is still required to be transferred to district court.

### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

N/a

#### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

N/a

### CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

#### TECHNICAL ISSUES

The language is unclear as to the intent of a metropolitan court finding not competent to stand trail, and still requiring the case be transferred to district court.

#### OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

N/a

#### **ALTERNATIVES**

N/a

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

# Status quo

# **AMENDMENTS**

n/a