BEFORE THE HEARING SUBCOMMITEE OF THE INTERIM LEGISLATIVE ETHICS COMMITTEE

In re: Representative Carl Trujillo.

CHARGING PARTY'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT TO CHARGING PARTY'S MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND DEPOSITION QUESTIONS AND FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES

Charging Party provides this Notice of Supplemental Exhibit to its Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and Deposition Questions and for Attorneys' Fees ("Motion to Compel") to the Special Master and Respondent Representative Carl Trujillo ("Rep. Trujillo"). The supplemental exhibit, attached as Exhibit A, consists of the relevant portions of Rep. Trujillo's deposition transcript referenced in the Motion to Compel. The deposition transcript was provided on Tuesday, November 14, 2018, and was not available at the time Charging Party filed the Motion to Compel.

Respectfully Submitted,

HINKLE SHANOR LLP

By: /s/ Thomas M. Hnasko Thomas M. Hnasko Post Office Box 2068 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2068 (505) 982-4554 thnasko@hinklelawfirm.com

> RODEY, DICKASON, SLOAN, AKIN & ROBB, P.A.

Theresa W. Parrish Post Office Box 1888 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 765-5900 tparrish@rodey.com

Special Counsel and Charging Party

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 14, 2018, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing *Charging Party's Notice of Supplemental Exhibit* to be served via electronic communication on the following:

Travis G. Jackson
Eric Loman
Jackson Loman Stanford & Downey, P.C.
201 3rd Street, Suite 1500
Post Office Box 1607
Albuquerque, NM 87103-1607
travis@jacksonlomanlaw.com
eric@jacksonlomanlaw.com

Hearing Subcommittee of the Interim Legislative Ethics Committee c/o Raul Burciaga, Director Legislative Council Service State Capitol Building, 4th Floor Santa Fe, NM 87503 raul.burciaga@nmlegis.gov

Staff to the Hearing Subcommittee of the Interim Legislative Ethics Committee c/o Jon Boller
Amy Chavez-Romero
Legislative Council Service
State Capitol Building, 4th Floor
Santa Fe, NM 87503
jon.boller@nmlegis.gov
amy.chavez-romero@nmlegis.gov

Honorable Wendy E. York Sheehan & Sheehan PA P.O. Box 271 Albuquerque, NM 87103 wey@sheehansheehan.com

> /s/ Thomas M. Hnasko Thomas M. Hnasko

1	believe this a politically-motivated allegation,	
2	correct, at least at the time you wrote the letter?	
3	A. I believe that it's suspect to the timing.	
4	Q. And I'm I'm asking you a general	
5	question, Representative. Do you believe, as a	
6	member of the House of Representatives, that the	
7	leadership in the House does not have the ability or	
8	duty to investigate allegations of harassment unless	
9	those allegations are stated with reasonable	
10	particularity?	
11	MR. JACKSON: Same objection.	
12	Q. You may have you may not have a belief.	
13	I just want to know where you are on it.	
14	A. I stand with my lawyer.	
15	Q. He's not he's not directing you not to	
16	answers the question.	
17	MR. JACKSON: He's answered the question	
18	that he thinks the policies were violated, and that's	
19	sufficient for purposes today. Let's move on.	
20	MR. HNASKO: No, it's not.	
21	Q. (By Mr. Hnasko) I want to know, as a	
22	legislator, sitting legislator, whether you believe	
23	that the House of Representatives does not have the	
24	ability to investigate its own members based on	
25	allegations of harassment unless those allegations	Exhibit A

1	are stated with reasonable particularity?
2	MR. JACKSON: Same objection. This is a
3	legal question for lawyers.
4	MR. HNASKO: I agree with that, but it
5	doesn't matter. I want to know what he believes.
6	MR. JACKSON: You're asking him a legal
7	question, and I'm objecting to it. I'm going to
8	instruct you not to answer. Move on.
9	MR. HNASKO: You're instructing the witness
10	not to answer a non-privileged question?
11	MR. JACKSON: Yeah.
12	MR. HNASKO: Okay, we'll take that up
13	later. Are you sure you want to do that?
14	MR. JACKSON: I am. I've let you ask this
15	question ten times.
16	MR. HNASKO: All right, well, he's
17	MR. JACKSON: Let me finish. Don't talk
18	over me.
19	MR. HNASKO: Don't talk to me that way.
20	MR. JACKSON: I've let you ask
21	MR. HNASKO: Don't talk to me that way.
22	MR. JACKSON: I've let you ask this
23	question ten times.
24	(Simultaneous cross-talk.)
25	MR. JACKSON: I've let you ask this
	•

1	Q. (By Mr. Hnasko) Could you briefly review
2	and identify Exhibit 3, please.
3	MR. JACKSON: I'd like to make an objection
4	on the record first, please. Respondent objects to
5	any line of inquiry regarding Martha Trujillo on
6	grounds it is not relevant, it is intended to harass
7	and annoy. Counsel have discussed this objection on
8	multiple occasions in advance of this deposition;
9	charging party was aware of the objection before
10	taking the deposition and elected to proceed anyway.
11	Charging party has indicated that it is going to file
12	a motion to compel regarding discovery on Martha
13	Trujillo, and we're going to object to any type of
14	questioning today until that motion is resolved.
15	MR. HNASKO: All right, I'll make my
16	record.
17	Q. (By Mr. Hnasko) Could you identify
18	Exhibit 3, please.
19	MR. JACKSON: I'm going to object and
20	instruct the witness not to answer.
21	MR. HNASKO: To identification of the
22	exhibit? We need to have a record of what you're
23	objecting to. Let him identify the exhibit.
24	Q. (By Mr. Hnasko) Can you identify the
25	exhibit?

1	A. I'm following my counsel
2	Q. I'm asking, would you please identify
3	Exhibit 3
4	MR. JACKSON: I've objected and instructed
5	him not to answer any questions relating to Martha
6	Trujillo. This appears to be a letter from Martha
7	Trujillo dated September 19, 2018. For all the
8	reasons we've already discussed in advance of this
9	deposition, I object to you asking him questions
10	about it.
11	MR. HNASKO: Let the record reflect that
12	counsel is preventing the witness from identifying an
13	exhibit I've offered in this deposition.
14	Q. (By Mr. Hnasko) Exhibit 3 is a letter dated
15	September 19, 2018, purportedly from Martha Trujillo,
16	encaptioned "Dear Senator." Have you seen this
17	letter before?
18	MR. JACKSON: Same objection. Instructing
19	the witness not to answer.
20	Q. (By Mr. Hnasko) Mr. Trujillo, did you have
21	any involvement in the preparation of this letter?
22	MR. JACKSON: Same objection. I'm not
23	going to allow you to ask every question you have
24	about Martha Trujillo.
25	MR. HNASKO: I'm going to make my record,

1	and then you can object and instruct him not to
2	
3	answer.
	MR. JACKSON: Standing objection.
4	MR. HNASKO: There's no such thing as a
5	standing objection.
6	MR. JACKSON: I'm making a standing
7	objection to all lines of inquiry relating to Martha
8	Trujillo.
9	MR. HNASKO: You can, you can direct him
10	not to answer if you want.
11	MR. JACKSON: I already have.
12	Q. (By Mr. Hnasko) Who is Martha Trujillo?
13	MR. JACKSON: Same objection.
14	Q. Do you know Martha Trujillo?
15	MR. JACKSON: Same objection.
16	Q. Did you prepare this letter for Martha
17	Trujillo's signature?
18	MR. JACKSON: Same objection.
19	Q. Why did you do it?
20	MR. JACKSON: Same objection.
21	Q. Is Martha Trujillo related to you?
22	MR. JACKSON: I'll let you answer that.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Who is she?
25	A. She's my aunt.

1	Q. And does she live in Nambe?
2	A. She lives in Pojoaque.
3	Q. Does she have a job?
4	MR. JACKSON: Objection.
5	Q. What does she do for a living?
6	MR. JACKSON: Objection. Same objection.
7	Q. Let me just be very clear. I want you to
8	be on the record on this, Mr. Trujillo. Your counsel
9	has directed you not to answer these questions; I
10	want it to come from your mouth, as well. Are you
11	refusing to answer any questions about the letter
12	dated September 19, 2018, identified as Exhibit 3
13	purportedly sent by Martha Trujillo to members of the
14	New Mexico Senate?
15	MR. JACKSON: I've instructed him not to
16	answer; he's following my instruction.
17	MR. HNASKO: Well, I want him to say he's
18	not going to answer.
19	Q. (By Mr. Hnasko) Are you going to follow
20	your counsel's advice?
21	A. I stand with my counsel's advice.
22	Q. All right. And you know that if you come
23	back here, just so you're not surprised, that we will
24	be asking for attorney's fees when we bring you back
25	here, okay? Do you understand that?

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Okay.
3		(Exhibit 4 marked.)
4	Q.	(By Mr. Hnasko) Let me hand you what will
5		rked as Exhibit 4. In the interest of time, let
6	me re	present to you this is part of the legislative
7	counc	il rules, including Rule 16. Do you recognize
8	this ru	le?
9		(Ms. Julie Sakura left the deposition.)
10	A.	I do.
11	Q.	(By Mr. Hnasko) You've seen it before?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	I'm going to direct your attention over to
14	what i	s denominated as page 25 of Exhibit 4,
15	paragr	aph H. Do you see that?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Could you read it to yourself and make sure
18	you've	been through it all.
19	A.	Okay, I've read it.
20	Q.	All right. Paragraph H, is this the
21	paragra	aph, Mr. Trujillo, to which you referred when
22	you sta	ated that the allegations of Ms. Bonar in her
23	open le	etter were required to be with reasonable
24	particu	larity?
25	A.	I see the words reasonable particularity in

1	Q. All right. Let's go let's move on.
2	I handed you Exhibit 7, which is an e-mail
3	dated October 7, 2018, again from Martha Trujillo.
4	Have you seen this e-mail before?
5	MR. JACKSON: I'm going to make the same
6	objections that I made before. Instruct the witness
7	not to answer any questions with regard to Martha
8	Trujillo.
9	MR. HNASKO: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear the
10	last part?
11	MR. JACKSON: I'm going to make the same
12	objection I made earlier regarding Martha Trujillo
13	and instruct the witness not to answer any questions
14	relating to Martha Trujillo
15	MR. HNASKO: Okay, well, you can
16	MR. JACKSON: on the same grounds.
17	MR. HNASKO: Let me make my offer of proof,
18	and then you can object, it will take about a second,
19	and then we'll have a record on that.
20	Q. (By Mr. Hnasko) Mr. Trujillo, have you seen
21	this e-mail before?
22	MR. JACKSON: Same objection, same
23	instruction.
24	Q. And you're following your counsel's
25	instructions not to answer whether you have seen this

1	e-mail before?
2	A. I'm following my counsel's recommendation.
3	Q. And you're not going to answer that
4	question.
5	All right, did you participate in the
6	preparation of this e-mail?
7	MR. JACKSON: Same objection; same
8	instruction.
9	Q. Where did Martha Trujillo get her
10	information to put in this e-mail?
11	MR. JACKSON: Same objection, same
12	instruction.
13	Q. And to whom was this e-mail disseminated?
14	MR. JACKSON: Same objection; same
15	instruction.
16	Q. Did you direct Martha Trujillo to
17	disseminate this e-mail to the entire House of
18	Representatives?
19	MR. JACKSON: Same instruction; same
20	objection.
21	Q. And, finally, do you recognize Exhibit 7?
22	MR. JACKSON: Same objection; same
23	instruction.
24	MR. HNASKO: Okay, good luck with that.
25	